

1226 Pickens Street Columbia, South Carolina 29201 info@garberreporting.com Telephone: (803) 256-4500 | Fax (803) 256-1999

PUBLIC HEARINGS

December 02, 2019

Judicial Merit Selection Commission, 2019

REPORTER: Patricia Bachand

1 STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA) 2 COUNTY OF RICHLAND) 3 4 5 JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION б TRANSCRIPT OF PUBLIC HEARINGS * * * * * 7 8 BEFORE: G. MURRELL SMITH, JR., CHAIRMAN 9 SENATOR LUKE A. RANKIN, VICE CHAIRMAN 10 SENATOR RONNIE A. SABB 11 SENATOR TOM YOUNG, JR. 12 REPRESENTATIVE CHRIS MURPHY 13 REPRESENTATIVE J. TODD RUTHERFORD 14 HOPE BLACKLEY-LOGAN 15 LUCY GREY MCIVER 16 ANDREW N. SAFRAN 17 J.P. "PETE" STROM 18 ERIN B. CRAWFORD, CHIEF COUNSEL 19 * * * * * 20 DATE: December 2nd, 2019 21 TIME: 9:30 a.m. 22 LOCATION: Gressette Building, Room 105 23 1101 Pendleton Street 24 Columbia, South Carolina 29201 25 REPORTED BY: PATRICIA G. BACHAND, COURT REPORTER

1	INDEX
2	PAGE:
3	THE HONORABLE BROOKS P. GOLDSMITH
4	- Examination by Ms. Crawford
5	SPIROS STAVROS FERDERIGOS 17
6	- Examination by Ms. Faulk 20
7	- Examination by Chairman Smith
8	- Examination by Representative Rutherford
9	- Examination by Senator Young
10	- Examination by Mr. Safran 51
11	- Examination by Chairman Smith 60
12	KATHLEEN MORASKA FERRI 68
13	- Examination by Ms. Foster 71
14	- Examination by Senator Young 80
15	- Examination by Senator Sabb83
16	- Examination by Mr. Safran 86
17	- Examination by Senator Sabb
18	MARISSA K. JACOBSON 91
19	- Examination by Ms. Baker 93
20	- Examination by Representative Rutherford 100
21	- Examination by Senator Young 102
22	- Examination by Ms. McIver 105
23	JULIANNE M. STOKES 110
24	- Examination by Mr. Franklin 112
25	- Examination by Chairman Smith 121

1	- Examination by Senator Young	125
2	ERNEST JOSEPH JARRETT	
3	- Examination by Mr. Triplett	
4	- Examination by Senator Young	
5	- Examination by Senator Rankin	
6	- Examination by Chairman Smith	
7	THE HONORABLE TARITA A. DUNBAR	
8	- Examination by Ms. Dean	160
9	LINDSAY SELLERS	
10	- Rebuttal by The Honorable Tarita A. Dunbar	202
11	CYNTHIA GLENN	240
12	- Rebuttal by The Honorable Tarita A. Dunbar	286
13	Certificate of Reporter	297
14	Word Index	
15		
16	* * * *	
17		
18	REQUESTED INFORMATION INDEX	
19	(No Information Requested.)	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	
1	EXHIBIT INDEX
2	EXHIBITS: PAGE:
3	EXHIBIT NO. 1
4	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
5	QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE HONORABLE BROOKS P. GOLDSMITH
6	EXHIBIT NO. 2
7	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT
8	OF THE HONORABLE BROOKS P. GOLDSMITH
9	EXHIBIT NO. 3 18
10	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
11	QUESTIONNAIRE OF SPIROS STAVROS FERDERIGOS
12	EXHIBIT NO. 4 18
13	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT
14	OF SPIROS STAVROS FERDERIGOS
15	EXHIBIT NO. 5 69
16	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
17	OF KATHLEEN MORASKA FERRI
18	EXHIBIT NO. 6 69
19	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT
20	OF KATHLEEN MORASKA FERRI
21	EXHIBIT NO. 7
22	- AMENDMENT TO PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF KATHLEEN
23	MORASKA FERRI
24	
25	

1	EXHIBITS (CONTINUED): PAGE:
2	EXHIBIT NO. 8
3	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
4	QUESTIONNAIRE OF MARISSA K. JACOBSON
5	EXHIBIT NO. 9
6	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT
7	OF MARISSA K. JACOBSON
8	EXHIBIT NO. 10 111
9	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
10	QUESTIONNAIRE OF JULIANNE M. STOKES
11	EXHIBIT NO. 11 111
12	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT
13	OF JULIANNE M. STOKES
14	EXHIBIT NO. 15 133
15	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
16	QUESTIONNAIRE OF ERNEST JOSEPH JARRETT
17	EXHIBIT NO. 16 133
18	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT
19	OF ERNEST JOSEPH JARRETT
20	EXHIBIT NO. 17 159
21	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
22	QUESTIONNAIRE OF TARITA ANDREA DUNBAR
23	EXHIBIT NO. 18 159
24	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT
25	OF TARITA ANDREA DUNBAR

1	EXHIBITS (CONT	INUED):	PAGE:
2	EXHIBIT NO. 19		159
3	- AMENDMENT T	O PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF TARITA	
4	ANDREA DUNB.	AR	
5	EXHIBIT NO. 20		201
6	- WITNESS AFF	IDAVIT OF LINDSAY ALISON SELLERS	
7	EXHIBIT NO. 21		236
8	- FORMAL RESP	ONSE AND MEMORANDUM OF TARITA A. DUNBAR	
9	EXHIBIT NO. 22		285
10	- WITNESS AFFI	DAVIT OF CYNTHIA GLENN	
11	EXHIBIT NO. 23		285
12	- TRANSCRIPT	OF RECORD 2015-DR-23-0184	
13	EXHIBIT NO. 24		289
14	- FORMAL RESP	ONSE AND MEMORANDUM OF TARITA A. DUNBAR	
15			
16			
17			
18		* * * * *	
19			
20			
21			
22	Court Reporter	's Legend:	
23	dashes []	Intentional or purposeful interruption	
24		Indicates trailing off	
25	[sic]	Written as said	

Γ

1	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. We're going to
2	get started. So if everybody will get in their seats.
3	We've got Judge Goldsmith, who is in the middle of a murder
4	trial, and so he needs to get moving. And if we could get
5	who's got Judge Goldsmith? Who's the screening
6	attorney, you?
7	MS. CRAWFORD: Me. I need somebody to get
8	him.
9	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Good morning, Judge
10	Goldsmith.
11	JUDGE GOLDSMITH: Good morning.
12	CHAIRMAN SMITH: I'll try and get you out of
13	here. This is actually early for us, so I apologize for
14	the delay.
15	JUDGE GOLDSMITH: I appreciate you doing
16	that.
17	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Will you raise your right
18	hand, please, sir.
19	WHEREUPON:
20	THE HONORABLE BROOKS P. GOLDSMITH, being
21	duly sworn and cautioned to speak the truth, the whole
22	truth and nothing but the truth.
23	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Judge Goldsmith, before
24	you, you have your PDQ and your sworn statement. Are both
25	those documents that you submitted to the Commission?

1	JUDGE GOLDSMITH: Yes, they are correct. Is
2	that what you're asking? I'm sorry.
3	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yeah, you've submitted them
4	to
5	JUDGE GOLDSMITH: Yes.
б	CHAIRMAN SMITH: to the Commission. And
7	are they correct? Any changes you may need to make?
8	JUDGE GOLDSMITH: Not that I'm aware of, no.
9	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Do you have any objection
10	to use making those as exhibits to your sworn testimony
11	here today?
12	JUDGE GOLDSMITH: I do not.
13	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. If you'll hand
14	those to Lindi for me, please, sir. And we'll mark those
15	as an exhibit to your sworn testimony.
16	(EXHIBIT NO. 1 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
17	COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE
18	HONORABLE BROOKS P. GOLDSMITH)
19	(EXHIBIT NO. 2 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
20	COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF THE HONORABLE
21	BROOKS P. GOLDSMITH)
22	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Judge, the Judicial Merit
23	Selection Commission has thoroughly investigated your
24	qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry has focused on
25	nine evaluative criteria and has included a ballot box

Γ

1	survey, a thorough study of your application materials,
2	verification of your compliance with state ethics laws, a
3	search of newspaper articles in which your name appears, a
4	study of previous screenings and checks for economic
5	conflicts of interest.
б	We've received no affidavits today filed in
7	opposition to your election. No witnesses are present to
8	testify. Do you wish to make a brief opening statement to
9	the Commission?
10	JUDGE GOLDSMITH: Only if the Commission
11	requests it.
12	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Good answer, Judge. Answer
13	any questions that Counsel may have for you, please, sir.
14	MS. CRAWFORD: Good morning, Judge.
15	JUDGE GOLDSMITH: Good morning.
16	EXAMINATION BY MS. CRAWFORD:
17	Q. Judge Goldsmith, you're being screened for
18	reappointment as a retired judge on the circuit court
19	bench. Why do you want to continue to serve in this
20	capacity?
21	A. I just really enjoy the job, to be honest with
22	you. You know, I guess there are people who don't enjoy
23	their job, but in my mind it's the best job in the world.
24	And when I retired, that was one of the things I told the
25	new newly elected judges, is that it's just a great job.

Γ

1	And that's the reason.
2	Q. And how much time do you spend per month now in
3	your capacity as a retired judge?
4	A. It seems to go on cycles. I'm not sure. I've
5	been working in and this month I'm scheduled to work
6	three weeks. And I've been working three weeks a month for
7	the past either two weeks or three weeks for the past
8	six months.
9	But the next scheduled six months, there's not
10	much not much on my plate. And I don't think any of the
11	active retired judges are being assigned to hold much court
12	during the first six months of next year. And I don't
13	really know why.
14	Q. And what are you involved in currently.
15	A. Currently.
16	Q. Like right now what are you
17	A. Well, right now the reason that I'm going to
18	try to get back as soon as I can to Greenwood, we have six
19	young men charged with attempted murder in a mall in
20	Greenwood, each of them having lawyers and each taking
21	different positions on every issue. And so it took us
22	three days to pick a jury who we think is we hope to be
23	able to finish by Friday. But if not, we'll be doing this
24	again next week.
25	Q. Do you want to alter your current schedule? You

1	said you're not being or you're not on a schedule. Do
2	you want to alter that, or would you request that? Or do
3	you want to stay as busy as you can?
4	A. I guess the real answer is I'd like to stay as
5	busy as I as I can work work three months and take a
б	month off. If you're if you can get me that, I'll be
7	fine.
8	Q. I'll see what I can do, Judge. Judge Goldsmith,
9	what do you think your reputation is among attorneys that
10	practice before you?
11	A. Oh, I don't I don't know. I don't know if
12	judges really ever know that. I don't think at any time
13	when I was a family court judge, or otherwise, if I really
14	knew. You know, lawyers smile at judges. They laugh at
15	their jokes, but you know.
16	Q. Okay. Judge, the Commission received 39 ballot
17	box surveys regarding you. Of these responses, 37 were
18	positive and two were negative. They contain many positive
19	comments: "Judge Goldsmith's many years of experience along
20	with his very pleasant demeanor make him a wonderful
21	jurist."
22	The two negative responses expressed concerns of
23	impartiality and judicial temperament, as well as a comment
24	regarding harsh sentencing. What response would you give
25	to these comments?

Γ

1	A. I'll bet you if you polled all of the lawyers
2	that appeared before me, they would the vast majority
3	would disagree about harsh sentences. But I've had to do a
4	couple, mandatory minimum sentences. I had a homeless man
5	who was 55-or-so years old, he got caught with his third
6	burglary, which was 15 years ago, so he got a mandatory
7	life sentence. And I couldn't do anything about it.
8	I had to give a 65-year-old lady ten years
9	because of her third selling of drugs to her neighbor who
10	turned her in, who had bought drugs from her. They swapped
11	back and forth when they were short. The solicitor
12	wouldn't come off of the third offence and I had to give
13	her ten years. Those are the ones that I can think of.
14	Q. Yes, sir. Thank you.
15	MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, I would note
16	for the record that any concerns raised during the
17	investigation regarding the candidate were incorporated
18	into the questioning of the candidate today. And I have no
19	further questions.
20	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Any questions
21	for Judge Goldsmith? Mr. Strom.
22	MR. STROM: I just have a comment. I just
23	want to read one of the comments that was submitted: "Judge
24	Goldsmith is just an outstanding person and judge with tons
25	of knowledge and experience. We miss him in the 6th

Page 13

1	Circuit."
2	And I think that's who you are. I just
3	think you're an outstanding person. I don't know anybody
4	who wears the robe, both in the courtroom and outside the
5	courtroom, better than you do. You you know, you
6	deserve the respect of the courtroom. But in a CLE and
7	other places, you're jovial and kind to the lawyers, and I
8	thank you for that. And I thank you for your continued
9	service.
10	JUDGE GOLDSMITH: Thank you, Mr. Strom.
11	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any further questions? Mr.
12	Rutherford, you want to comment on the drug laws?
13	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Yeah, that's
14	ridiculous. We're working on it, Judge.
15	CHAIRMAN SMITH: They seem to have a well-
16	funded opposition in that regard, though.
17	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: That's right.
18	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Judge, I appreciate you
19	being here today. And I'd just echo what Mr. Strom has
20	said. I'm just looking through your ballot box, and when
21	some says something about harsh sentences, everybody else
22	talks about your demeanor and knowledge, your temperament.
23	And those are what, in my opinion, make a great judge.
24	So I appreciate your service. I appreciate
25	the way you conduct yourself. I presume you're doing

Γ

Page 14

1	nothing but General Sessions now? Or are you doing common
2	pleas also?
3	JUDGE GOLDSMITH: No, I'm doing common pleas
4	also.
5	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. And you drew the
б	short straw over in the circuit where you've got to go and
7	try a three-week murder case?
8	JUDGE GOLDSMITH: They did they did call
9	me and ahead of time and told me how bad it was going to
10	be. And they asked me asked me if I could agree to do
11	it.
12	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: And you showed
13	up anyway.
14	JUDGE GOLDSMITH: I showed up anyway. I
15	might not do the same thing if I had all to do over again.
16	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Well, I just hope they
17	don't renew the Bruton Motion. How about that?
18	JUDGE GOLDSMITH: They haven't.
19	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Judge, I
20	appreciate you being here. Any further questions for Judge
21	Goldsmith?
22	(Hearing none.)
23	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Judge Goldsmith, thank you
24	for. This will conclude this portion of your screening
25	process. I want to take this opportunity to remind you

Page 15

1	that pursuant to the Commission's evaluative criteria, the
2	Commission expects candidates to follow the spirit as well
3	as the letter of the ethics law. We will view violations
4	or the appearance of impropriety as serious and potentially
5	deserving of heavy weight and screening deliberations.
б	As you know, the record will remain open
7	until the formal release of the report of qualifications,
8	and you may be called back at such time if the need arises.
9	We appreciate you being here today.
10	Thank you for the service your service to
11	the State of South Carolina and to the Bar. I appreciate
12	you being here today.
13	JUDGE GOLDSMITH: Thank you, Representative
14	Smith.
15	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Safe travels back.
16	JUDGE GOLDSMITH: I thank each of you.
17	(Candidate excused.)
18	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Senator Sabb moves that we
19	go into executive session. All in favor say "aye."
20	(At this time the members audibly say "aye.")
21	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All opposed?
22	(Hearing none.)
23	CHAIRMAN SMITH: The ayes have it. We're in
24	executive session.
25	(Off the record.)

Γ

Page 16

2moves that we come out of executive session. All in favor3say "aye."4(At this time the members audibly say "aye.")5CHAIRMAN SMITH: All Opposed?6(Hearing none.)7CHAIRMAN SMITH: The ayes have it. And let8me state that while we were in executive session there were9no matters decided and there were no votes taken. We're10going to start with the first candidate. And I think11somebody's getting Mr. Ferderigos.12Mr. Ferderigos, I appreciate you being here.13And let me take care of some housekeeping issues before we14get started, please, sir. Let me say that there was a vote15that was to be scheduled for the seats that we screened16last week. The Vice Chairman is not here right now, he had17a hearing, so he requested that we postpone the vote until18he gets here. Which was satisfactory to all Commission19members, so we're not going to take a vote until such time20as he gets here. So we anticipate that probably being21sometime after lunch.22I appreciate you being here. We're actually23on time now.24MR. FERDERIGOS: I see that, yes. Thank you	1	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Ms. McIver
4(At this time the members audibly say "aye.")5CHAIRMAN SMITH: All Opposed?6(Hearing none.)7CHAIRMAN SMITH: The ayes have it. And let8me state that while we were in executive session there were9no matters decided and there were no votes taken. We're10going to start with the first candidate. And I think11somebody's getting Mr. Ferderigos.12Mr. Ferderigos, I appreciate you being here.13And let me take care of some housekeeping issues before we14get started, please, sir. Let me say that there was a vote15that was to be scheduled for the seats that we screened16last week. The Vice Chairman is not here right now, he had17a hearing, so he requested that we postpone the vote until18he gets here. Which was satisfactory to all Commission19members, so we're not going to take a vote until such time20as he gets here. So we anticipate that probably being21I appreciate you being here. We're actually23on time now.	2	moves that we come out of executive session. All in favor
5CHAIRMAN SMITH: All Opposed?6(Hearing none.)7CHAIRMAN SMITH: The ayes have it. And let8me state that while we were in executive session there were9no matters decided and there were no votes taken. We're10going to start with the first candidate. And I think11somebody's getting Mr. Ferderigos.12Mr. Ferderigos, I appreciate you being here.13And let me take care of some housekeeping issues before we14get started, please, sir. Let me say that there was a vote15that was to be scheduled for the seats that we screened16last week. The Vice Chairman is not here right now, he had17a hearing, so he requested that we postpone the vote until18he gets here. Which was satisfactory to all Commission19members, so we're not going to take a vote until such time20as he gets here. So we anticipate that probably being21I appreciate you being here. We're actually23on time now.	3	say "aye."
6 (Hearing none.) 7 CHAIRMAN SMITH: The ayes have it. And let 8 me state that while we were in executive session there were 9 no matters decided and there were no votes taken. We're 10 going to start with the first candidate. And I think 11 somebody's getting Mr. Ferderigos. 12 Mr. Ferderigos, I appreciate you being here. 13 And let me take care of some housekeeping issues before we 14 get started, please, sir. Let me say that there was a vote 15 that was to be scheduled for the seats that we screened 16 last week. The Vice Chairman is not here right now, he had 17 a hearing, so he requested that we postpone the vote until 18 he gets here. Which was satisfactory to all Commission 19 members, so we're not going to take a vote until such time 20 as he gets here. So we anticipate that probably being 21 sometime after lunch. 22 I appreciate you being here. We're actually 23 on time now.	4	(At this time the members audibly say "aye.")
7CHAIRMAN SMITH: The ayes have it. And let8me state that while we were in executive session there were9no matters decided and there were no votes taken. We're10going to start with the first candidate. And I think11somebody's getting Mr. Ferderigos.12Mr. Ferderigos, I appreciate you being here.13And let me take care of some housekeeping issues before we14get started, please, sir. Let me say that there was a vote15that was to be scheduled for the seats that we screened16last week. The Vice Chairman is not here right now, he had17a hearing, so he requested that we postpone the vote until18he gets here. Which was satisfactory to all Commission19members, so we're not going to take a vote until such time20as he gets here. So we anticipate that probably being21I appreciate you being here. We're actually23on time now.	5	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All Opposed?
 me state that while we were in executive session there were no matters decided and there were no votes taken. We're going to start with the first candidate. And I think somebody's getting Mr. Ferderigos. Mr. Ferderigos, I appreciate you being here. And let me take care of some housekeeping issues before we get started, please, sir. Let me say that there was a vote that was to be scheduled for the seats that we screened last week. The Vice Chairman is not here right now, he had a hearing, so he requested that we postpone the vote until he gets here. Which was satisfactory to all Commission members, so we're not going to take a vote until such time as he gets here. So we anticipate that probably being sometime after lunch. I appreciate you being here. We're actually on time now. 	6	(Hearing none.)
9 no matters decided and there were no votes taken. We're 9 going to start with the first candidate. And I think somebody's getting Mr. Ferderigos. 12 Mr. Ferderigos, I appreciate you being here. 13 And let me take care of some housekeeping issues before we 14 get started, please, sir. Let me say that there was a vote 15 that was to be scheduled for the seats that we screened 16 last week. The Vice Chairman is not here right now, he had 17 a hearing, so he requested that we postpone the vote until 18 he gets here. Which was satisfactory to all Commission 19 members, so we're not going to take a vote until such time 20 as he gets here. So we anticipate that probably being 21 sometime after lunch. 22 I appreciate you being here. We're actually 23 on time now.	7	CHAIRMAN SMITH: The ayes have it. And let
10 going to start with the first candidate. And I think 11 somebody's getting Mr. Ferderigos. 12 Mr. Ferderigos, I appreciate you being here. 13 And let me take care of some housekeeping issues before we 14 get started, please, sir. Let me say that there was a vote 15 that was to be scheduled for the seats that we screened 16 last week. The Vice Chairman is not here right now, he had 17 a hearing, so he requested that we postpone the vote until 18 he gets here. Which was satisfactory to all Commission 19 members, so we're not going to take a vote until such time 20 as he gets here. So we anticipate that probably being 21 sometime after lunch. 22 I appreciate you being here. We're actually 23 on time now.	8	me state that while we were in executive session there were
11 somebody's getting Mr. Ferderigos. 12 Mr. Ferderigos, I appreciate you being here. 13 And let me take care of some housekeeping issues before we 14 get started, please, sir. Let me say that there was a vote 15 that was to be scheduled for the seats that we screened 16 last week. The Vice Chairman is not here right now, he had 17 a hearing, so he requested that we postpone the vote until 18 he gets here. Which was satisfactory to all Commission 19 members, so we're not going to take a vote until such time 20 as he gets here. So we anticipate that probably being 21 sometime after lunch. 22 I appreciate you being here. We're actually 23 on time now.	9	no matters decided and there were no votes taken. We're
12 Mr. Ferderigos, I appreciate you being here. 13 And let me take care of some housekeeping issues before we 14 get started, please, sir. Let me say that there was a vote 15 that was to be scheduled for the seats that we screened 16 last week. The Vice Chairman is not here right now, he had 17 a hearing, so he requested that we postpone the vote until 18 he gets here. Which was satisfactory to all Commission 19 members, so we're not going to take a vote until such time 20 as he gets here. So we anticipate that probably being 21 sometime after lunch. 22 I appreciate you being here. We're actually 23 on time now.	10	going to start with the first candidate. And I think
And let me take care of some housekeeping issues before we get started, please, sir. Let me say that there was a vote that was to be scheduled for the seats that we screened last week. The Vice Chairman is not here right now, he had a hearing, so he requested that we postpone the vote until he gets here. Which was satisfactory to all Commission members, so we're not going to take a vote until such time as he gets here. So we anticipate that probably being sometime after lunch. I appreciate you being here. We're actually on time now.	11	somebody's getting Mr. Ferderigos.
14get started, please, sir. Let me say that there was a vote15that was to be scheduled for the seats that we screened16last week. The Vice Chairman is not here right now, he had17a hearing, so he requested that we postpone the vote until18he gets here. Which was satisfactory to all Commission19members, so we're not going to take a vote until such time20as he gets here. So we anticipate that probably being21sometime after lunch.22I appreciate you being here. We're actually23on time now.	12	Mr. Ferderigos, I appreciate you being here.
15 that was to be scheduled for the seats that we screened 16 last week. The Vice Chairman is not here right now, he had 17 a hearing, so he requested that we postpone the vote until 18 he gets here. Which was satisfactory to all Commission 19 members, so we're not going to take a vote until such time 20 as he gets here. So we anticipate that probably being 21 sometime after lunch. 22 I appreciate you being here. We're actually 23 on time now.	13	And let me take care of some housekeeping issues before we
16 last week. The Vice Chairman is not here right now, he had a hearing, so he requested that we postpone the vote until he gets here. Which was satisfactory to all Commission members, so we're not going to take a vote until such time as he gets here. So we anticipate that probably being sometime after lunch. 21 I appreciate you being here. We're actually on time now.	14	get started, please, sir. Let me say that there was a vote
17 a hearing, so he requested that we postpone the vote until 18 he gets here. Which was satisfactory to all Commission 19 members, so we're not going to take a vote until such time 20 as he gets here. So we anticipate that probably being 21 sometime after lunch. 22 I appreciate you being here. We're actually 23 on time now.	15	that was to be scheduled for the seats that we screened
18 he gets here. Which was satisfactory to all Commission 19 members, so we're not going to take a vote until such time 20 as he gets here. So we anticipate that probably being 21 sometime after lunch. 22 I appreciate you being here. We're actually 23 on time now.	16	last week. The Vice Chairman is not here right now, he had
19 members, so we're not going to take a vote until such time 20 as he gets here. So we anticipate that probably being 21 sometime after lunch. 22 I appreciate you being here. We're actually 23 on time now.	17	a hearing, so he requested that we postpone the vote until
20 as he gets here. So we anticipate that probably being 21 sometime after lunch. 22 I appreciate you being here. We're actually 23 on time now.	18	he gets here. Which was satisfactory to all Commission
<pre>21 sometime after lunch. 22 I appreciate you being here. We're actually 23 on time now.</pre>	19	members, so we're not going to take a vote until such time
I appreciate you being here. We're actuallyon time now.	20	as he gets here. So we anticipate that probably being
23 on time now.	21	sometime after lunch.
	22	I appreciate you being here. We're actually
24 MR. FERDERIGOS: I see that, yes. Thank you	23	on time now.
	24	MR. FERDERIGOS: I see that, yes. Thank you
25 very much.	25	very much.

I

Page 17

1	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Well, that's a record for
2	us so far this screening. So glad you're here to witness
3	it. I see you've got a guest with you. Would you like to
4	introduce your guest?
5	MR. FERDERIGOS: Yes, sir. I have my
6	wonderful, beautiful wife, Laura Ferderigos.
7	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Hey, Laura. Appreciate you
8	being here today. And, Mr. Ferderigos, will you raise your
9	right hand, please, sir.
10	WHEREUPON,
11	SPIROS TAVROS FERDERIGOS, being duly sworn
12	and cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and
13	nothing but the truth, testifies as follows:
14	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Before you, you
15	have your PDQ and your sworn statement. Are those both
16	documents that you've submitted to the Commission?
17	MR. FERDERIGOS: Yes, sir.
18	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any changes or updates that
19	need to be made at this time?
20	MR. FERDERIGOS: No, sir.
21	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Do you have any objection
22	to those becoming an exhibit to your record of your sworn
23	testimony today?
24	MR. FERDERIGOS: No, sir.
25	CHAIRMAN SMITH: If you'll hand that to

Page 18

1	Lindi for me, please, sir. And we'll mark that as an
2	exhibit to your testimony.
3	(EXHIBIT NO. 3 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
4	COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF SPIROS
5	TAVROS FERDERIGOS)
6	(EXHIBIT NO. 4 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
7	COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF SPIROS TAVROS
8	FERDERIGOS)
9	CHAIRMAN SMITH: The Judicial Merit
10	Selection Commission has thoroughly investigated your
11	qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry has focused on
12	nine evaluative criteria and has included a ballot box
13	survey, a thorough study of your application materials,
14	verification of your compliance with state ethics laws, a
15	search of newspaper articles in which your name appears, a
16	study of previous screenings and checks for economic
17	conflicts of interest.
18	We have received no affidavits filed in
19	opposition to your election. There are no witnesses
20	present to testify. Do you wish to make a brief opening
21	statement to the Commission?
22	MR. FERDERIGOS: Sure. Thank you for having
23	me here. Again, my name is Spiros Ferderigos. I've gotten
24	to see a couple of you over the different times I've been
25	before the Commission. It's always been a pleasure.

Page 19

1	First and foremost, I am a devoted husband.
2	My wife here, Laura Ferderigos. We have three wonderful
3	children, ages 12, 10, and 7. They are the loves of my
4	life. And, you know, we do everything for them.
5	But I have devoted my entire legal career to
6	the practice of law in family court. Family court is my
7	passion. I worked in civil litigation for a civil
8	litigation firm in Charleston. I turned down a job at
9	Nexsen Pruet in Columbia, across the street, to go back to
10	a smaller firm in Charleston, so that I could practice in
11	family law in a small firm and get my hands in and be able
12	to run my own cases.
13	The one thing I didn't know how to do was
14	criminal work. I did none of it. Zero. So I went over to
15	the prosecutor's office for public service, and I am now
16	the Chief Prosecutor for the 9th Judicial Circuit. I'm
17	Scarlett Wilson's right hand when it comes to that for
18	family court.
19	So I have devoted my entire 16-year career
20	to family law. 'Cause that's my passion. And that's where
21	I think I can do best for the community. Which is why I am
22	here again today.
23	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Well, thank you
24	very much. Answer any questions counsel may have for you,
25	please, sir.

Γ

1	MR. FERDERIGOS: Thank you.
2	MS. FAULK: Mr. Ferderigos, please state for
3	the record the city and circuit in which you reside,
4	please.
5	MR. FERDERIGOS: Charleston, 9th Judicial
6	Circuit.
7	MS. FAULK: Mr. Chairman, I note for the
8	record that based on the testimony contained in the
9	candidate's PDQ, which has been included in the record with
10	candidate's consent, Mr. Ferderigos meets the statutory
11	requirements for this position regarding age, residence and
12	years of practice.
13	EXAMINATION BY MS. FAULK:
14	Q. Mr. Ferderigos, why do you want to serve as a
15	family court judge? And why do you feel that your legal
16	and professional experience qualify and will assist you to
17	be an effective judge?
18	A. Well, the reason I want to be a family court
19	judge is because that's where you can make the most
20	difference. That's where I believe you can give back to
21	the community.
22	When you're talking about domestic relations,
23	it's all about the best interest of the child. The circuit
24	court, all those things of course are extremely important,
25	here the solution of the back of the set of
20	there's a lot of money going back and forth. But when

Page 21

1	we're talking about children, that is the greatest asset
2	that we all have in our community.
3	When you're talking on the juvenile delinquency
4	side, again it is rehabilitation-based. I run the drug
5	court program in Charleston County, and we are currently
6	trying to create I guess we're calling it now the
7	Charleston Community Center, is still a work in progress
8	name, "One stop shop" for kids to go get services while
9	they're on probation, so we can rehabilitate the children
10	to become stewards of the community like we all are.
11	But the reason to be a family court judge is
12	that's where I believe I can do the best and good for the
13	community. I want my children to be able to see that when
14	there's an opportunity that arises, that you have a duty to
15	do something for your community. And that's the reason I'm
16	doing that.
17	For my professional experience. Again, I have
18	done both sides of family court. I've done the civil side.
19	I've done the criminal side. And I did that on purpose,
20	because when I was a one-L in law school, I clerked for
21	family court judges in Charleston County. And I
22	immediately fell in love with it.
23	People think I'm absolutely crazy when I say I
24	fell in love with the family court process and the family
25	court system. But I did. And everything I've done in 16

Page 22

1	years was to try and get on the bench for the purpose of
2	trying to help out the community.
3	I have been both an attorney representing clients
4	in court. I have been a litigant in court. It is a
5	completely different situation being in court from those
6	two different perspectives.
7	One of the most frustrating things as a lawyer is
8	when you go in court and the judge hasn't read anything,
9	hasn't read any of the memoranda, hasn't read any of the
10	affidavits, and they shoot from the hip. That's not
11	something I will do.
12	I understand when people go in, it is their
13	everything. It's not just one case on a docket of twenty
14	or thirty that you have. Those people are waiting for
15	months and months and months. And it means everything to
16	them. And I want to be there to have a fair and equal
17	trial that goes in, that the rules of law are applied, and
18	that the judge applies the law.
19	Q. Are there any areas of law in which you would
20	need additional preparation in order to serve as a family
21	court judge? And if so, how would you handle that
22	additional preparation?
23	A. No, ma'am. I've done, again, both the civil and
24	the criminal side. I've done very little adoptions. I
25	have done them in the past, but it was right at the

Page 23

beginning. That is very statutorily-driven, and I am well
 capable of reading the statute and applying the statute,
 which is what I would do.

Q. Please briefly describe your experience in
handling complex, contested family court matters. And
specifically discuss your experience of the financial
aspects of family court work.

A. Yeah, so I've -- as most practitioners in family
court, we've had some crazy, crazy cases of that have come
through. And I put a couple of those in the PDQ that I
sent in and the responses.

But we -- when I was in private practice, we had cases where families could not afford to come to our office. They had to take taxis. They didn't have their own cars. We also had cases where parents would fly their kids in private jets for visitation. We've done everything across the board when it comes to that.

So I'm very comfortable with, you know, transmutation of property, divorces, whether they're short marriages or long marriages. I've done those trials. I've done those equitable distribution spreadsheets that are pages and pages long, and having to sift through the finances.

24 Cases I've had, you know, we've had cases -- I 25 had a psychiatrist who was committing adultery, but he was

Page 24

drugging individuals and taking sexual advantage of them.
 When we ended up finding all those things out, he left the
 country. So we had to go through all those different
 aspects to be able to bring justice for this poor woman who
 didn't know what was going on, and protect her children.
 And we were able to do that. But there's nonstop cases of
 those that we have done.

8 Finances, again I've -- numerous trials that 9 we've had with very large equitable distribution for 10 marital estates. Inheritance, trying to keep that out at 11 the same time. The key is what a lot of family 12 practitioners don't understand for family court division of 13 property is that everything is not a 50/50 split. It's 14 called an equitable distribution for a reason.

So you have to be able to balance those out with the different statutory requirements, which I'm confident and capable of doing.

Q. The Commission received 257 ballot box surveys
regarding you, with 29 additional comments. The ballot box
survey, for example, contained the following positive
comments:

"This candidate is a spectacular lawyer and a
good person. His reputation for honesty and integrity is
well known throughout the legal community. He would make
an excellent judge." "Spiros exudes the highest moral and

1 ethical fitness of any member of the Bar and would be of 2 great judicial temperament. Spiros has extensive 3 experience in the law and would make a very qualified 4 Spiros is deserving of the nomination based on his judge. tireless dedication to his career." "This applicant is 5 well rounded in both the civil and criminal aspects of 6 7 family law. He is intelligent, motivated, takes initiative 8 and has compassion." 9 Six of the written comments expressed concerns. 10 Several comments expressed concern that you may lack the 11 requisite experience to be a family court judge. What 12 response would you offer to this? 13 First of all, I'm very humbled by the kind words. Α. 14 That's very touching. And I'm very grateful for that. For 15 the few comments that said that they don't know if I could do the family court position, I would imagine those are 16 17 newer lawyers who don't know me from the civil side. 18 'Cause I've been a family court prosecutor now for -- going 19 on 13 years.

Before that for a number of years, I did civil work. And did civil work with everybody across the board, with Robert Rosen and Frances Bleecker, which are the higher end lawyers that we -- those were the cases where they were flying in private jets back and forth. But also cases where they couldn't, you know, afford to come to the Γ

1	office.
2	So I'd imagine the comments about concern
3	regarding my knowledge on the family court side, those are
4	newer lawyers that did not have the opportunity to practice
5	with me in civil court. They probably know me as a
6	prosecutor and not the civil attorney side.
7	Q. Given your recent exclusive experience as a
8	prosecutor, how would you refresh your knowledge of
9	domestic matters should you be elected to the seat?
10	A. Believe it or not, I still get calls from lawyers
11	asking for advice for civil domestic cases. I've gotten a
12	number of job offers as well, which I've turned down
13	'cause, again, I wanted to be on the bench.
14	But I keep up with everything. I still have my
15	Stuckey book. You know those of you who do family work
16	know that's one of the go-to books that you have to go for
17	that for Roy Stuckey. But I keep up with it.
18	I keep up with the family attorneys. I keep up
19	with the family court judges. I makes sure I read all the
20	advance sheets. Everything I've done is really and truly
21	for the purpose of being here and trying to get on the
22	family court bench to try to help out the community. So I
23	have purposefully kept up.
24	When I went to the criminal side, I did not shut
25	out the civil side. So I'm up-to-date with all the advance

Page 27

i	
1	sheets and all the laws and everything that goes on, on the
2	civil side as well as the criminal side.
3	Q. You've been involved in four lawsuits, two as a
4	defendant and two as a plaintiff. The first lawsuit filed
5	in 2015 involved a dispute over a business plan pertaining
6	to co-owned property. The cause of action was defamation.
7	Please explain the nature and disposition of this lawsuit.
8	A. Sure. So all of those lawsuits are involving one
9	inherited piece of property. So my brothers and I
10	inherited 50 percent of a building on Kings Street downtown
11	from our parents. An extended cousin got the other 50
12	percent from his father.
13	We were looking to expand on the building above,
14	'cause we make short-term rentals which have been very
15	profitable. My cousin could not come up with his amount of
16	money, so he filed a lawsuit against us trying to force us
17	to basically be a co-signer on a \$2 million loan for him.
18	I was not about to sign a \$2 million loan for anybody.
19	So he filed a lawsuit. I am very happy to say
20	that they were dismissed, summary judgement. As soon as it
21	could be dismissed, they were dismissed. When I was here
22	last time those were all fresh. So we didn't know where
23	those were going. But those have been dismissed.
24	As part of that he filed a defamation suit to try
25	to get an upper hand on the loan lawsuit. And the

1	defamation suit was dismissed as well.
2	Currently, there are two lawsuits on some
3	businesses that I'm involved in. Those were to clean up
4	the mess from that. It's basically a business on the first
5	floor of the property that's not paying fair market value
6	rent. The business owners want fair market value rent.
7	The law says you get fair market value rent. And those
8	were the lawsuits. And those should be wrapped up any day
9	now as well.
10	But it's all over an inherited piece of property,
11	which I own a one-sixteenth percentage interest in. So
12	that kind of fell upon me just because it came through
13	inheritance. But those are I'm very happy to say that
14	we won summary judgement on that through Judge Nicholson.
15	And it got thrown out.
16	MS. FAULK: I would note that the Lowcountry
17	Citizens Committee found Mr. Ferderigos well qualified in
18	the evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, professional
19	and academic ability, character, reputation, experience and
20	judicial temperament.
21	The Committee found Mr. Ferderigos qualified
22	in the evaluative criteria of constitutional
23	qualifications, physician health, mental stability. The
24	Committee report also noted that Mr. Ferderigos is superbly
25	qualified, caring, passionate, knowledgeable, and called

Г

1	him a superb candidate.
2	BY MS. FAULK:
3	Q. And now we'll move on to a couple of housekeeping
4	
	issues, please, sir.
5	A. Yes, ma'am.
6	Q. Are you aware that as a judicial candidate you
7	are bound by the Code of Judicial Conduct as found in Rule
8	501 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules?
9	A. I am.
10	Q. Since submitting your letter of intent have you
11	contacted any members of the Commission about your
12	candidacy?
13	A. No, ma'am. There was one instance where I sent
14	an e-mail accidently. It was I saw an old list and, you
15	know, I got an immediate response saying they're on the
16	committee. And I responded immediately, saying, "Oh, my
17	gosh," after my heart fell through my stomach all the way
18	down to the floor, apologizing for that. And I never
19	contacted again.
20	Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including
21	the limitations on contacting members of the General
22	Assembly regarding your screening?
23	A. Yes, ma'am.
24	Q. Since submitting your letter of intent have you
25	sought or received the pledge of any legislator either

Γ

Page 30

1	prior to this date or pending the outcome of your
2	screening?
3	A. No, ma'am.
4	Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact
5	members of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you
6	aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on
7	your behalf?
8	A. No, ma'am.
9	Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the
10	Commission's guidelines on pledging and S.C. Code 2-19-
11	70(E)?
12	A. I have and understand them.
13	MS. FAULK: I would just note for the record
14	that any concerns raised in the investigation regarding the
15	candidate were incorporated into the questioning of the
16	candidate today. Mr. Chairman, I have no further
17	questions.
18	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Any questions?
19	(Hearing none.)
20	EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN SMITH:
21	Q. Let me let me ask you this question. The two
22	you've got two pending lawsuits. And let me make sure I
23	understand this. One's for you're suing the tenant, is
24	that it? Or they sued you? Or what
25	A. No, sir. So there we have a building that we

Page 31

1	own at 229 Kings Street. It is right across the street
2	from the Omni. And that is a building that my brothers and
3	I inherited 50 percent and an extended cousin inherited 50
4	percent.
5	Q. What's your extended extended cousin's the one
6	that sued you?
7	A. Originally. But we won that lawsuit. We won
8	summary judgement.
9	Q. What's his name his or her name?
10	A. Athan Fokas. So he sued us trying to get us to
11	co-sign not just me but my brothers as well, to co-sign
12	a \$2 million loan for him, which we were not willing to do.
13	'Cause \$2 million is a lot of money. So we did not do
14	that.
15	And he sued, basically, alleging that we have to
16	do it because it's a great business deal and everybody will
17	make a bunch of money. Well, that's not how it works. We
18	weren't interested in doing that. I'm very blessed where I
19	had my portion in cash for what we needed to do. 'Cause
20	again I own one-sixteenth, which is a very small percentage
21	in it. So we won that lawsuit.
22	There is a tenant on the first floor, he owns
23	he is one of the owners of a business that's a first floor
24	tenant, and it is paying pennies on the dollar. It's not
25	paying a fair market value rent. So the lawsuit is for the

Page 32

1	
1	first floor tenant to pay fair market value rent. Which is
1 2	
	what he's obligated to do. And that should be wrapping up
3	here in a couple of months.
4	Q. They got copies of them here, so I can
5	A. Yes, sir.
6	Q see that now. All right. I was just confused
7	on that.
8	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any further questions?
9	Representative Rutherford.
10	EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD:
11	Q. Good to see you again.
12	A. Yes, sir.
13	Q. Let me ask you about a question I saw in the
14	in the ballot box, and it said that you or a couple
15	questions. It said that you had spent most of your time in
16	the solicitor's office. But your response to that is that
17	those are lawyers that have come to know you lately,
18	because prior to being in the solicitor's office you did
19	other family court non-criminal side; is that right?
20	A. Yes, sir.
21	Q. And how long have you been doing the prosecution
22	for Scarlett?
23	A. Thirteen years.
24	Q. And that 13 years, I imagine that a number of the
25	juveniles that you've seen in Charleston would have been

Page 33

1 African-Americans. There's a comment about how harshly you 2 treat African-American juveniles. Can you expand on that? 3 And I heard about that one comment when I Α. Sure. 4 went through. The best answer I can probably give to that, 5 number one, I don't. Okay. That's number one. But to 6 expand on that, every single public defender who represents 7 maybe 98/99 percent of minorities coming through, every 8 single one of them support may candidacy. Every single 9 one. 10 I have three children of my own. I love kids. 11 With hearings that we go through, I am always as fair as 12 possible. Now, I have a duty as a prosecutor, obviously, 13 to adjudicate crimes, to prosecute where appropriate. But 14 everything is rehabilitation approach, which is where the 15 drug court comes in and also this new program that we're 16 working on, it's all about rehabilitating juveniles. 17 And whoever that individual is, I don't know if 18 we had a trial and they lost, and they're upset about 19 having -- I don't know who wrote that comment. But it is 20 not true. And again, every single public defender is 21 supporting my candidacy. And I bring it up, because oddly enough this is 22 0. 23 South Carolina, and I've been here for three or four years, 24 and you rarely see race mentioned in these. So to see it 25 was kind of odd. But I did want to bring it up and have

Page 34

1 you respond to it, because it is troubling just from a 2 perspective of how rare you see it, that it would come up 3 as an issue. 4 And also, there was another comment about you 5 denying a non-jailable alternative on a juvenile case and 6 pushing for the maximum, because someone was convicted at 7 trial. Do you -- can you address that? 8 Α. If I had more information, I'd love to. I don't 9 know anything about that. I know when we went through 10 screening somebody brought up that I made a harsher 11 recommendation post-trial as opposed to plea. And I don't know if that is what it's referring to. 12 13 But that's the process. So what happens is you 14 come in for a plea offer, those are better than when you go 15 to trial for this purpose and the purpose alone. Family 16 court is about rehabilitation. Part of rehabilitation is 17 accepting responsibility. 18 So if you come in and accept responsibility for 19 the charge, you're that much further down the road for your counseling or for whatever else services need to be done. 20 21 If you are not, then there's a little more work to go in 22 order to get it done. 23 But so a juvenile that comes in, that has made an 0. 24 offer, a plea offer, and he doesn't take it and he goes to 25 trial, your belief is that the punishment should be

1	harsher?
2	A. Not necessarily, no. But for that case,
3	whichever case that is that I'm not aware of, there is a
4	possibility. It depends on the case. We have murders. We
5	have armed robberies. We have rapes. We have a lot of
6	crazy cases that go on. So when you come in on a plea
7	circumstance, the charges are reduced that you're going to
8	end up pleading on. And obviously the charges also get
9	wrapped into all of that.
10	Q. If you could and not referring back to the
11	ballot box surveys, but referring back to the comments that
12	you made, where if someone pleads then the offer would be
13	one thing, but if they go to trial and get convicted you
14	believe that they should lose that offer and get a harsher
15	sentence?
16	A. Not necessarily. It depends on
17	Q. Well, then give me the scenario in which they
18	in which that is the case. And I only ask because if
19	juvenile court is about rehabilitation, whether someone
20	pleads or goes to trial, how does it what difference
21	does it make whether they exercise their Constitutional
22	right to a trial or not as to what they ultimate get? Is
23	not what they get somewhat known from the get from the
24	beginning?
25	A. I understand your question completely. To answer

1	that question is, a lot of these things depend on what
2	charges you're found guilty on. So for a plea for a
3	plea offer it may be a lower charge as opposed to you go to
4	trial and then you have your higher charge that you're
5	adjudicated on.
6	And when we're talking about differences, it may
7	be a difference of five hours of community service. You
8	know, it may be something like that. Because the whole
9	point of juvenile court, like you said, is for
10	rehabilitation. And that is the sole purpose and the
11	focus. And that's the sole purpose and focus from the
12	solicitor's office as well. Not just me, but from our
13	entire division.
14	Q. Right. But I'm more focused on someone that
15	because in you know, I've always said that in juvenile
16	court, juveniles really don't have any rights. I go back
16 17	court, juveniles really don't have any rights. I go back to that the case where the kid threatened to shoot all
17	to that the case where the kid threatened to shoot all
17 18	to that the case where the kid threatened to shoot all the black people at Cardinal Newman, and they said they
17 18 19	to that the case where the kid threatened to shoot all the black people at Cardinal Newman, and they said they couldn't charge him.
17 18 19 20	to that the case where the kid threatened to shoot all the black people at Cardinal Newman, and they said they couldn't charge him. Well, I don't know why not. You can charge
17 18 19 20 21	to that the case where the kid threatened to shoot all the black people at Cardinal Newman, and they said they couldn't charge him. Well, I don't know why not. You can charge anybody with anything in family court, 'cause they don't
17 18 19 20 21 22	to that the case where the kid threatened to shoot all the black people at Cardinal Newman, and they said they couldn't charge him. Well, I don't know why not. You can charge anybody with anything in family court, 'cause they don't have any rights. You make a motion to suppress. You make
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to that the case where the kid threatened to shoot all the black people at Cardinal Newman, and they said they couldn't charge him. Well, I don't know why not. You can charge anybody with anything in family court, 'cause they don't have any rights. You make a motion to suppress. You make it in front of the same judge that you end up going in

Page 37

1	But I am concerned if there is a belief that a
2	juvenile that goes to trial, even if he is convicted of a
3	higher offense, as a solicitor you know in your head what
4	he did from the outset and what his punishment should be.
5	So now that he may be convicted of something higher, that
6	he because he exercised his right to go to trial would
7	thereby suffer a stiffer punishment. I mean, that's
8	A. Yeah. So to answer that directly, it's as a
9	as a solicitor it's my job to take the charge that's in
10	there. Because I don't think it's fair for me to sit there
11	say, okay, regardless of the charge that's made, right,
12	that it's going to be the same. Because my job is what are
13	you guilty of.
14	So if you're guilty of assault and battery third
15	degree versus an assault and battery high and aggravated
16	nature, there's going to be a really big difference in
17	recommendation. A really big difference. Because you have
18	done something and have been proven to do something that is
19	much more not outrageous, I would say, but that needs

20 more counseling and needs more therapy. So that's where 21 the difference is --

Q. But let me interrupt you if I could. Because
remember, as a prosecutor you know what the action -- or
the activity or the charge and what was related to it in
the beginning. I mean, I can see if other evidence came

Γ

1	out at trial. But if no other evidence comes out at trial
2	it's always been a vexing issue for me, why if a
3	defendant exercises their Constitutional right to go to
4	trial that there are those that believe that, that should
5	mean that he gets a stiffer penalty
6	A. Sure.
7	Q at the end of trial. Now, mind you, federal
8	court is different. Because obviously, they skewed it
9	towards individual rights and against the Constitution.
10	But in state court, and particularly in juvenile court, as
11	a prosecutor if you even look at a case and say, "I can let
12	you plead to an A and B third," if he gets convicted of A
13	and B second, as a prosecutor when you saw it, it was still
14	an A and B third.
15	A. Not necessarily. So it depends on what the
16	victim has to say, how much the victim wants to be
17	involved, whether how much the balance because of
18	course we have beyond a reasonable doubt standard that we
19	have to meet whether or not we can prove that at trial
20	or not. And also very importantly, what is right, that
21	goes into our plea offers very much.
22	Even if I can get somebody on the ABHAN, doesn't
23	necessarily mean I'm going to go for the ABHAN, if I
24	believe the kid can be rehabilitated beforehand. There's
25	so many different factors that go into that.

1	But when we make recommendations, we make
2	recommendations to the court. What the court looks at
3	the court looks at is what the charge is. So if I have a
4	case that I believe is an ABHAN, and I believe the kid did
5	it, I believe the kid stabbed somebody, whatever the case
б	may be, but I can't prove it, I'm not going to go in for a
7	plea or otherwise, or even a trial for that fact, and make
8	a harsher recommendation just 'cause I believe the kid did
9	it. It depends on what I can prove and what is
10	appropriate.
11	So therein lies the difference. When we're
12	making recommendations to the court, we have a duty to make
13	the recommendations based upon the charges and the family
14	dynamic and everything else that goes into play. It's not
15	a matter of whether or not you exercise your right to
16	trial.
17	I look at public defenders and defense attorneys,
18	and I say, "Here's my plea offer. This is what I can do.
19	This is the middle of the road. This is where the victim
20	is happy as well. Let's get this done." And that's the
21	recommendation.
22	But when I go to court, and if it goes from
23	assault and battery third degree to an assault and battery
24	of high and aggravated nature and when you're in court,
25	a lot more things come out, things that you don't know

Page 40

1	about. The court the things that come out while you're
2	in court are insane, as you know, and you have to look at
3	all of that and then make recommendations.
4	So when I go to court, I don't I make my
5	recommendations, I send them out. I e-mail them out to
6	defense counsel a week in advance. I e-mail them out to
7	the public defender's office. It always says these are
8	subject to change. They can go down. They can go up.
9	'Cause you always learn new things right beforehand, so
10	that is where it can change.
11	I had one case with a private attorney, it was a
12	gun case. And at the 48-hour detention hearing, I said,
13	"Listen, you know, this is the plea offer, but the
14	juvenile's most likely going to go for a 45-day evaluation.
15	Take a look at it. If he's you know, if he's not
16	guilty, let's try it now immediately. If he if he is
17	guilty, let's plead it."
18	So he chose not to plead it. He didn't want a
19	trial. That kid got locked up for 60 days, I think 65
20	days. And then he pled guilty and then he went off for
21	detained evaluation. But it's because the attorney didn't
22	push it one way or the other.
23	I was always right flat from the beginning, this
24	is the recommendation. That recommendation wasn't going to
25	change. And that attorney still talks about that, by the

1

Page 41

way, when he runs into my brother at Christmas parties. 2 But that -- that's what I'm talking about when I refer to 3 that. 4 All right. And that was interesting that you 0. 5 brought that up. Because I agree, and it goes into my 6 theory, that you don't really have any rights in family 7 court, and particularly if you're charged with a serious 8 offense. 9 Because you would agree with me that if you're 10 charged with a detainable offense, especially if you lose 11 your initial detainer hearing as a defendant, that it's 12 better for you to just plead guilty rather than wait on a 13 Because in that scenario, even if he was found not trial. 14 guilty -- he's detained for 60 days then found not guilty. 15 But rarely is anyone found not guilty in family court. 16 But you understand my premise that someone that 17 was charged with a serious offense in family court, and 18 ends up getting detained, at that point really has no 19 constitutional rights because they're detained. 20 Even if they're innocent they're going to be 21 found innocent after they've been detained 60 days. Unlike an adult court where you get credit for it, they get no 22 23 credit for it because they stayed out to go and do their 24 evaluation. 25 Is there a solution that you can suggest as a

Page 42

1 prosecuting for 13 years, that would actually provide some 2 degree of constitutional rights back to juveniles in family 3 court, that end up getting detained for a serious charge?

A. Respectfully, and I know I shouldn't say this, I
agree with a portion of your premise. I do not agree with
your whole premise.

7

Q. Okay.

8 And I'll tell you why. And again, respectfully, Α. 9 juveniles do have constitutional rights in family court. 10 So when you come in for family court, you have a hearing in 11 48 hours to be released or not released. Ten days, 30 12 days, 90 days. We have to try those cases within 90 days. 13 Unlike General Sessions, where you can be locked up for 14 three years whatever the case may be. We have to try them.

15 Now, I can't speak for other counties. I don't 16 know. I can speak for Charleston County. We use home 17 detention contracts. We use electronic monitoring. The 18 vast, vast majority of juveniles end up getting released on 19 some form of house arrest, if it's appropriate to do that. 20 Now, if you end up violating your house arrest you go right 21 back in.

But let me give you an example for that 45-day case that I was telling you about, where the kid ended up spending about 60, 65 days in jail. If that lawyer looked at me and said, "I'm ready to try it," we have a docket --

Γ

Page 43

1	a trial docket every single month, that case will be on
2	that trial docket. I have a number of trial dockets on my
3	trial docket coming up in two weeks.
4	Q. All right. But if you back up a little bit, that
5	same juvenile, had he been 17 and caught with a gun, he
6	would have been out on bond.
7	A. And there's a difference
8	Q. You understand my point?
9	A. No, I understand. I understand.
10	Q. A lot of the things that juveniles are detained
11	for in juvenile court, if they were only 17, they'd be
12	released on bond. And, you know, with the exception of
13	murder and even armed armed robbery defendants in
14	Richland County get bond every single day. Unless they're
15	juveniles, in which case they're detained.
16	And if they're detained and the case is not tried
17	right away, again they're sitting dead time until that
18	trial can come up. And this is stuff we can talk about
19	later. I just wanted to talk to you a little bit
20	A. And I didn't mean to cut you off.
21	Q. Go ahead.
22	A. In Charleston County, I can tell you that if a
23	defense counsel looks at me and says, "I want a trial,"
24	it's on our next trial docket which is going to be in a
25	couple of weeks. We take care of that. We move our cases

Γ

Page 44

1	like crazy.
2	We had a bunch of people come down and audit what
3	we do in Charleston County, the our numbers look
4	amazing. We use probation much more, 'cause it's all about
5	rehabilitation than in the rest of the state.
6	Now, we do indeterminate commitments. It is
7	appropriate from time to time. Sometimes you do all the
8	rehabilitation you can and it's just not it's not
9	working and the community is not safe. Those are rare.
10	But that does happen. We waiver hearings. You
11	know, we do those. Some some murders get waived. Some
12	do not. It is a case-by-case basis. And the whole point
13	is rehabilitation.
14	But for Charleston County and the our judges
15	are very good about having the solicitor's office, we
16	run the docket. And the clerk gives us a trial date every
17	single month or two. So it's there.
18	And if there's a case like the one you mentioned,
19	where a kid says you know, alleged of doing a drive-by
20	shooting, let's say, and the kid says, "No, I didn't do
21	that."
22	I have a case right now, some juvenile allegedly
23	broke into somebody's house where they were inside
24	sleeping. That is on my docket for this month on the 18th.
25	He just had his 48-hour detention hearing. I'm not waiting

1	till the 90 days. We're trying it.
2	One of the letters of recommendation I have are
3	from a Rick Buchanan. And if I recall well, let me back
4	up. He represented two minorities in a murder case that I
т 5	had. Now, these juveniles were found guilty of murder and
б	were indeterminately committed to DJJ based on murder. And
7	if and if recall correctly in the letter, he talks about
8	how I met with his client's family.
9	The alleged suspect's family, I sat down with
10	them and I told them, I said, "Listen," I said, "if the
11	evidence is not going to lead to your son both of y'alls
12	sons, I'm not going to prosecute it. I'm not going to do
13	it. However, if it does, I'm going to prosecute to the
14	fullest extent of the law."
15	And that's exactly what I did. And that family
16	really appreciated that. And if I recall, it's been a
17	while since I read his letter, I think he put that in the
18	letter. The same token with the victims, I said the exact
19	same thing.
20	And of course they're not happy, 'cause you can
21	never bring back a loved one, but they were very pleased
22	with how it went through and how we were open, transparent.
23	My files as a prosecutor are open. I want justice. That
24	is all I want. And that's why I believe I have all three
25	public defenders supporting my candidacy.

Γ

1	'Cause I don't go in there like a jerk. I'm not
2	going to go in there thinking that I have this power where
3	I can hold somebody up for 90 days at all. I want to do
4	what's right. And that's why I want to be a family court
5	judge. Instead of making recommendations, be able to make
6	the decisions.
7	Q. Okay. Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Any further
9	questions?
10	SENATOR YOUNG: Mr. Chairman.
11	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Senator Young.
12	SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you.
13	EXAMINATION BY SENATOR YOUNG:
14	Q. Good morning.
15	A. Good morning.
16	Q. I appreciate you being back before us and
17	continuing your quest for serving on the family court. You
18	ran in 2017?
19	A. I ran in 2014 and 2015. Those are the last two
20	Charleston County family court seats. I have not run for
21	an At-Large seat. I've only run for the Charleston seats.
22	Q. Okay. In reading your personal data
23	questionnaire, and looking at your career in the law, I
24	noted that you candidly responded in the questionnaire,
25	that you believe that you maybe don't have enough or

Γ

1	maybe are lacking some in the area of adoptions.
2	A. Yes, sir.
3	Q. Tell us how you intend to address that.
4	A. So I have done one adoption. One adoption is not
5	many. I've done that. Adoptions are very statutorily
6	driven. If there's one part that's missing on the adoption
7	statute, it falls apart to the case law. So I would make
8	sure to go over the statute. And I would make sure that
9	every single part is addressed and adhered to. That's one
10	of the good things about adoption is it's statutorily
11	driven and it is all there.
12	Q. What about in the area of abuse and neglect
13	cases?
14	A. I've done a number of abuse and neglect cases.
15	So I was doing civil practice before the administrative
16	rule where lawyers wouldn't be assigned to DSS cases. So I
17	would do every single DSS case that came through the
18	office. Every single one. It was me and one other
19	attorney, Paul Tinkler. It was his law firm.
20	Basically what happened is, people would come in
21	because of his name, and I would take the cases on as my
22	own and run with them. We've had a number of abuse and
23	neglect cases.
24	One of the most heart wrenching ones was one
25	where a father, who was a really good dad, but he had a

Page 48

1	child who had a hole in the heart. And he just couldn't
2	take care of the child. And I represented the dad and went
3	through all of these things and got him the treatment and
4	got him the programs and got him everything he needed to be
5	able to reunify with his son. Which we were successful in
б	doing. So I've done those as well, yes, sir.
7	Q. In your time in handling those cases, do you have
8	any suggestions on how that process can be improved for
9	children in the system?
10	A. It's a scary process. Even now so as a
11	prosecutor we have what are termed crossover youths, which
12	are duly involved with DSS and with DJJ. A lot of
13	individuals come through.
14	There's been some good legislation that's come
15	through regarding DSS not being able to recommend that a
16	juvenile stay detained because they don't have a placement.
17	One of the hardest things for me, and I think for
18	the court, is even when you have a DSS kid on the docket,
19	DSS representatives a lot of times don't show up to court.
20	They don't show up. And when they do show up, they show up
21	and it's someone who has who has no knowledge of the
22	case and doesn't have a file.
23	So it takes the judge making that phone call from
24	the bench, saying, "You better get down here right now.
25	I'm holding that case in abeyance until they come down with

1	
1	a file."
2	One thing that Judge Garfinkel used to do, that
3	has fallen through the cracks, which I plan on bringing
4	back if I'm blessed to make it on the bench, is once a
5	month Judge Garfinkel had the solicitor's office, the
6	public defender's office, the Department of Social
7	Services, Department of Mental Health and DDSN, he would
8	have them all in a room and have lunch, and say, "What's
9	going on? What's happening? Where are the pitfalls?
10	Where can we improve?"
11	And I can tell you our communication opened so
12	much more from having that month-to-month meeting. It
13	wasn't ugly, people weren't arguing back and forth, but it
14	was, okay, "Well, we send dockets out and y'all didn't show
15	up."
16	"Oh, my goodness. Let me fix that. Let me see
17	what's going on."
18	We recently had an issue again with DSS not
19	showing up. I contacted Tony Catone in Columbia, he is the
20	head DSS lawyer down here, and we created a DSS
21	distribution list.
22	There is one hub now where everything goes for
23	DSS, that is your notice. If they don't show up for court,
24	they could be held accountable by the court under agreement
25	between my office and DSS that we've done. So we've made

1 strides on that.

Regarding placements for juveniles -- and by
"juveniles," I also mean DSS kids, just young kids -- there
are not that many. I mean, that's one of the biggest
problems that we're having. It's sad. You have runaways
who are getting locked up. Why are they getting locked up?
Because we don't have runaway shelters for kids. We used
to. We don't anymore.

9 And it would be wonderful if we could have a 10 juvenile, who runs away who could go to a shelter that's 11 specific for runaways, to get services so they don't keep 12 running away.

But what's happening is, they're getting locked up at detention hearings and they can released within 72 hours. If they get released, what do they do? They run right back out the door again with no services. And now they have a contempt of court hanging over their head. So it's a snowball effect.

So if we could have more placements, obviously that would be helpful. But the communication aspect and holding DSS accountable, you have to hold DSS accountable. And many DSS people are my friends.

However, we need them in court. We need people who know what they're talking about and know the case. And a judge can facilitate that with a phone call.

I

Page 51

1	Q. I appreciate your response. One final comment I
2	have is, I want to commend you on the Lowcountry Citizens
3	Committee report, they were they gave you very, very
4	high ratings.
5	A. Thank you. It's very humbling. Thank you.
б	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any further questions? Mr.
7	Safran.
8	EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN:
9	Q. I know you've been up there a lot longer than you
10	would have liked, so I won't extend it any more than I have
11	to. But I just wanted to ask a couple of things, following
12	up on what Representative Rutherford said. I understand
13	there are a ton of cases that you've been involved with.
14	Nobody's trying to ask you to kind of go back and dig out
15	of the memory of what it may be.
16	The only concern that obviously anybody would
17	have is this, that somebody basically is saying and,
18	please, we're going on what was put in here non-violent
19	with a situation where there was clearly an opportunity for
20	non-incarceration, and that it's almost as if somebody got
21	punished for going to trial.
22	Is that a novel idea? No. Do we hear about it?
23	I hear about it. And I don't even go to family court. I
24	don't go to do criminal work. But has that been something
25	that's been around at least, you know, in some circles for

Γ

1	as long as I've been practicing law? Absolutely.
2	That's a terrible thing, though, that happened.
3	Assume that if you go in kid's situation like that, that
4	it's more or less, "Hey, either take my deal or I'm going
5	to bust your butt."
6	You know, it's vindictive and punitive. It's
7	really contrary to everything you've said in terms of the
8	purpose of juvenile trials of that type. So I just want to
9	make sure that, that's not something that you condone,
10	correct?
11	A. I can tell you that, that is nothing that has
12	ever been done by me. And there was a key word you said in
13	there, and I'm and thank you so much for bringing that
14	up. Plea offer for non-incarceration, you go to trial and
15	you're incarcerated. I give you my word that has never
16	happened. I invite anyone to contact anybody in the Bar,
17	to ask about that.
18	I don't know who wrote it's hard being up,
19	'cause people make a comment and having gone through
20	this unfortunately twice, there have been many things said
21	about me, that depending on who you talk to, say it's the
22	exact opposite. People have their agendas and want certain
23	people on the bench than other people.
24	And I don't know who made that comment. And I
25	wish I did so that I could say which case it is or not.

Γ

1	But I can tell you without a doubt, I have never offered a
2	non-incarceration charge, gone to trial and incarcerated.
3	That goes against every fiber of my being. That goes
4	against the law. That is not something to do.
5	And that has never happened. And if anyone finds
6	that, then disqualify now. 'Cause I can tell you, you're
7	not going find it. It just simply did not happen.
8	Is it a case where someone was ordered to more
9	counseling? Probably. Maybe. Is it a case where someone
10	got some more community service, so they would have to give
11	back to the community when they stole from somebody? Maybe
12	yeah, and that kind of thing.
13	Did I add a weekly positive peer group, 'cause I
14	think it's good to part of the Big Brothers program,
15	because if I think that you're not taking this seriously,
16	so I and we have the facts, I think it's good for you
17	have a male mentor. Those sorts of thing. Yes, that is
18	possible. That is possible.
19	But to go from non-incarceration to
20	incarceration? Absolutely not. I would not be doing what
21	I'm doing if that was the case. And I can promise you, I
22	would not have the support of all three of my public
23	defenders in my circuit.
24	We are like this many times, but we all look for
25	the best interest of the juvenile. And again, I

Γ

1	wholeheartedly have their support. And I ask for anyone to
2	contact them. And I've been very humbled by their support,
3	and also surprised. Because it's an adversarial system.
4	But we all get along and we all do what's best for the kids
5	from what we believe is a proper perspective.
6	And other than that one comment that I heard, and
7	I don't know what the basis of that is or the background,
8	I'd be shocked if there's a whole bunch of those in there.
9	Because there just can't be. Because that's not the way I
10	practice.
11	Q. Well, and I appreciate your addressing it.
12	Because obviously it was something that got attention. And
13	I think your candor about this is very refreshing. Let me
14	ask you also, there are times where we have people come in
15	and say here, family court, you know, they make the
16	comment that this is the end-all be-all, this is where I
17	want to be, this is what I want to do, and the next thing
18	you know, you see them running for circuit court the next
19	year. Is that any type of long-term intention of yours?
20	A. I am very blessed for many reasons. One of the
21	ways I am blessed is financially, whether I become a judge
22	or don't become a judge, or go to private practice or stay
23	in public service, I can feed my family. I have a very
24	supportive wife here behind me. My goal is to stay on the
25	family court bench.

1	Now, I remember when I said that last time, I had
2	some one of the Commission members, not here, said, "You
3	should never say that. What if you come back again?" And
4	that's true, nobody knows what the future holds. But I
5	have devoted my entire career to family court.
6	When I was in private practice, doing domestic
7	relations, I was asked to go to the solicitor's office.
8	And I remember I had this discussion with my wife, and I
9	said, "Well, you know that's I'm on the uphill track.
10	And I want to be a partner in a little bit." I said, "But
11	I don't know how to do criminal law. I've never done it."
12	So I met with it was Ralph Hoisington at the
13	time just before he passed away. Scarlett was really
14	running the show, because he was unfortunately towards the
15	end of his cancer, and I told Scarlett, I said, "I'll come
16	over. I'll take the pay cut. It's fine. However, I don't
17	want to leave family court. I will take this job if I stay
18	in family court."
19	The next thing you know, time goes by and now I
20	run the whole office. Which is great. And it's been
21	refreshing. And I love it. It's a great job. And I love
22	it because you can do the right thing while you're there.
23	But there's a big difference in making recommendations to
24	somebody, and then being the person who makes the
25	decisions.

Γ

Page 56

1	And I want to give back to the community by
2	making decisions. And that's not an ego thing. That's one
3	of the reasons I don't want to do it. Any kind of prestige
4	that goes along with that I wear my emotions on my
5	sleeve. What you see is what you get. That could be a
6	good thing or bad thing, but what you see is what you get
7	with me.
8	Again, having also been a litigant, there's
9	nothing more frustrating than going in front of a judge who
10	has not read anything, who hears from each lawyer, who of
11	course has their own spin on things, and then they make a
12	ruling shooting from the hip. That's not justice.
13	You have to read the memoranda. You have to read
14	the affidavits. This is everything for people who go in
15	court. And that's on the civil commercial side.
16	You're talking about kids, marital estates.
17	People who come into family court typically are not in
18	their right minds. They're using kids as weapons, their
19	finances as weapons, and their in a bad state.
20	And if you don't have a judge who will make sure
21	to keep the calm in court, if you don't have a judge who
22	will apply the rules of evidence, then it's the Wild West.
23	And you get crazy, crazy outcomes out here.
24	And as a lawyer going in, you should be able to
25	know kind of where the case will go. But sometimes you

Page 57

1	don't because some judges just let everything in whether
2	it's admissible or not. It gets very convoluted, very
3	confusing, and you don't get good outcomes.
4	Q. Well, I guess to close, one thing I think you're
5	hitting on, which has been a big concern of mine, is that
6	particularly on the domestic side when you're talking about
7	the private actions, that initial hearing really dictates
8	the outcome for the most part.
9	And yet, those hearings are so limited in terms
10	of time, that, you know, the result is substantial. But
11	what I'm hearing from you is, is you recognize that.
12	A. Ido. Ido.
13	Q. And that you realize maybe that the front end is
14	really where your time needs to be directed as far as
15	giving the lawyers an opportunity to talk, paying attention
16	to the evidence, simply because of the consequences down
17	the road.
18	A. Yes, sir. And reading what's filed. 'Cause
19	what's filed is where everybody puts in all their work,
20	where everything's listed with the exhibits and everything
21	else. The lawyers have five minutes of you know, apiece
22	or ten minutes to say what they're going to say, depending
23	on how much time you have.
24	A judge who goes in and does not read the filings
25	before goes in is doing a disservice. I truly believe

1	that. And I believe that as a lawyer. I believe that as
2	someone who we talked before, being a litigant. And I
3	was very blessed. We had a great judge. Judge Nicholson
4	was awesome. He ended up granted summary judgement for us.
5	It's so hard to do that.
6	But he read everything. He read everything. And
7	that's the reason. And when you're talking about people's
, 8	kids, you really have to read that.
9	Q. Thank you for your time.
10	A. Yes, sir.
11	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Just briefly.
	-
12	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Representative Murphy.
13	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Spiros, thank you
14	for your willingness to offer yourself again for service.
15	And I just wanted to piggyback on something. I wasn't
16	going to ask a question, but that you brought up in
17	response to Mr. Safran's question is particularly during
18	the 15-minute hearing, what has been my experience has
19	been, particularly when you have visiting judges, not a
20	you know, not a judge from Dorchester County, maybe a judge
21	from Florence, the rush is in that 15-minute hearing, when
22	you get a packet not only the pleadings, but then you
23	get a packet of information that has just been exchanged
24	between the parties, five minutes before they walked in the
25	door, that a visiting judge, particularly, will rush to a

1	decision and shoot from the hip, like you've stated.
2	So I would just ask you, if you're fortunate
3	enough to be nominated or qualified and nominated and
4	elected to the position, to remember that, that you do not
5	have to rush to a decision. There is no there's no harm
6	in taking a matter under advisement particularly when
7	you're hearing twenty or thirty temporary hearings in one
8	day in a county, and then the next the next week you're
9	in a different county. There's no harm in taking that
10	matter under advisement and getting the decision right.
11	And so I would just ask you to keep that in mind, if you're
12	fortunate to move on.
13	But I appreciate your willingness to come
14	and offer yourself. And I made the comment to
15	Representative Rutherford, that you didn't have the option
16	that a lot of people that are being grilled by
17	Representative Rutherford, particularly on the House floor,
18	they have the option of walking away from the podium.
19	Unfortunately, you had to sit there. And that's an
20	uncomfortable feeling. 'Cause I've been at that podium.
21	And I consider him a friend, but I couldn't walk away fast
22	enough. So thank you for your willingness to serve.
23	MR. FERDERIGOS: Thank you. And I
24	appreciate your questions, Representative Rutherford.
25	They're taken. I understand exactly where you're coming

1 from. And I understand. 2 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Thank you. 3 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Representative 4 Murphy, I will note that speaks well of your legislative We'll take it. And I second that. 5 skills. 6 EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN SMITH: 7 0. Let me just ask you a couple of questions. 8 First, I want to congratulate you or commend you on your --9 on the Citizens Committee report. That's very glowing and 10 that's well deserved. And I appreciate that. That helps 11 me as we go through these issues. 12 And then I look at your ballot box surveys and 13 other than, you know, some outliers, they're generally 14 people who commend you with your temperament and your work 15 ethic and your enthusiasm. And so I can see that coming 16 from you. 17 One thing I do -- caught my eye, and help me 18 understand this is, is it appears that you went and worked 19 with Paul Tinkler's office in 2003, stayed there about four 20 years, and then you've been with the solicitor's office 21 ever since. Is that correct? 22 Α. Yes, sir. 23 All right. Now, during that time -- so for the 0. 24 last 13 years -- we've engaged in a lot of discussion about 25 juveniles. And that's a -- that's a small portion of the

1	family court. Then you have obviously representative
2	Senator Young talked to you about DSS, which is a growing
3	issue over there.
4	And then more importantly, how about the private
5	work, what if you haven't practiced over there in 13
6	years, a lot of things have changed since you last
7	practiced. How are you keeping up with what's going on the
8	on the domestic side? I'm talking about child custody,
9	divorces, things of that effect.
10	A. Yes, sir. I keep up with all the advance sheets.
11	I sit in on many hearings as well. Because one of things
12	
	about being a prosecutor, there's a lot of waiting. You
13	have to wait for the cases to get called ahead of you to go
14	in.
15	So I go in court and I watch them. I still talk
16	to all the judges. I still talk to other lawyers
17	domestic relation lawyers. They still call me for advice
18	on calculating alimony and other things.
19	I was very, very fortunate where I worked with
20	Paul Tinkler, that we did literally everything from one end
21	to the other. And I was in there nonstop. So as the
22	practice has grown the field of family court has grown,
23	I have made sure to stay educated on that.
24	Now, have I been in court standing up here like
25	I'm am with y'all, and making arguments to judges for

Page 62

2	
	I know where it's gone.
3	And when I was in private practice, I was in
4	court nonstop. Paul Tinkler rarely went to court. I ran
5	the office when it came to that. He was the guy who would
6	bring people in, and then I would take the case moving
7	forward.
8	Now, there were some cases that were high
9	profile, that of course he held on to. But even for those,
10	I drew all the outline, I prepared the arguments, I
11	prepared the discovery. I'd get it all wrapped up for him.
12	So I'm still very comfortable doing that. But I've gone
13	out of my way to make sure that I stayed up to speed on any
14	changes in the law.
15	Q. You've run for family court judge a couple times.
16	I remember meeting over here. And so I look at your CLEs,
17	and it doesn't appear to me that you've taken any CLEs
18	other than solicitor's office. Why wouldn't you take some
19	CLEs to gain some experience in domestic relations, Hot
20	Tips or something like that?
21	A. All of those incorporate the advance sheets that
22	come out, so I read the advance sheets directly.
<u>ک</u> ک	
22 23	Q. Yeah, but advance sheets don't take away from the
	Q. Yeah, but advance sheets don't take away from the practical aspect of practicing law, do they?

Page 63

1	Q. I mean, you know and I guess that's just
2	you know, it's not a concern. But you have obviously to
3	me, you do not have any domestic relations experience. And
4	you haven't had any for 13 years.
5	And so what we've seen with people who come up
6	here, who are in similar situated positions, you know, I'm
7	prosecutor or vice versa, I'm a domestic lawyer and I don't
8	and I don't practice in juvenile court, but they do
9	spend some time trying to observe it and also trying to get
10	some to get some CLEs and things of that.
11	And I'm just trying to understand why you
12	wouldn't do that as a as an attorney, why you wouldn't
13	go and look and attend CLEs, when you know that's your goal
14	to become a family court judge.
15	A. I have observed court. I observe court quite
16	often. All the time, actually, when we're in court. I'm
17	in court three to four days a week. And I see all the
18	private actions that go through. As it goes for CLEs, we
19	have the solicitor's conference which are about 14 hours or
20	SO.
21	I also have to budget taking time off work to go
22	in and do that as well. But what I've and maybe I was
23	wrong in doing this, but my aspect of it was if I keep up
24	on the advance sheets, I go to court and I watch the cases
25	that are happening live, then I will maintain that

experience.
But I focus on the advance sheets because of the
CLEs. You're exactly correct. Hot Tips, you go over the
advance sheets and then people will give war they trade
war stories which are good to hear.
But that's what I focused on was the advance
sheets. And maybe I was wrong in doing that. Now that I
know, I won't do that. I'll do the CLEs as well. But I
that's how I maintained the domestic relations is the
advance sheets, and also viewing court myself firsthand.
Q. Do you feel that there's any more training you
need in the in the divorce/child custody area in order
to be a judge? Or you think you're sufficiently trained at
this point?
A. I believe I'm sufficiently trained. You can
always learn more. You know, they say that you stop
learning when you're dead. I mean, nobody knows
everything.
However, going down and hitting hitting the
ground running, I'm ready to go. I still have we have
judges asking us as public defenders or prosecutors what
the law is.
And the same thing with the domestic relations,
I've gone out of my way to make sure to stay up to date on

Γ

Page 65

1	be here.
2	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Any further
3	questions?
4	(Hearing none.)
5	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Mr. Ferderigos,
6	thank you so much. This concludes this portion of your
7	screening process. I want to take this opportunity to
8	remind you that pursuant to the Commission's evaluative
9	criteria, the Commission expects candidates to follow the
10	spirit as well as the letter of the ethics law. And we
11	will view violations or the appearance of impropriety as
12	serious and potentially deserving of heavy weight in the
13	screening deliberations.
14	As you know, the record will remain open
15	until the formal release of the report of qualifications,
16	and you may be called back at such time if the need arises.
17	Thank you for offering for this position and thank you for
18	your service to the state of South Carolina.
19	MR. FERDERIGOS: Yes, sir. And thank you
20	all very much for your time and for doing this.
21	(Candidate excused.)
22	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ms. Ferri, how are you
23	doing?
24	MS. FERRI: Hey, Good morning. How are you
25	all?

1	CHAIRMAN SMITH: I'm going well. See you
2	got some special guests with you. Would you like to
3	introduce them to the Commission?
4	MS. FERRI: Yes, I would. I'm so happy to
5	be here this morning. Good morning, I hope you all had a
б	great Thanksgiving. I'm Kathleen Ferri. I'm from
7	Charleston. I'm running for the 5th seat in the 9th
8	Judicial Circuit. And I'm really, really proud to be here
9	today with my family. This is my husband, Michael Ferri.
10	We've been married for 19 years. He's the managing partner
11	at Grimball and Cabaniss in Charleston. It's an insurance
12	defense firm. I also have with me my oldest my only
13	son, Andrew. He just celebrated his
14	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Your mother got you mixed
15	up.
16	MS. FERRI: He just celebrated his 18th
17	birthday last week. And he's taking U.S. Government, so I
18	thought that this would be a great opportunity for him to
19	see his government in action. We're going to visit the
20	State House later today, and also he's going to have a
21	chance to tour USC. He recently applied there. And then
22	my daughter
23	CHAIRMAN SMITH: I hope he's not
24	disappointed with the football team.
25	MS. FERRI: We're not a big football family,

Γ

Page 67

1	so it's okay.
2	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Well, that's a good thing.
3	It's going to save a lot of misery there.
4	MS. FERRI: That's right. And my daughter,
5	Emma, she's 13. She is in eighth grade at Blessed
6	Sacrament Catholic School. And she's adopted from China.
7	And I'm thrilled to have her here with me today as well.
8	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Great. Welcome everybody.
9	I appreciate you all being here today. You know, if you're
10	from Grimball and Cabaniss, you practice with Warren Moise;
11	is that right.
12	MR. FERRI: I do.
13	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. So they're all
14	from Sumter and I'm from Sumter. And I practiced with
15	their great-grandfather's firm or something like that. So
16	they're the longest law firm in the state of South
17	Carolina. They came back and they started 1865, William
18	Moise.
19	MR. FERRI: All he talks about is Sumter,
20	SO
21	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yeah, I'm sure. Yeah, it's
22	the center of the universe. It's supposed to be the state
23	capital. I still bring that up all the time. I'm going to
24	make sure it's we're going to move the capital back to
25	Sumter some day.

Γ

Page 68

1	All right. Ms. Ferri, if you'll raise your
2	right hand, please, ma'am.
3	WHEREUPON,
4	KATHLEEN MORASKA FERRI, being duly sworn and
5	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing
б	but the truth.
7	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Before you, you
8	have your personal data questionnaire and your sworn
9	statement. Are those both documents you've submitted to
10	the Commission?
11	MS. FERRI: Yes, they are, Your Honor.
12	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Are they correct and are
13	they correct, or do you need to update anything at this
14	time?
15	MS. FERRI: I do believe that I sent in one
16	update, a change to my home address. We recently moved to
17	Wadmalaw Island, South Carolina.
18	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Other than
19	that, are there any other items that need to be updated?
20	MS. FERRI: No, Your Honor.
21	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. If you will
22	do you have any objection to us making those as a exhibit
23	to the your sworn testimony today?
24	MS. FERRI: No objection.
25	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. Let Lindi get those

Page 69

1	for us, please. And we'll make those a exhibit to your
2	sworn testimony here today.
3	(EXHIBIT NO. 5 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
4	COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF
5	KATHLEEN MORASKA FERRI)
6	(EXHIBIT NO. 6 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
7	COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF KATHLEEN MORASKA
8	FERRI)
9	(EXHIBIT NO. 7 - AMENDMENT TO PERSONAL DATA
10	QUESTIONNAIRE OF KATHLEEEN MORASKA FERRI)
11	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ms. Ferri, the Judicial
12	Merit Selection Committee has thoroughly investigated your
13	qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry is focused on
14	nine evaluative criteria, and has included a ballot box
15	survey, a thorough study of your application materials,
16	verification of your compliance with the state ethics laws,
17	a search of newspaper articles in which your name appears,
18	a study of previous screenings and checks for economic
19	conflicts of interest.
20	We have received no affidavits in opposition
21	to your candidacy today, and there are no witnesses present
22	to testify. Do you wish to make a brief opening statement
23	to the Commission?
24	MS. FERRI: Sure. I just want you all to
25	know that I've practiced in Charleston for about 26 years.

Page 70

_	
1	I've tried many cases in family court. I've done motions
2	in the common pleas court, trials in probate court and the
3	master in equity court.
4	I've represented folks in bond hearings, and
5	I've been outside counsel for South Carolina Federal Credit
6	Union for the past 12 years. I've served the Bar for the
7	past 14 years as an attorney to assist the Office of
8	Disciplinary Counsel.
9	I've also been a certified family court
10	mediator for the past 20 years, and have mediated well over
11	250 cases. I'm very proud to say my success rate for
12	settling cases in mediation is about 93 percent. And I've
13	also argued before the South Carolina Court of Appeals and
14	the Supreme Court.
15	I'm very active in my church. I've served
16	on the Stewardship Committee and been the VBS Director for
17	the past 12 years. And I've spoken to numerous community
18	groups about South Carolina's laws. But my proudest
19	accomplishment is sitting right behind me, and that's my
20	family. I'm happy to answer any questions that you have.
21	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Thank you very much. If
22	you'll answer questions from Counsel for us, please, ma'am.
23	MS. FOSTER: Ms. Ferri, please state for the
24	record the city and circuit in which you reside.
25	MS. FERRI: I live in the 9th Judicial

1 Circuit in Charleston, South Carolina. 2 MS. FOSTER: Mr. Chairman, I would note for 3 the record that based on the testimony contained in the 4 candidate's PDO, which has been included in the record with 5 the candidate's consent, Ms. Ferri meets the statutory 6 requirements for this position regarding age, residence and 7 years of practice. 8 EXAMINATION BY MS. FOSTER: 9 Ms. Ferri, why do you want to serve as a family 0. 10 court judge, and why do you feel that your legal and 11 professional experience qualify you and will assist you to 12 be an effective judge? 13 Having practiced the majority of my 26 years in Α. 14 family court, I know that being in front of a family court 15 judge is a highly emotional experience. I've been the 16 witness to numerous divorces, many adoptions. I've seen 17 children being taken from their parents. I've seen 18 children being reunited with their parents. 19 I have watched spouses being charged with 20 domestic abuse. I've been witness to spouses being freed 21 from marriages that involved domestic abuse. All of these 22 are highly emotionally-charged situations, but I feel that my experience in representing numerous types of parties 23 24 before the family court would help me be an effective 25 judge.

Γ

1	The very first time that I appeared in family
2	court, it was because the attorney I was working with got
3	sick. And it was a final hearing for an adoption. And I
4	was terrified. But I brought the statute with me, and I
5	simply followed the statute line by line. I probably took
б	longer than the judge really wanted necessary, but I wanted
7	to make sure that I did it right. And I'm grateful that
8	our statute sets forth everything that's necessary to
9	for a new attorney to make sure they're doing their job
10	well.
11	Q. Ms. Ferri, are there any areas of the law for
12	which you need you would need additional preparation in
13	order to serve as a family court judge, and how would you
14	handle that additional preparation?
15	A. I think I relayed in my PDQ, that the area of
16	family court that I have the least experience with is
17	representing parties appearing for juvenile justice
18	reasons. I have represented parties who were entering
19	diversion pleas. I've worked with DJJ attorneys. I've
20	worked with their therapists and counselors and the probate
21	I'm sorry parole and probation officers.
22	So I'm aware of all the different parties that
23	work with Department of Juvenile Justice, children, or
24	teens. But that is the area that I probably have the least
25	experience with. Attending CLEs, sitting in court and

1	watching other attorneys perform these activities would
2	help me get up to speed on that, I believe.
3	Q. Ms. Ferri, please describe your experience in
4	handling complex contested family court matters, and
5	specifically discuss your experience with the financial
6	aspects of family court work.
7	A. Well, a financial declaration is the most
8	important document really in a divorce or a family court
9	matter involving contested financial matters. And accurate
10	preparation of that requires much, much preparation,
11	getting documents from CPAs. I have worked with financial
12	experts in preparing financial declarations, and I
13	understand the importance of that.
14	I have also worked extensively with CPAs in
15	preparing child support guidelines to assist the court in
16	recognizing what parts of someone's income should be
17	included in preparing the child support guidelines.
18	I have also mediated numerous cases involving
19	paramours, sometimes second marriages, involving family
20	businesses. And my experience in the mediation process
21	with family businesses, partnerships, sometimes
22	corporations, those have all helped me formulate sort of
23	checklists in my mind of how to handle those things.
24	Q. Ms. Ferri, the Commission received 85 ballot box
25	surveys regarding you, with 16 additional comments. The

Page 74

1 ballot box survey for example contained the following 2 positive comments: "Great attorney. Excellent 3 temperament." 4 Another noted: "I have known Kathleen 5 professionally for years and find her to be intelligent, 6 compassionate, even-tempered, thoughtful and honorable. 7 She would be an asset to the bench." 8 A third noted: "I have known this candidate for 9 almost 30 years. She has frequently served as a guardian 10 ad litem under the most difficult of circumstances. Ιt 11 would be hard to match her combination of calmness, 12 knowledge, fairness and commitment." 13 Six of the written comments expressed concerns. 14 All six of the negative comments expressed concerns 15 regarding your experience, or lack of recent experience 16 within the Family Court Bar. What response would you offer 17 to this concern? 18 Well, part of the reason for my recent not being Α. 19 in family court is because I've expanded my practice to include cases that are heard before the master in equity, 20 21 cases that are heard in the probate court, and also cases heard in the common pleas court. 22 23 As I said before, I have in the last twelve years 24 gained South Carolina Federal Credit Union as a client. 25 I'm their outside counsel for most of their claim and

Page 75

1 delivery and repossession cases. And so a lot of my time 2 has been spent furthering my knowledge of the law in 3 general. And I believe that, that can be an asset in 4 family court. 5 Representing a credit union, there are many 6 procedural steps that need to be taken. There are lots of 7 Fair Credit Reporting Act. There are lots of structural 8 things that you have to do, and you cannot do, or you'll 9 get sued. And I've made myself aware of those. I also 10 gained them as a client in 2008/2009, right along the time 11 of our financial crisis. 12 And so I didn't turn my back on family court. 13 It's just that I had this new client that was taking 14 enormous amounts of time, because I was assisting with 15 them, cleaning up many of their bad loans. And it was a 16 great new area that I was learning about. It was taking a 17 lot of time. 18 But now I am -- I cut my teeth in family court. 19 And I love family court. It's a -- it's a very emotional 20 area, but I think that the vast experience I have in common 21 pleas and also in probate court, the fact that I have represented numerous individuals before the Therapeutic 22 23 Determination Division, which involves drug and alcohol 24 cases. 25 I have also worked with numerous elderly adults,

Γ

1	having their families become guardians and conservators for
2	them. So I think that has broadened by perspective of the
3	law and broadened my experience, and that, that would
4	actually be an asset to my family court work.
5	Q. Ms. Ferri, given your recent practice has focused
6	mostly on civil law, how would you plan to ensure that
7	you're up to date on recent family law matters?
8	A. Well, I have a number of friends who practice in
9	family court, that write blogs. And I read them weekly. I
10	enjoy them. I enjoy seeing the changes that have recently
11	come about.
12	With the recent common law marriage being
13	outlawed in South Carolina, I'm very happy about that.
14	Because I've actually been very involved in two cases
15	involving common law marriages, that were actually tried
16	partially in probate court and partially in family court.
17	So I'm very aware of the intersection of those
18	two courts involving common law. And they were the
19	cases went on for years, because there was many disputes
20	over what court actually had jurisdiction.
21	So just staying abreast and aware of the changes
22	that happen in family court, reading blogs, reading the
23	advance sheets, sitting in on trials being conducted by
24	other judges in our state, all of these are ways to stay
25	abreast of what's happening in family court.

1	Q. Ms. Ferri, you reported on your personal data
2	questionnaire that you were a development assistant at a
3	school in Charleston, I believe, your children's school.
4	Would you tell the committee I mean, the Commission
5	about your work at Blessed Sacrament?
б	A. You know, it's a funny thing when you're involved
7	in church, they tend to try to get you more involved. And
8	I'm happy about that. But when your priest comes to you
9	and says, "I really need your help. Our school is on the
10	verge of closing." And it was actually the school that I
11	attended back in the '60s and '70s. It's very difficult to
12	tell your priest "no."
13	And so I did get involved with helping with
14	raising the amount of students at the school. I assisted
15	with marketing the school. I assisted with giving tours at
16	the school. And one of the benefits if you've ever sat
17	in a car line at your kid's school, you know that can be
18	some of the the 45 minutes to an hour, sometimes twice a
19	day, that you feel like is lost time.
20	Well, one of the benefits of assisting in this
21	area was that they actually gave me a key to the back door
22	of the school, and I was able to take my daughter in. And
23	so that was just a fringe benefit of doing this. And so I
24	actually saved probably about two hours of time every day
25	from sitting in the car line at my school.

Page 78

1	Dut I also falt like by dains this it sained
1	But I also felt like by doing this, it gained
2	it helped me gain a perspective on seeing sort of normal
3	families. You know, in family court you see families that
4	are troubled, families that are going through very
5	difficult times, and it sort of jades you and it makes you
6	think that everyone is experience these experiencing
7	these difficulties.
8	Sometimes when you're able to see normal, happy
9	families it gives you a renewed faith in human nature, a
10	renewed faith in the institution of marriage, and a renewed
11	faith in children as a whole. Because South Carolina is
12	blessed to have some of the most amazing teachers and some
13	of the most amazing children on the planet. And I was
14	happy to be a part of that.
15	MS. FOSTER: I would note that the Low
16	Country Low Country Citizens Committee found Ms Ferri to
17	be well qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical
18	fitness, character, reputation and judicial temperament,
19	and qualified in the remaining evaluative criteria of
20	constitutional qualifications, professional and academic
21	ability, physician health, mental stability and experience.
22	BY MS. FOSTER:
23	Q. Just a few housekeeping issues.
24	A. Thank you.
25	Q. Ms. Ferri, are you aware that a judicial

Page 79

1	candidate as a judicial candidate you are bound by the
2	code of judicial conduct as found in Rule 501 of the South
3	Carolina Appellate Court Rules?
4	A. Yes. Yes.
5	Q. Ms. Ferri, since submitting your letter of intent
6	have you contacted any members of the Commission about your
7	candidacy?
8	A. No.
9	Q. Since submitting your letter of intent have you
10	sought or received the pledge of any legislator either
11	prior to this date or pending the outcome of your
12	screening?
13	A. No.
14	Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact
15	members of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you
16	aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on
17	your behalf?
18	A. No.
19	Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the
20	Commission's guidelines on pledging and South Carolina Code
21	section 2-19-70(E)?
22	A. Yes.
23	MS. FOSTER: I would just note for the
24	record that any concerns raised during the investigation
25	regarding the candidate were incorporated into the

1	questioning of the candidate today. Mr. Chairman, I have
2	no further questions.
3	- CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Thank you very
4	much. Any questions for Ms. Ferri? I can always count on
5	you, Senator Young.
6	SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
7	EXAMINATION BY SENATOR YOUNG:
8	Q. Mrs. Ferri, thank you for your interest in
9	serving our state on the family court bench. I have a few
10	questions. First, I want to commend you for your service
11	to your church and your family. It's pretty evident from
12	your materials that you've filed, how committed you are to
13	your church and your family. And I commend you for that.
14	Some of the comments questioned how much you
15	practice in family court. And candidly, I feel like I need
16	to ask you about that. So can you tell us over the last
17	ten years I mean, how much have you actually practiced
18	in family court?
19	A. I believe my PDQ sets forth all the different
20	types of cases that I've tried in family court. I've
21	represented adoptive families. I've represented birth
22	mothers in family court. I've represented parties
23	involving interstate jurisdiction in family court, custody,
24	child support. Divorce on all the different types of
25	grounds, family court mediation. I have appeared before

Page 81

1	the court of the South Carolina Court of Appeals, as
2	well as the Supreme Court, and won both times after oral
3	argument.
4	Q. Were those family court matters?
5	A. Yes. And I believe one of my writing samples is
6	my brief that went to the Supreme Court.
7	Q. One of the I mean, some of the comments say
8	that the you know, obviously these are anonymous
9	comments. But some of them say that the person that's
10	providing the comment from the Bar says they practice
11	regularly in the family court in Charleston, and they don't
12	see you. How do you respond to that?
13	A. Well, part of my practice involves mediation.
14	And mediators don't come to court. They are assisting
15	trial attorneys with settling the case so they don't have
16	to go to court.
17	I also practice regularly as a guardian ad litem.
18	Guardian ad litems typically help attorneys settle cases.
19	I have tried cases as a guardian ad litem, representing the
20	minor children. And some of those cases one of those
21	cases was one of my five cases that I listed.
22	But again, part of my job as an attorney is to
23	help people stay out of court, if at all possible. And I
24	feel like I've done that job well.
25	Q. So let me ask you another question. You

1	mentioned abuse and neglect cases.
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. How many years do you think you've been involved
4	with abuse and neglect cases?
5	A. I've been a lawyer for 26 years. The first 15
б	years of my practice unfortunately, I was on their hot
7	list. It seemed like I was getting appointed every week to
8	a new case in Charleston, Berkeley, and Dorchester County.
9	I did eventually become appointed to the position
10	of an attorney to assist the Office of Disciplinary
11	Counsel, and I believe that service to the Bar kept me off
12	the DSS list.
13	But I can tell you that I was one of the
14	attorneys who represented a defendant in family court,
15	where we tried a case for twelve days. And this was a
16	termination of parental rights, based on children being in
17	the department custody for over I believe 18 of the last
18	24 months was the reason that the children were there
19	was a TPR pending. We tried the case for twelve days, and
20	the children were ultimately returned to the parents.
21	Q. From your observations having been in family
22	court with abuse and neglect cases, what would you
23	recommend on how to improve the system for children and the
24	families involved in that system on abuse and neglect
25	cases?

Page 83

1	A. I think that the system is getting better. But I
2	think that and I hate to put everything on money, but I
3	think more social workers, more hands-on time with the
4	children, more involvement with the guardian ad litem
5	program. More case workers would assist in getting
б	children moved through the system.
7	I'm very grateful that South Carolina's adoption
8	law is pro-child. So many of the children who are in DSS
9	custody are freed up fairly quickly, as compared to many
10	other states, so that they can be adopted.
11	But I believe that more money to the Department
12	of Social Services would be of assistance to them, so that
13	they could hire additional attorneys and they could hire an
14	additional additional social workers.
15	Q. Thank you for your responses.
16	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any further questions?
17	Senator Sabb.
18	SENATOR SABB: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
19	EXAMINATION BY SENATOR SABB:
20	Q. And Ms. Ferri, it's still morning. Good morning.
21	A. Good morning.
22	Q. And thank you for offering for the position. I'd
23	like to direct my questions more so along the lines of
24	juveniles in the family court. I don't know that I saw
25	anything in your PDQ or otherwise, that relates to you and

1	appearing in family court, dealing with delinquents and
2	those kinds of things. Can you tell me about your
3	experience along those lines, please?
4	A. Yes. That is the area in which I probably have
5	the least experience. I have represented juveniles in
6	diversion pleas before the family court, where they have
7	been sentenced by the Department of Juvenile Justice but
8	they have pled out to, like, probation.
9	Maybe they were supposed to be had curfews,
10	things like that, so that they were out of the DJJ system.
11	They were out of they weren't in jail. They weren't
12	incarcerated. They weren't in, you know but they were
13	under the care of their parents. And I assisted in
14	creating those pleas for them.
15	Q. And so in terms of your actual appearance in
16	family court representing juveniles, shall we conclude that
17	it's somewhat limited?
18	A. It is somewhat limited, yes.
19	Q. All right. And have you gone to CLEs or anything
20	like that over the course of the past few years? I looked
21	at some of your CLEs and did not see anything. But did I
22	miss something as relates to you and
23	A. I recently spent a day down at family court and
24	watched Mr. Ferderigos, who was in front of me just a
25	minute ago, and watched him handle a number of Department

Page 85

1 of Juvenile Justice cases.

2

3

Q. How did he do?

A. He did well.

Q. Have you given any thought to -- and if this is
not a fair question, then that's fine. But have you given
any thought to sentencing as it relates to juveniles? Have
you given any thought to the 45-day evaluation, whether
they ought to be local or whether they ought to go abroad?
Can you tell me about your thinking along those lines?

A. You know, that's a very difficult area. Because I I'm a mother of a teenager and I have -- you know, I have acquaintances who are parents of teenagers. And I know the difficulties that teenagers can find themselves in, and I know the difficulties that parents can have when they are dealing with teens that are difficult children.

I believe that depending on what the teen is charged with, so you're balancing the safety of the community and the -- what the child has done. And I think that it's very important never to place the safety of the community below the child.

I mean, some of these children are capable of doing some very dangerous acts. And if the act warrants that they be sentenced or, you know, be evaluated for the 45 days, that's a difficult thing. But sometimes it warrants that.

Γ

Page 86

1	Whether they it depends on what they're
2	charged with and it depends on what the underlying cause
3	is. If they have mental health issues, if they have other
4	issues that they're dealing with that need to be evaluated,
5	and there's not someone locally who can do the evaluation,
6	then I believe that it's completely appropriate for them to
7	do an evaluation somewhere in the state.
8	Q. Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
9	SENATOR SABB: Thank you Mr. Chairman.
10	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Mr. Safran.
11	EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN:
12	Q. And I'll try to be brief. Let me just ask you a
13	few things. I think the question that came up earlier from
14	Senator Young, which probably still needs to be addressed a
15	little bit more, is that it looked like for good reason,
16	in '07 you really kind of departed from the amount of
17	family court work you might have been doing prior, to take
18	on a big client that had needs.
19	And certainly, you were faced with going into
20	sole practitioner status and you certainly didn't want to
21	turn that down. So I get it.
22	As a practical matter, though, how much family
23	court in terms of not the guardian work, but I mean
24	getting in there and actually handling the case as a
25	litigant. How much have you been doing over the last five

Γ

1	years?
2	A. I've continued to represent parties in family
3	court. The cases may not be as complex as what I have done
4	in the past, but I have continued to try cases in family
5	court.
6	Q. Well, and when you say that, I know it's hard
7	nobody's asking for an absolute certainty or any precise
8	number. But I mean are you talking about a couple cases a
9	month? A couple cases a year? I mean, roughly just your
10	best estimate.
11	A. I would say fifteen cases per year.
12	Q. Okay. And so you've at least kept some hand in -
13	-
14	A. I have kept a hand in the family court.
15	Q. And do you still have a diverse practice at this
16	point, in terms of kind of what you inherited and what
17	you've built since kind of going out on your own?
18	A. Yes, it is a diverse practice. And I'm enjoying
19	that. But like I said, family court is my first love.
20	Family court is where I gained the most of my trial
21	experience. It's all I eat you know, ate, slept,
22	breathed for the first fifteen years of my practice.
23	And I feel like it's kind of like riding a
24	bicycle. You know, you learn it once. And things may have
25	changed, but the basics are still there. And I haven't

1	forgotten those.
2	Q. And I'm not suggesting that you just all of a
3	sudden one day woke up and you didn't know how to do it.
4	That's not the case. And I'm sure you've maintained
5	proficiency. That's not the issue either.
6	I guess what I get when I look at some of
7	these comments, I would assume the Family Court Bar, still
8	like most of the Bar, at least in your area, is relatively
9	defined. So you're not having necessarily everybody doing
10	a volume in family court.
11	And so, obviously, what would you say to the
12	people who are saying, "Well, I don't ever see her. I
13	don't really have anything with her"? And the question
14	really is kind of how capable you are to kind of step onto
15	the bench and be handling this stuff, literally day after
16	day at such a high volume, what's your response to that?
17	A. I've done it before. I have tremendous energy.
18	I have tremendous insight. The practice that I've had in
19	other areas of the law have broadened my perspective. It
20	has broadened my knowledge of the law.
21	I understand a lot more now about consumer
22	finance, about bankruptcy, about the probe the workings
23	of the probate court, and other areas of the law that would
24	help me as a family court judge.
25	Q. You think that really kind of enhances

Γ

Page 89

1	A. I believe it enhances my experience.
2	Q. Okay. Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Senator Sabb.
4	SENATOR SABB: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
5	REEXAMINATION BY SENATOR SABB:
6	Q. I just wanted to follow up. You indicated that a
7	percentage, and I don't know how you classified it, of what
8	you do is family court mediation?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And so just following up on Mr. Safran's point,
11	about quantifying how much you do, and I think your
12	response was you do approximately fifteen or so cases a
13	year, how many would you and when you mentioned cases,
14	you are not including mediation as a apart of those, you
15	were talking about the cases that you're actually trying
16	and participating as a lawyer.
17	A. Right. That's correct.
18	Q. So if you would quantify your work the number
19	of cases that you're mediating, let's say within in the
20	last year, as you did just now for me, please.
21	A. Probably about twenty-five.
22	Q. Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Any further
24	questions?
25	(Hearing none.)

Γ

Page 90

1	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ms. Ferri, thank you so
2	much. This will conclude this portion of your screening
3	process. I want to take this opportunity to remind you
4	that pursuant to the Commission's evaluative criteria, the
5	Commission expects candidates to follow the spirit as well
6	as the letter of the ethics law. We will view violations
7	or the appearance of impropriety as serious and potentially
8	deserving of heavy weight in the screening deliberations.
9	On that note, the record will remain open
10	until the formal release of the report of qualifications,
11	and you may be called back at such time if the need arises.
12	Thank you for offering for this position and
13	I thank you for your service to the state of South
14	Carolina. And I and wish you all a great success on
15	navigating I-26 back to Charleston.
16	MS. FERRI: Thank you so much. And thank
17	you all for your time.
18	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Enjoy your time over here
19	in the State House tour, and it will be it will be
20	informative for you.
21	MS. FERRI: Yes. Thank you so much.
22	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Thank you.
23	(Candidate excused.)
24	CHAIRMAN SMITH: We'll take a five-minute
25	break, guys. And then we'll get the next candidate.

Page 91

1	(Off the record from 12:01 p.m. to 12:12)
2	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Let's go back
3	on the record. And we'll conclude our 15-minute break so
4	Representative Rutherford cannot be delayed any longer.
5	All right. Good afternoon, Ms. Jacobson. I
6	
	see you have some guests with you. Would you like to
7	introduce them to the Commission?
8	MS. JACOBSON: Good afternoon. Yes, with me
9	today is my husband, Jack Landis. Also with me is my
10	friend and colleague Hervery Young, Deputy Director and
11	General Counsel for the South Carolina Commission on
12	Indigent Defense.
13	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Well, welcome
14	guys. I appreciate you-all being here today. Ms.
15	Jacobson, will you raise your right hand, please, ma'am.
16	WHEREUPON,
17	MARISSA K. JACOBSON, being duly sworn and
18	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing
19	but the truth.
20	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Before you, you have your
21	personal data questionnaire and your sworn statement. Are
22	those both documents that you've submitted to the
23	Commission?
24	MS. JACOBSON: Yes.
25	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Are there any changes or

1	updates that need to be made at this time?
2	MS. JACOBSON: No, sir.
3	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Do you have any objection
4	to us making those as exhibits to your sworn testimony here
5	today?
6	MS. JACOBSON: No, sir.
7	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Without
8	objection they'll be made exhibits to your sworn testimony
9	here today.
10	(EXHIBIT NO. 8 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
11	COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF MARISSA
12	K. JACOBSON)
13	(EXHIBIT NO. 9 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
14	COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF MARISSA K.
15	JACOBSON)
16	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ms. Jacobson, the Judicial
17	Merit Selection Commission has thoroughly investigated your
18	qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry is focused on
19	nine evaluative criteria, and has included a ballot box
20	survey, a thorough study of your application materials,
21	verification of your compliance with the state ethics laws,
22	a search of newspaper articles in which your name appears,
23	a study of previous screenings, and checks for economic
24	conflicts of interest.
25	We have no affidavits filed in opposition to

Page 93

1	your to your candidacy today, and there are no witnesses
2	here to testify. Do you wish to make a brief opening
3	statement to the Commission?
4	MS. JACOBSON: I have no opening statement.
5	However, I'd like thank you all for your giving your
6	time this morning and your consideration.
7	CHAIRMAN SMITH: I appreciate that. And
8	then answer any questions that counsel may have for you,
9	please, ma'am.
10	MS. BAKER: Good morning, Ms. Jacobson.
11	Please state for the record the city and circuit in which
12	you reside.
13	MS. JACOBSON: I live in Charleston, which
14	is in the 9th Judicial Circuit.
15	MS. BAKER: Mr. Chairman, I note for the
16	record that based on the testimony contained in the
17	candidate's PDQ, which has been included in the record with
18	the candidate's consent, Ms. Jacobson meets the statutory
19	requirements for this position regarding age, residence and
20	years of practice.
21	EXAMINATION BY MS. BAKER:
22	Q. Ms. Jacobson, why do you want to serve as a
23	family court judge, and why do you feel that your legal and
24	professional experience qualify and will assist you to be
25	an effective judge?

Γ

1	A. Being a family court judge is an opportunity for
2	me to serve the public and the legal community. The public
3	and the legal community have been good to my family and to
4	me. I'm a third generation Charleston attorney. My
5	father, Chuck Jacobson, still practices with Uricchio Howe
6	and Krell in Charleston.
7	The way that I could best give back would be in
8	the area of family law. I have dedicated my law practice
9	of nearly fifteen years to the areas of child welfare and
10	marital litigation. I enjoy family law. I like trying
11	cases. I enjoy being in the courtroom. I'm in court three
12	to four times a week when court is in session.
13	I believe that the experience and knowledge that
14	I've gained throughout my law practice, coupled with the
15	energy and enthusiasm that I have for family law, would
16	make serving as a family court judge both rewarding and a
17	fulfilling experience.
18	Additionally, I believe, that I have the
19	appropriate temperament and demeanor necessary for a family
20	court judge. I am patient. I am kind, considerate and
21	understanding. I also believe I have the ability to be
22	fair and impartial.
23	Q. Ms. Jacobson, are there any areas of the law for
24	which you would need additional preparation in order to
25	serve as a family court judge, and how would you handle the

Page 95

1	additional preparation?
2	A. The area in which I have the least amount of
3	practice is DJJ. However, with that being said, the child
4	welfare aspect of my practice often overlaps with DJJ.
5	Specifically with duly indicated juveniles.
6	Since I put in my application to run for family
7	court judge, I've begun observing delinquency proceedings,
8	refamiliarizing myself with recent case law and the
9	statutes that are applicable to juvenile proceedings.
10	Q. Ms. Jacobson, please briefly describe your
11	experience in handling complex contested family court
12	matters, and specifically discuss your experience with the
13	financial aspects of family court work.
14	A. I've handled an extensive amount of contested
15	family court matters in many different areas of family law.
16	I have dealt with unique and complex issues that include
17	consideration of the Indian Child Welfare Act and the
18	Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction Enforcement Act.
19	I've handled termination of parental rights and
20	adoption matters, representing both the plaintiff and the
21	defendant. Also serving as a guardian ad litem. In cases
22	like that I have employed the use of parental fitness
23	experts.
24	I've handled custody contested custody and
25	visitation matters dealing with issues that include

Page 96

1	parental alienation, Munchausen by Proxy, and children who
2	have special needs. Those cases have called for the use of
3	forensic psychologists, and often medical experts.
4	I've handled marital litigation involving
5	accusations of alcohol abuse and drug abuse, where forensic
б	toxicologists are needed. I've handled simple divorces for
7	people who have no children and minimal assets.
8	I've also handed handled divorces and custody
9	matters where litigants have large marital estates and a
10	great deal of wealth, requiring forensic accountants,
11	comparative market analyses, alimony, child support, non-
12	marital asset consideration, equitable division and
1.0	
13	business valuations.
⊥3 14	Q. Ms. Jacobson, the Commission received 192 ballot
14	Q. Ms. Jacobson, the Commission received 192 ballot
14 15	Q. Ms. Jacobson, the Commission received 192 ballot box surveys regarding you, with 28 additional comments.
14 15 16	Q. Ms. Jacobson, the Commission received 192 ballot box surveys regarding you, with 28 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following
14 15 16 17	Q. Ms. Jacobson, the Commission received 192 ballot box surveys regarding you, with 28 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments:
14 15 16 17 18	Q. Ms. Jacobson, the Commission received 192 ballot box surveys regarding you, with 28 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments: "Marissa would be an outstanding judge. She has
14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Ms. Jacobson, the Commission received 192 ballot box surveys regarding you, with 28 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments:
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Ms. Jacobson, the Commission received 192 ballot box surveys regarding you, with 28 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments: "Marissa would be an outstanding judge. She has ability, experience and integrity necessary for the bench. Our law firm has had the privilege of referring clients to
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Ms. Jacobson, the Commission received 192 ballot box surveys regarding you, with 28 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments:
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Ms. Jacobson, the Commission received 192 ballot box surveys regarding you, with 28 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments:

Page 97

1	family court judge. She has experience dealing with all
2	areas in family court. I know her to be intelligent,
3	thoughtful, fair, respectful to all, and highly admired
4	among her peers."
5	Eight of the written comments expressed some
6	concerns. Several comments indicated that you have limited
7	experience in family court. What response would you offer
8	to that concern?
9	A. Well, my law practice has involved child welfare,
10	marital litigation and every nearly every aspect of
11	family law. My practice is probably 60 percent child
12	welfare and 40 percent private matters. And I believe that
13	I've had an opportunity to represent clients in nearly
14	every area of the law.
15	Now, when people see me in court, it's very
16	possible they may assume I'm there for a child welfare
17	matter. However, I may also be there for one of my private
18	cases. I don't announce when I go to court or what I'm
19	going to be there for.
20	Q. Ms. Jacobson, another concern in some of the
21	comments indicated you have a lack of diligence or
22	motivation in your cases. What response would you offer to
23	this concern regarding diligence and motivation?
24	A. I care very deeply for my clients. I'm
25	accessible to them all of the time. I only have one number

Γ

1	for my law practice, it's my cell phone. And my clients
2	and I communicate sometimes from 6 a.m. in the morning till
3	eleven o'clock at night.
4	I think if the panel had an opportunity to speak
5	to my clients, they would understand that I am very
6	thorough, I'm constantly in contact with my clients, and do
7	the best job for my clients, to achieve the outcome that
8	they are hoping for.
9	Q. Ms. Jacobson, you were named a party in a real
10	property case filed in the Court of Common Pleas in 1995.
11	Could you please explain the nature and disposition in this
12	action?
13	A. I believe that my parents had some property, and
14	they had a quiet title action. And I think that's what
15	that is in reference to.
16	Q. Ms. Jacobson, what would you if you had to master
17	a unfamiliar area of the law in a relative short period of
18	time?
19	A. I would review the case law. I would review the
20	statutes. I would reach out to my peers and colleagues as
21	a resource. I'm not afraid to ask questions. And I would
22	try to gain as much knowledge and as I possibly could.
23	MS. BAKER: I would note that the Low
24	Country Citizens Committee found Ms. Jacobson qualified in
25	the evaluative criteria of constitutional qualifications,

1	physician health and mental stability. The Committee found
2	her well qualified in the criteria of ethical fitness,
3	professional and academic ability, character, reputation,
4	experience and judicial temperament.
5	The Committee stated in summary, "Great
6	demeanor, dedicated, good experience, thoughtful."
7	BY MS. BAKER:
8	Q. Ms. Jacobson, I have a few housekeeping matters.
9	Are you aware that as a judicial candidate, you are bound
10	by the code of judicial conduct as found in Rule 501 of the
11	South Carolina Appellate Court Rules?
12	A. I am.
13	Q. Ms. Jacobson, since submitting your letter of
14	intent have you contacted any members of the Commission
15	about your candidacy?
16	A. I have not.
17	Q. Are you familiar with section 2-19-70, including
18	the limitations on contacting members of the General
19	Assembly regarding your screening?
20	A. I am.
21	Q. Since submitting your letter of intent have you
22	sought or received the pledge of any legislator either
23	prior to this date or pending the outcome of your
24	screening?
25	A. I have not.

Page 100

1	Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact
2	members of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you
3	aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on
4	your behalf?
5	A. I have not.
6	Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the
7	Commission guidelines on pledging and South Carolina Code
8	section 2-19-70(E)?
9	A. I am.
10	MS. BAKER: I would just note for the record
11	that any concerns raised during the investigation regarding
12	the candidate were incorporated into the questioning of the
13	candidate today. Mr. Chairman, I have no further
14	questions.
15	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Thank you very
16	much. Does anybody have questions? Representative
17	Rutherford.
18	EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD:
19	Q. Good morning.
20	A. Good morning.
21	Q. Thank you. Good afternoon. I'm sorry. Can you
22	help me with why in listening to your presentation, you
23	truly sounded like an experienced, well versed family court
24	lawyer. But so many comments were regarding your lack of
25	experience. Can you help me out with why that is?

Page 101

1	
1	A. I don't know why I have given the impression to
2	some members of the Bar that I'm inexperienced. I work
3	with a great deal of members of the Bar regularly in
4	domestic matters. My practice is exclusively family law.
5	I don't know if these are people who have never
6	had cases with me. I really it's hard to respond to why
7	people think that I lack experience. I would assume it's
8	just because they haven't had a case with me, or had the
9	opportunity to work with me.
10	Q. Tell me about your representation of juveniles.
11	Did I hear you say that you have not represented?
12	A. I have that would be the area in which I have
13	the least experience. I have represented juveniles in the
14	past, primarily for private clients, or when I've had
15	overlap with child welfare cases. But it would be an area
16	I would need to continue to observe, as I have been, and
17	continue to refamiliarize myself with the statutes and case
18	law.
19	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: No further
20	questions.
21	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Representative Murphy.
22	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Thank you, Mr.
23	Chairman. Ms. Jacobson, I just wanted to make it a point
24	to not all the comments are negative. Of course we have
25	a duty to bring those out. But reviewing the ballot box

Page 102

1	survey, there are there are quite a number of candidates
2	that talk about that you're an excellent lawyer, a great
3	judge, they've had been an adversary against you, and
4	that they think that you're a well qualified to be a family
5	court judge.
6	I want to also just mention, looking through
7	your references, the letters of references, I know of quite
8	a number of those attorneys that spoke highly about you.
9	And I not to bring up anything in your past, but I also
10	noticed that your ex-husband also even though you all
11	were divorced, also a practicing attorney, also wrote a
12	letter of recommendation and spoke highly of you.
13	And so I just want to thank you for your
14	willingness to serve and to go through this process.
15	MS. JACOBSON: Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Senator Young.
17	SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
18	EXAMINATION BY SENATOR YOUNG:
19	Q. Thank you for your quest to serve on the family
20	court bench. I've read your materials, and one of the
21	areas I want to ask you a question about is on the personal
22	data questionnaire. It was a question that asked about
23	your experience in family court matters.
24	And you gave a very detailed response, part of
25	which states that you handled abuse and neglect matters as

Page 103

a guardian ad litem, also as an attorney representing
 clients accused of abuse -- of abusing and neglecting their
 children, as well as hired by the Commission on Indigent
 Defense to represent parents and persons acting as
 caretakers for minor children accused of abusing and
 neglecting minor children.

7 If you had to try to improve the system for 8 families and children in the family courts, with respect to 9 abuse and neglect cases, based on what you've observed in 10 your 14 years of working in the family courts, what would 11 you do?

A. The short answer to that is I would encourage DSS to follow the law and to get in and out of peoples' lives. And by that what I mean is, that it has appeared to me in my experience, that DSS sometimes forgets the reason for their existence.

They were initially created to protect children and vulnerable adults. And the overarching goal that should be constantly worked towards is to reunify families and to maintain the integrity of the family unit. Strict statutory time lines were enacted to ensure that children would not languish in foster care.

23 Unfortunately, those time lines are not always 24 met. Services need to be offered that effectively deal 25 with the actual reason that the families come into the

Γ

1	system. There is not a one-size-fits-all service to fix
2	families. Services need to be offered to address this
3	specific reason that a family comes into the system, and
4	then once parents are working towards that goal, the goal
5	does not need to be continually moved.
6	The role of DSS is not to create perfect
7	families. There is no such thing as a perfect family.
8	It's to ensure that children and vulnerable adults are safe
9	and they are not being abused or neglected.
10	If it appears that reunification is not possible,
11	then we need to switch gears and move towards maintaining -
12	- move towards obtaining permanency for these children,
13	whether it be through adoption or permanent relative
14	custody or another permanent plan living arrangement.
15	Q. I appreciate your response. You raise some
16	insight on some areas that we have not heard from other
17	candidates. I would ask, too, that could you comment on
18	your experience with adoption cases?
19	A. I've handled adoption, termination of parental
20	rights cases, both representing the plaintiff and the
21	defendant, and serving as the guardian ad litem in abuse
22	and neglect matters and in private matters.
23	Q. Have you represented any juveniles in family
24	court criminal matters?
25	A. I have. Particularly, when their parents are the

Page 105

1	victims, or the alleged victims of the crime, or their
2	parents are not present.
3	Q. Thank you for your response.
4	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ms. McIver.
т 5	
	MS. MCIVER: Thank you.
6	EXAMINATION BY MS. MCIVER:
7	Q. In discussing some of your experience, have you
8	handled complex custody matters?
9	A. I have.
10	Q. You're experienced with temporary hearings, and
11	do you know what I mean when I mention a temporary hearing?
12	A. I do know what a temporary hearing is.
13	Q. Okay. We heard some discussion about how
14	difficult it is often to get court time, and that sometimes
15	lawyers may ask for a 15-minute hearing in order to get
16	into the courtroom sooner, to try and establish some
17	normalcy for the children in the pendency, waiting for a
18	final hearing but maybe 15 minutes is not quite enough.
19	Have you had any experiences with going to a
20	temporary hearing and finding that the 15 minutes is not
21	enough? And how would you handle it if you were on the
22	bench and you had parties who may have requested 15
23	minutes, showed up with a book of affidavits, but needed
24	more than that time allotted?
25	A. Well, temporary hearings, the burden of proof is

Γ

1	prima facie, which is at first glance. So theoretically,
2	15 minutes should be enough to get that first glance.
3	However, that does not mean it would be enough time to make
4	a decision.
5	Some cases are more simple than others. Fifteen
6	may 15 minutes may be enough to hear both sides and
7	review those affidavits.
8	The adverse to that is that 15 minutes may be
9	only enough time to hear the attorneys, their argument, and
10	then that matter may have to be taken under advisement.
11	Because when parties show up with two notebooks that are
12	this tall, there's no way that anyone can review that
13	amount of information in that short period of time.
14	I have not had a problem getting into court for
15	15-minute temporary hearings. They are generally to be
16	scheduled within four weeks. And in my experience in the
17	counties that I regularly work in, that does not that
18	does not seem to be a problem for me.
19	However, like you said, with reviewing all that
20	material, it would just depend on the case. But some
21	matters may have to be taken under advisement.
22	Q. Have you had instances where you've shown up with
23	a book of affidavits and a family court judge has said, "We
24	don't need to hear anything from the lawyers. I'll rule
25	based on the affidavits?" And what are your thoughts on

1	whether or not that makes sense?
2	A. I have. And that does not bother me. Because
3	the rule the only evidence allowed to be presented at a
4	temporary hearing are affidavits. I think often, judges
5	give the courtesy to attorneys so they can speak on behalf
6	of their clients. Because I believe that clients want to
7	hear their attorney speak.
8	But I think what is being actually considered is
9	what is being presented in the affidavits. And if someone
10	if I were in a case with someone, and they were arguing
11	outside of their affidavit, I would object. Because it's
12	inadmissible.
13	Q. Thank you.
14	SENATOR SABB: Mr. Chairman.
15	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Senator Sabb.
16	SENATOR SABB: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
17	And thank you, Ms. Jacobson, for offering. I actually just
18	wanted to make a comment. I read that you volunteer your
19	services for mock trial. And I just appreciate lawyers
20	that are doing that. It's an incredible experience for the
21	middle school as well as the high school.
22	I tried to do it. I probably don't give
23	enough time to doing it. But when I do it, I really enjoy
24	it. And I don't know what your experience has been yet, I
25	don't know how long you've been doing it, but to see those

Page 108

1	kids want to be lawyers, and all of those kinds of things
2	because of their experience, I think it's really having a
3	good impact on our kids. And I think, ultimately, our
4	profession will benefit from it.
5	So I just wanted to commend you for giving
6	of yourself in that way. And if you care to comment on any
7	of that, I'd be delighted to hear from you.
8	MS. JACOBSON: Thank you. The children that
9	participate in the mock trial in middle school and high
10	school, it is remarkable. Just the experience to get up
11	and speak in front of that many people at that
12	especially middle school at that young age, it's
13	unbelievable.
14	I began doing mock trial when I started dating my
15	husband, Jack Landis. And we actually got married
16	following a mock trial competition in March of this year.
17	So we enjoy it and it's an opportunity to give back.
18	SENATOR SABB: Absolutely. So you've been
19	doing it how long?
20	MS. JACOBSON: About two years.
21	SENATOR SABB: Well, continue, please.
22	MS. JACOBSON: Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any further questions?
24	(Hearing none.)
25	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ms. Jacobson, let me just

1	make a comment and an observation, and that is I'm looking
2	through this, and I look at your Citizens Committee report,
3	and for those who gave you the negative comments on there,
4	saying you didn't have experience, the Citizens Committee
5	disagreed with them. And they listed you as a great
б	demeanor, dedicated, good experience and thoughtful. So
7	that is that's impressive, 'cause they don't give
8	comments usually on those. So I want to congratulate you
9	in that regard.
10	MS. JACOBSON: Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. With no further
12	questions, this will conclude this portion of our screening
13	process. I want to take this opportunity to remind you,
14	that pursuant to the Commission's evaluative criteria, the
15	Commission expects candidates to follow the spirit as well
16	as the letter of the ethics law. And will view violations,
17	or the appearance of impropriety, as serious and
18	potentially deserving of heavy weight in the screening
19	deliberations.
20	As you know, the record will remain open
21	till the formal release of the report of qualifications,
22	and you may be called back at such time if the need arises.
23	Thank you for offering for this position and
24	thank you for your service to the state of South Carolina.
25	MS. JACOBSON: Thank you.

Page 110

1	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. You-all have a
2	safe trip back to Charleston. Enjoy I-26.
3	MS. JACOBSON: Thank you.
4	(Candidate excused.)
5	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ms. Stokes, how are you
6	doing today?
7	MS. STOKES: I'm doing very well. Thank
8	you.
9	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Will you raise your right
10	hand, please, ma'am.
11	WHEREUPON:
12	JULIANNE M. STOKES, being duly sworn and
13	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing
14	but the truth.
15	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ms. Stokes, before you, a
16	personal data questionnaire and sworn statement. Are those
17	documents you submitted to the Commission?
18	MS. STOKES: They are.
19	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any changes or updates that
20	need to be made at this time?
21	MS. STOKES: No.
22	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Do you have any objection
23	to us making those two as exhibits to your sworn testimony
24	here today?
25	MS. STOKES: No objection.

Page 111

1	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Without
2	objection those become part of the record of your sworn
3	testimony.
4	(EXHIBIT NO. 10 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
5	COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF
б	JULIANNE M. STOKES)
7	(EXHIBIT NO. 11 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
8	COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF JULIANNE M. STOKES)
9	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ms. Stokes, the Judicial
10	Merit Selection Commission has thoroughly investigated your
11	qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry has focused on
12	nine evaluative criteria and has included a ballot box
13	survey, a thorough study of your application materials,
14	verification of your compliance with state ethics laws, a
15	search of newspaper articles in which your name appears, a
16	study of previous screenings and checks for economic
17	conflicts of interest.
18	We've received no affidavits today filed in
19	opposition to your election. There are no witnesses are
20	present to testify. Do you wish to make a brief opening
21	statement to the Commission?
22	MS. STOKES: I will waive that statement,
23	but I am happy to answer any questions you might have.
24	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Thank you very much.
25	Answer any questions Counsel may have for you.

Page 112

1	
1	MS. STOKES: Thank you.
2	MR. FRANKLIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
3	Good afternoon, Ms. Stokes. Please state for the record
4	the city and circuit in which you reside.
5	MS. STOKES: Charleston, South Carolina.
6	9th Judicial Circuit.
7	MR. FRANKLIN: Mr. Chairman, I would note
8	for the record that based on the testimony contained in the
9	candidate's PDQ, which has been included in the record with
10	the candidate's consent, Julianne Stokes meets the
11	statutory requirements for this position regarding age,
12	residence and years of practice.
13	EXAMINATION BY MR. FRANKLIN:
14	Q. Ms. Stokes, why do you want to serve as a family
15	court judge, and why do you feel that your legal and
16	professional experience qualify and will assist you to be
17	an effective judge?
18	A. Thank you. I'm a woman of faith. And I believe
19	that God gives all of us talents and gifts that we can use
20	to help others. I think that one of my one of the
21	talents that I've been given is patience, an even
22	temperament, diligence. And I would like to use all of
23	those gifts to serve the people of South Carolina.
24	I came from a public serve-oriented family. My
25	grandfather served as a circuit court judge. And I adored

Γ

Page 113

1	him. I looked up to him. He was also in the State House
2	of Representatives, and I enjoyed visiting him there.
3	My parents were both public servants, working for
4	the Department of Juvenile Justice first, which is where
5	they met, and then moving on to work for the school
6	district in Laurens County.
7	So they instilled in me a desire to do good and
8	to do something for other people, not just for myself. And
9	so while I've enjoyed private practice, and if I continue
10	private practice, I'll continue to enjoy it, I do think
11	that this to me it feels like a calling.
12	It feels like a direction that I am being pulled
13	as opposed to a direction that I'm running toward. And so
14	I would like to serve the people of South Carolina.
15	As my PDQ indicates, I've been practicing
16	privately for 13 years, solely in the family courts,
17	handling anything from DSS to adoption to small family law
18	cases and huge, complex family law cases, the financial
19	aspects as well as the custody aspects of private
20	litigation.
21	And so I think my experience renders me more than
22	capable to serve on the family court bench. And I would
23	hope for that opportunity at some point in time.
24	Q. Are there any areas of the law for which you
25	would need additional preparation in order to serve as a

Page 114

1 family court judge, and how would you handle that 2 additional preparation? 3 At the time I submitted my application in late Α. 4 June, I did not have experience with DJJ juvenile 5 proceedings. I have never served as a public defender, 6 I've never served as a prosecutor, and so I didn't have 7 experience in that vein. 8 So I proactively went and I observed days of 9 different varying DJJ hearings to familiarize myself with 10 It's interesting to watch each of the family court that. 11 judges and how they handle the juveniles that come before 12 them. 13 It is -- it is a -- it is certainly an area where 14 a judge can make a huge difference, a huge impact on a 15 young person's life. And so I enjoyed learning about that 16 process. And I think if I were a judge, I could truly help 17 the juveniles that come before me. You touched on this a little bit, but please 18 0. 19 describe your experience in handling complex contested 20 family court matters, and specifically discuss your 21 experience with the financial aspects of family court work. 22 When I originally graduated law school, I went Α. 23 straight into private practice with two very prominent 24 Charleston litigators. They'd been litigating for years 25 before I got there.

Page 115

1	And so I was baptized by fire, so to speak. They
2	immediately threw me into depositions and contested
3	hearings. I'll never forget being told to show up at court
4	one day, and I had no idea that the client for him I was
5	appearing had just tested positive for cocaine. So I, you
б	know, had no idea what I was doing originally.
7	But through over a decade of practicing and being
8	kind of boots on the ground, I did gain experience with all
9	facets of family law, from folks who don't really have any
10	assets, they just have debts, all the way to multi-million-
11	dollar estates.
12	I frequently, currently work with forensic
13	accountants, business valuators. And I think the level of
14	expertise on that is important, because I have had to deal
15	with tax issues. And there's a lot of complexity involved
16	in small businesses.
17	And a lot of family law cases involves small
18	business where a husband and wife may have been operating
19	them together, and so there are so many nuances that are
20	important. And if you've never done it before, you don't
21	really know what to look for. So I've enjoyed I've
22	enjoyed that aspect of my practice.
23	With regard to the custodial issues, I do a
24	frequent amount of guardian work. I'm also the statewide
25	moderator of the guardian seminar. And so I'm very aware

of issues that involve children, particularly with regard 1 2 to high conflict custody cases, which we're seeing more and 3 more of. 4 This issue of parental alienation, which is kind 5 of a trending hot button issue, the most recent contested 6 custody case I tried was last October. It was a six-day 7 case deemed complex. DSS was involved. And so I tried 8 that through completion. It's actually up on the court --9 of the Court of Appeals right now, because it is such a 10 complex issue. 11 I also enjoy in my practice, currently, a lot of 12 mediations. People trust me to impartial and look at all 13 of the issues involved in their situation. And so I've had 14 a lot of mediations settle, because I know what the issues 15 are and what needs to happen. 16 The Commission received 0. Thank you, Ms. Stokes. 17 251 ballot box surveys regarding you, with 34 additional 18 The ballot box survey, for example, contained comments. 19 the following positive comments: 20 "Julianne is smart and fair. She brings a strong 21 background in family court work to her candidacy and would 22 be an asset to our bench." 23 Second, "I have met few lawyers with as even a 24 temperament as Julianne. She is reasonable to have as 25 opposing counsel, and has had very sound judgement."

I

1	Third, "Julianne is a rising star among family
2	court lawyers statewide, and we would be fortunate to catch
3	someone of her talent on the upswing of her career."
4	Finally, "I've had the pleasure of working with
5	Mr. Stokes on two incredibly complex matters that were high
6	charged emotionally. Her ability to stay cool is
7	unparalleled. She is smart, thinks strategically, and is
8	fairminded."
9	However, three of the written comments expressed
10	concerns that you lack experience when it comes to the
11	criminal aspect of family law, specifically juvenile
12	defendants in DJJ. What response would you offer to those
13	comments?
14	A. Sure. I think and I think that's a fair
15	assessment. I think people who know me, know what it is
16	that I do, which is complex contested litigation and
17	mediations and guardian work. As I said, I knew when I put
18	my name in the hat, that it was important for me to get
19	eyewitness experience with juvenile proceedings.
20	And so I've been to Charleston County family
21	court, again on numerous occasions, to observe those
22	hearings. And I think I've gotten a pretty good feel for
23	what happens in each of those situations.
24	Q. Ms. Stokes, what do you view as a significant
25	challenge facing the family court today, and how would you

1	address or attempt to address that challenge as a family
2	court judge?
3	A. Having never served on the family court bench, I
4	can only state it from my point of view. And I'm sure all
5	of you are aware that the family court and the judiciary
6	across the state is under attack, so to speak, by the
7	media. I think they are being investigated thoroughly, the
8	process is under intense scrutiny.
9	There was an article in the Post and Courier
10	recently, relating to magistrate judges. There have been
11	articles on FITSNews and various other news outlets about,
12	you know, how are the judges picked, is it fair, is it
13	is it you know, is it really serving the people of South
14	Carolina.
15	And I think the most important part of the
16	process is getting the right people on the bench, getting
17	people who, when they're on they bench, the people the
18	litigants that come in front of them go, "Oh, well, I got a
19	fair shake. Maybe I didn't get the result I wanted, but I
20	was heard. I was understood. I was given an opportunity.
21	That judge considered all of the facts, not just who the
22	lawyers were, or was the lawyer wearing a fancy suit."
23	So I think I think that's the challenge for
24	the judiciary, is appearing truly fair and impartial and
25	unbiased. And I think the way to handle that is through a

Page 119

1	respectful appearance as a judge.
2	Q. And as a family law attorney you've had a lot of
3	experience dealing with highly emotional and demanding
4	clients. Which strategies have you used to cope with the
5	stress and demands inherent in practicing family law?
6	Stated different, how do you remain professionally cool, as
7	one of your ballot box responses noted, in your practice?
8	A. For me, again I think it's an issue of faith. I
9	attend church every Sunday and every Wednesday. I don't
10	say that to brag. I say that simply because it's such an
11	integral part of my life. I conduct the chair choir at my
12	church. I'm a first and second grade Sunday school
13	teacher.
14	It is it is my second home, so to speak. And
15	I have an amazing church family that I've been hearing from
16	all day today. So I think that would be the first part of
17	it would be my faith, prayer, and staying grounded.
18	I exercise. I drink moderately as opposed to
19	heavily. I don't use drugs. I mean, all of the things
20	that I think any any number of people could fall into a
21	trap. And I tend to avoid all of those things.
22	Q. Thank you.
23	MR. FRANKLIN: I would note that the Low
24	Country Citizens Committee found Julianne Stokes well
25	
25	qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical fitness,

Γ

1	professional and academic ability, character, reputation,
2	experience judicial temperament, and qualified as to the
3	remaining evaluative criteria of constitutional
4	qualifications, physical health and mental stability.
5	The Committee stated in sum, quote,
6	"Considerable experience, very knowledgeable and
7	personable. Extremely well qualified. A super candidate."
8	BY MR. FRANKLIN:
9	Q. Now for a few housekeeping issues. Ms. Stokes,
10	are you aware that as a judicial candidate you are bound by
11	the Code of Judicial Conduct as found in Rule 501 of the
12	South Carolina Appellate Court Rules?
13	A. I am.
14	Q. Since submitting your letter of intent have you
15	contacted any members of the Commission about your
16	candidacy?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including
19	the limitations on contacting members of the General
20	Assembly regarding your screening?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Since submitting your letter of intent have you
23	sought or received a pledge of any legislator, either prior
24	to this date or pending the outcome of your screening
25	A. No.

Γ

1	Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact
2	members of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you
3	aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on
4	your behalf?
5	A. I have not. And I am not aware of any.
6	Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the
7	Commission's guidelines on pledging and South Carolina Code
8	Section 2-19-70(E)?
9	A. I do.
10	MR. FRANKLIN: I would note for the record
11	that any concerns raised during the investigation by staff
12	regarding this candidate were incorporated into the
13	questioning of the candidate today. Mr. Chairman, I have
14	no further questions.
15	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Thank you very much. Any
16	questions of Ms. Stokes?
17	EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN SMITH:
18	Q. Ms. Stokes, let me ask you a question that we've
19	kind of been I want to make an observation and ask you
20	some a couple questions. But first, I as that
21	candidate before you came, I think it's interesting that we
22	look at the Citizen's Committee and we look at the Bar
23	reports, and we look at the ballot box surveys, and I again
24	want to point out where where a candidate has excelled.
25	And you have with your Citizen's Committee.

Page 122

1	And, you know, I just think it's interesting when
2	you make an impression on them. And I know some of them
3	down there, most of them are lawyers. Some are not. But,
4	you know, they say considerable experience, very
5	knowledgeable, personable, extremely well qualified. And
6	then they put "super candidate."
7	So that's something you ought to be proud of.
8	A. That's very humbling.
9	Q. It is very humble it should be humbling. I
10	haven't seen anyone referred to as a super candidate
11	before. So you're the first to obtain that certification.
12	A. Thank you.
13	Q. But just a couple of questions. When you go
14	through this, I'm impressed with the listening to you
15	about your experience and your in family court. And one
16	of the things we see from time to time are people who come
17	to family court, and then offer themselves for circuit
18	court a few years later. Would that be something you would
19	be interested in? Or are you wanting to be a family court
20	judge?
21	A. It is it is not in my bailiwick, so to speak.
22	This is this is my love and my passion. And so family
23	court is where I want to be and want to stay. And
24	hopefully retired one day from the family court bench.
25	Q. As it relates to family court, you know, I'm

Page 123

1	looking through this and you do a lot of private private
2	work, I presume, from what I've heard from your testimony.
3	How about the Department of Social Services, the abuse and
4	neglect, some of those cases? I know we were all appointed
5	to them, way back when, and now we've got the 608 and we
6	got the contracts, and so we don't do that to the degree
7	that we used to. Have you been involved in any of that?
8	A. That would be correct. When I started my career
9	in '05/'06, I was appointed and dealt with those types of
10	cases on a relatively frequent basis. I would say my most
11	recent involvement with DSS was the high conflict, complex
12	custody case that I tried last October.
13	Again, because from the outset DSS was involved,
14	I had a lot of experience directly DSS and its attorney and
15	its guardian and its its varied services. So I do feel
16	that I've had plenty of experience with DSS.
17	And it's a little it's a little bit of an
18	ever-changing creature, as you know. There are people who
19	kind of come in and out, and you're not really sure who you
20	might get on the phone on a daily basis. But I do
21	frequently deal with DSS.
22	The other vein I would say is when I'm a
23	guardian, a lot of cases, for whatever reasons start with a
24	claim of abuse that also then involves DSS early on. DSS
25	then eventually bows out. But for me on the front end of

1	the case as a guardian, or even as an attorney for a
2	contested custody case, I have DSS involvement on the front
3	end.
4	Q. And I also noticed that you do mediations.
5	You're a certified mediator?
6	A. I am.
7	Q. And how has that your experience with that?
8	Is it fairly successful in resolving cases? And how has
9	that impacted the family court? I don't since there's
10	mandatory mediation, I haven't been practicing in family
11	court any longer.
12	A. Right.
13	Q. But I see a dramatic effect that it's had on the
14	civil docket.
15	A. I would say I would say if I don't make it to
16	the family court bench this go-round, my mediation practice
17	will continue to be very successful. That is actually
18	where I find most of my success lies.
19	I think it's difficult when you go in front of a
20	family court judge, because you don't know what the
21	outcome's going to be, every judge does something different
22	on a different day. And so when litigants come in front of
23	me as a mediator, I'm able to say, "You're rolling the dice
24	if you go in front of a judge. Because there's 50-plus
25	judges, and you don't know who you're going to who

Page 125

1	you're going to be in front of, and they all have slightly
2	different opinions."
3	So I've enjoyed the mediation practice. And I
4	think it's also allowed me to see, kind of introspectively,
5	that I'm pretty darned good at being neutral. And when
6	people walk out and they're happy at the end of a
7	mediation, that's almost unheard of.
8	'Cause nobody's really happy when they settled
9	their case. Everybody gave up something. But when they
10	walk out and they go, "Man, I think I think I just did
11	the right thing," that's a pretty a pretty good feeling.
12	Q. All right. I appreciate it.
13	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any further questions?
14	Senator Young.
15	SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
16	EXAMINATION BY SENATOR YOUNG:
17	Q. Ms. Stokes, thank you for your interest in
18	serving our state on the family court. I'm reading your
19	personal data questionnaire. One question I have is
20	there's a question on the questioning that asks you to give
21	in detail your experience in practicing in family court,
22	the different areas. And do you remember that question?
23	,
	A. Vaguely.
24	A. Vaguely. Q. I'm reading it. And there's a there's a

1	on your experience handling adoption matters?
2	A. Sure. I have I have handled adoptions from
3	the standpoint of a step-parent adoption. I have been
4	appointed as guardian in private adoptions and also in
5	step-parent adoptions. And so I've been involved in the
6	adoption process from soup to nuts, so to speak, on
7	multiple different occasions.
8	I also recently, again, since I put my name in
9	the ring for family court, went and have been observing a
10	lot of the final hearings for adoption cases. It's very
11	statutorily driven. And so at the final hearing, the judge
12	essentially goes down a list of check-boxes and making sure
13	everything is covered.
14	So I did kind of refamiliarize myself, since so
15	much of my practice is the divorce and custody aspect. I
16	refamiliarized myself with the adoption aspect as well.
17	Q. Now, it also has an area where you put juvenile
18	justice. And it looks like that you candidly wrote that
19	you may not have appeared as counsel for juveniles in
20	family court in the past. Is that correct?
21	A. That is correct.
22	Q. What do you intend to do to try to get experience
23	in that area if you're elected to the family court?
24	A. Sure. When I originally put my name in the in
25	the running for this position, I immediately recognized

Page 127

1 that, that was the one area that I didn't have courtroom 2 experience in. And so I began observing the various judges 3 down in Charleston County. They do kind of afternoon 4 dockets, usually from two to five, and so I would go down 5 and sit from two to five, and just kind of watch as the 6 juveniles came through. 7 And so I've observed -- you know, the process is 8 pretty much the same, regardless of the judge. The outcome 9 is different based on who the judge is. And the judges all 10 have different temperaments in terms of how they address 11 the juveniles and the direction that they want to go with 12 the juveniles. 13 So it was -- so it was nice to see the different 14 ways that the judges handle it, because I think there are 15 some who are -- who are really interested in helping the 16 juveniles, and there are others who are just kind of ready 17 to get their docket through the courtroom. But I did 18 spend, you know, several days watching these hearings. 19 0. How about in abuse and neglect cases, can you 20 elaborate on your experience with those? 21 Abuse and neglect, my experience early on was as Α. 22 an appointed attorney for a parent. For example, if a 23 parent was a defendant in an abuse and neglect case, I 24 would represent that defendant. We almost always resolved 25 it without a trial.

1	And so I haven't tried any DSS matters, because
2	the vast majority of them do settle. It's the ones that
3	you know, and there was a recent one in Charleston, where
4	the judge went to trial and actually returned the children
5	to the parents when DSS was asking for the termination of
6	those parents' parental rights.
7	So my experience with that has been on the side
8	of representing defendants in DSS cases. And then again
9	when I have contested custody cases, private case,
10	frequently DSS is involved on the front end of those cases
11	to investigate.
12	Q. Based on your experience with abuse and neglect
13	cases during the time that you've been a family court
14	lawyer, you could what would you recommend on how we can
14	lawyer, you could what would you recommend on how we can
14 15	lawyer, you could what would you recommend on how we can improve the system for the children and the families in
14 15 16	lawyer, you could what would you recommend on how we can improve the system for the children and the families in that area?
14 15 16 17	<pre>lawyer, you could what would you recommend on how we can improve the system for the children and the families in that area? A. How much money you got? I think it comes down to</pre>
 14 15 16 17 18 	<pre>lawyer, you could what would you recommend on how we can improve the system for the children and the families in that area? A. How much money you got? I think it comes down to budget. It is I think the Department of Juvenile</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>lawyer, you could what would you recommend on how we can improve the system for the children and the families in that area? A. How much money you got? I think it comes down to budget. It is I think the Department of Juvenile Justice and the Department of Social Serves are overworked</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>lawyer, you could what would you recommend on how we can improve the system for the children and the families in that area? A. How much money you got? I think it comes down to budget. It is I think the Department of Juvenile Justice and the Department of Social Serves are overworked and underpaid. And as I mentioned to Mr. Smith earlier,</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>lawyer, you could what would you recommend on how we can improve the system for the children and the families in that area? A. How much money you got? I think it comes down to budget. It is I think the Department of Juvenile Justice and the Department of Social Serves are overworked and underpaid. And as I mentioned to Mr. Smith earlier, you don't even know half the time who you're going to get</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>lawyer, you could what would you recommend on how we can improve the system for the children and the families in that area? A. How much money you got? I think it comes down to budget. It is I think the Department of Juvenile Justice and the Department of Social Serves are overworked and underpaid. And as I mentioned to Mr. Smith earlier, you don't even know half the time who you're going to get on the phone, because there's such a rapid turnover.</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>lawyer, you could what would you recommend on how we can improve the system for the children and the families in that area? A. How much money you got? I think it comes down to budget. It is I think the Department of Juvenile Justice and the Department of Social Serves are overworked and underpaid. And as I mentioned to Mr. Smith earlier, you don't even know half the time who you're going to get on the phone, because there's such a rapid turnover. So to the extent that you can fund it, to the</pre>

Γ

Page 129

1	thing. I do know that several of the judges in Charleston
2	County work closely with those those organizations, to
3	make sure that the children are able to stay on track, that
4	got that time lines are met.
5	And I think that's important as well, is that the
6	judge has to pay attention that there are these deadlines
7	and time lines. And sometimes if the agency doesn't follow
8	it, the judge needs to be proactive and call them in and
9	say, "What's the status of this case?"
10	SENATOR YOUNG: That's all I have. Thank
11	you.
12	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Any further
13	questions?
14	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: May I make a
15	comment?
16	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Representative Murphy.
17	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Ms. Stokes, thank
18	you for your willingness to serve. And I just wanted to
19	a personal comment that I haven't had any cases against
20	you, but I've been in the courtroom and in the courthouse.
21	And I would have to echo the ballot box surveys that you
22	are very well qualified for this position, and you're very
23	well respected as well.
24	I just was looking through the family court
25	docket in Charleston County, and put in your name and the -

1	- your Bar ID, and I stopped after I went through just the
2	number of cases that you have filed in family court, or
3	been associated with in family court. And I was just doing
4	that, just for my own education. So I appreciate your
5	willingness to serve. And thank you for coming up today.
6	MS. STOKES: Thank you. As I said, I'm very
7	humbled to be here. And I do I do view this as an honor
8	and a privilege to be part of this process. And I
9	again, I you know, my goal is to serve in the best way
10	that I know how.
11	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Our own curiosity up here,
12	you mentioned your grandfather was a member of the House of
13	Representative, a circuit court judge. Who was that?
14	MS. STOKES: Jay Baggett.
15	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay.
16	MS. STOKES: Passed away about a month and a
17	half ago. Practiced law until he was 90.
18	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Wow.
19	MS. STOKES: He was pretty amazing.
20	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yeah. Well, all right.
21	Any further questions?
22	(Hearing none.)
23	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. With that being
24	said, this will conclude this portion of your screening
25	process. I want to take this opportunity to remind you

Γ

Page 131

1	that pursuant to the Commission's evaluative criteria, the
2	Commission expects candidates to follow the spirit as well
3	as the letter of the ethics law. And we will view
4	violations or the appearance of impropriety as serious and
5	potentially deserving of heavy weight and screening
б	deliberations.
7	As you know, the record will remain open
8	until the formal release of the report of qualifications,
9	and you may be called back at such time if the need arises.
10	We appreciate you being here today
11	I thank you for offering for this position.
12	And thank you for your service to the State of South
13	Carolina.
14	MS. STOKES: Thank you all for your time.
15	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Have a safe
16	trip home.
17	MS. STOKES: Thank you.
18	(Candidate excused.)
19	CHAIRMAN SMITH: With that, Representative
20	Murphy moves that we go into executive session. And we're
21	going to take a lunch break during that time. All in favor
22	say "aye."
23	(At this time the members audibly say "aye.")
24	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All opposed?
25	(Hearing none.)

Γ

Page 132

1	CHAIRMAN SMITH: The ayes have it. We'll be
2	in executive session.
3	(Off the record from 1:03 p.m. to 2:27 p.m.)
4	CHAIRMAN SMITH: With that, we'll get
5	started. If we can have the next candidate, Mr. Jarrett,
6	please. Good afternoon, Mr. Jarrett? I appearance your
7	patience. I see you have some guests with you today.
8	Would you like to introduce them to us?
9	MR. JARRETT: Yes, this is my wife, Josette,
10	of 23 years. And my middle child, Katie.
11	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Is she a Wofford grad, or
12	at Wofford?
13	MR. JARRETT: She's a Wofford grad. She
14	graduated last year. And she's doing a gap year, and she's
15	working for us at the law firm this year.
16	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Well, I appearance y'all
17	being here today. All right. Mr. Jarrett, will you please
18	raise your right hand.
19	WHEREUPON:
20	ERNEST JOSEPH JARRETT, being duly sworn and
21	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing
22	but the truth.
23	CHAIRMAN SMITH: And you have in front of
24	you, your sworn statement and your personal data
25	questionnaire. Are those both documents you submitted

1	MR. JARRETT: Yes, sir.
2	CHAIRMAN SMITH: to the Commission? All
3	right. Are they bought correct?
4	MR. JARRETT: That's correct, Mr. Chairman.
5	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Anything needs to be
6	changed or updated at this time?
7	MR. JARRETT: No, sir, Mr. Chairman.
8	CHAIRMAN SMITH: And will you hand those
9	do you have an objection to us making those an exhibit to
10	your sworn testimony?
11	MR. JARRETT: No, sir.
12	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Without
13	objection we're going to make those exhibits to the sworn
14	testimony.
15	(EXHIBIT NO. 15 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
16	COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF ERNEST
17	JOSEPH JARRETT)
18	(EXHIBIT NO. 16 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
19	COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF ERNEST JOSEPH
20	JARRETT)
21	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Mr. Jarrett, the Judicial
22	Merit Selection Commission has thoroughly investigated your
23	qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry has focused on
24	nine evaluative criteria and has included a ballot box
25	survey, a thorough study of your application materials,

I

1	verification of your compliance with state ethics laws, a
2	search of newspaper articles in which your name appears, a
3	study of previous screenings and checks for economic
4	conflicts of interest.
5	We've received no affidavits today filed in
6	opposition to your election, and there are no witnesses are
7	present to testify. Do you wish to make a brief opening
8	statement to the Commission?
9	MR. JARRETT: Sure. I'm Ernie Jarrett. I'm
10	from Kingstree. Been a lifetime resident of Kingstree,
11	except for the four years I was at Wofford and the three
12	years at law school. I returned back to Kingstree after I
13	graduated from law school. Been practicing and been the
14	managing partner for Jenkinson, Jarrett & Kellahan for the
15	last 27 years.
16	And so I'm married with three children.
17	Kyle is a graduate of The Citadel, and he works over in
18	Lake City and is married. My middle child, Katie, is doing
19	a gap year, hoping to go to law school next year. And
20	Winston is a sophomore at Wofford College this year.
21	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Will you answer
22	any questions that Counsel may have for you, sir.
23	MR. JARRETT: Yes, sir.
24	MR. TRIPLETT: Mr. Jarrett, please state for
25	the record, the city and circuit in which you reside.

Page 135

1	
1	MR. JARRETT: I reside in Kingstree, South
2	Carolina. And that's the 3rd Judicial Circuit.
3	MR. TRIPLETT: Mr. Chairman, I would note
4	for the record that based on the testimony contained in the
5	candidate's PDQ, which has been included in the record with
6	the candidate's consent, Mr. Jarrett meets the
7	constitutional and statutory requirements for this position
8	regarding age, residence and years of practice.
9	EXAMINATION BY MR. TRIPLETT:
10	Q. Mr. Jarrett, why do you want to serve as a family
11	court judge, and why do you feel that your legal and
12	professional experience qualify and will assist you in
13	being an effective judge?
14	A. I've wanted to be a family court judge since law
15	school. I interned with the late Bill Byers, my last year
16	of law school, and just fell in love with family law and
17	knew that's what I wanted to do early on.
18	And so I went back to Kingstree and started
19	practicing with Billy and Bubba Jenkinson. And Bubba kind
20	of trained me; he did the family law. And I've just
21	established my practice in the area of family law, hoping
22	one day to be a family court judge.
23	Q. Mr. Jarrett, are there any areas of the law for
24	which you would need additional preparation in order to
25	serve as family court judge, and how would you handle that

Page 136

1 additional preparation? 2 Α. Probably the weakest area that I would be in as a 3 family court judge would be the area of juvenile justice. 4 I've handled maybe five to seven of those cases in my 5 career. As a contract attorney for DSS, I've sat in a lot 6 of those hearings, but have not represented a lot of those 7 defendants. 8 So I would probably have to bone up on juvenile 9 practice. But I think I could do that by sitting in and 10 watching other judges, and then just reading the statutes. 11 I don't think that would be a problem. 12 Q. Mr. Jarrett, please briefly describe your 13 experience in handling complex contested family court 14 matters, and specifically discuss your experience with the 15 financial aspects of family court work. 16 I've been in private practice, like I say, for 27 Α. 17 years, and mainly concentrated on family court issues. 18 I've handled all type of equitable division cases, some 19 complex cases. I've represented doctors, lawyers, 20 dentists, pharmacists, and the spouses of those people, and 21 done business valuations for pharmacies or animal practices 22 and those kind of things. 23 I mean, my average case in Williamsburg County, 24 of course, doesn't involve those kind of -- kind of cases. 25 I'm usually dividing up mobile homes and pickup trucks.

1	But I do handle the complex cases.
2	I also am a contract attorney for DSS, so I've
3	done the DSS work as well as the family court litigation.
4	But I've done all kind of cases: name changes, adoptions,
5	equitable division, divorces, custody. I'm a guardian ad
6	litem in a lot of cases. And I'm also a certified mediator
7	for family court.
8	Q. Mr. Jarrett, the Commission received 308 ballot
9	box surveys regarding you, with 71 additional comments.
10	The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following
11	positive comments:
12	"Ernie was made for this. Professional, bright
13	and thorough. A wonderful, smart lawyer. He will have the
14	best temperament on the family court bench."
15	Only one of the written comments expressed any
16	concern. This comment expressed a concern with limited
17	experience in family law. What response would you offer to
18	that concern?
19	A. I'm not really sure where that would have come
20	from. Maybe some that dealt with me in the DSS round,
21	because I do so much DSS contract work. I think some
22	people assume that I do all DSS work. But I've been in
23	private practice for 27 years, and done all kind of family
24	court cases.
25	As a contract attorney for DSS, my firm has a

Page 138

1	contract and I do all the DSS for Williamsburg, Georgetown,
2	and all the TPRs for Horry County. And so we do that on a
3	contract basis, so maybe someone figured that's all I did,
4	you know, they dealt with me on that. But I handle all
5	types of private family court cases.
6	Q. And, Mr. Jarrett, it appears that you've been
7	involved in one lawsuit in 2007. That lawsuit was a 1983
8	action filed in Federal District Court. Can you please
9	explain the nature and disposition of that lawsuit?
10	A. That lawsuit was brought by a local doctor, and
11	he had his children taken by DSS. And he was an existing
12	client of the firm, and so immediately I did not handle
13	that case for DSS. And we had another attorney come in and
14	handle that case.
15	Unfortunately, when a back in those days, we
16	had blue backs. And my blue back with Jenkinson, Jarrett $\&$
17	Kellahan was put on his pleadings, and so the doctor
18	assumed that I had something to do with his case because
19	the paralegal over at DSS had that blue back. And so he
20	brought a conspiracy, wrongful death, all these kind of
21	action against us.
22	His wife ended up dying and it was eventually,
23	his his rights to his three adoptive children were
24	terminated in that case. And with our criminal case, he
25	sued the governor and all everybody at DSS, all the way

Γ

Page 139

1	down. So I was in good company. But it ended up we were
2	granted summary judgement on that case by the by the
3	Federal Magistrate.
4	MR. TRIPLETT: I would note that the Pee Dee
5	Citizens Committee reported Mr. Jarrett qualified in the
б	ethical criteria of ethical fitness, reputation and
7	experience. The committee found him well qualified in the
8	evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, professional and
9	academic ability, character, reputation, experience and
10	judicial temperament.
11	The committee state in summary: "Mr. Jarrett
12	is an enthusiastic candidate who's been working his entire
13	legal career with an eye towards the family court seat.
14	And we believe he will be an asset to the bench."
15	BY MR. TRIPLETT:
16	Q. Mr. Jarrett, a few housekeeping matters. Are you
17	aware that as a judicial candidate, you are bound by the
18	Code of Judicial Conduct as found in Rule 501 of the South
19	Carolina Appellate Court Rules?
20	A. I am aware.
21	Q. And, Mr. Jarrett, since submitting your letter of
22	intent have you contacted any members of the Commission
23	about your candidacy?
24	A. I have not.
25	Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including

Page 140

1	limitations on contacting members of the General Assembly
2	regarding your screening?
3	A. I'm familiar with that.
4	Q. Since submitting your letter of intent have you
5	sought or received a pledge of any legislator, either prior
6	to this date or pending the outcome of your screening?
7	A. I have not.
8	Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact
9	members of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you
10	aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on
11	your behalf?
12	A. I have not.
13	Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the
14	Commission's guidelines on pledging and South Carolina Code
14 15	Commission's guidelines on pledging and South Carolina Code Section 2-19-70(E)?
15	Section 2-19-70(E)?
15 16	Section 2-19-70(E)? A. I do.
15 16 17	Section 2-19-70(E)? A. I do. MR. TRIPLETT: I would note for the record
15 16 17 18	Section 2-19-70(E)? A. I do. MR. TRIPLETT: I would note for the record that any concerns raised during the investigation regarding
15 16 17 18 19	Section 2-19-70(E)? A. I do. MR. TRIPLETT: I would note for the record that any concerns raised during the investigation regarding this candidate were incorporated into the questioning of
15 16 17 18 19 20	Section 2-19-70(E)? A. I do. MR. TRIPLETT: I would note for the record that any concerns raised during the investigation regarding this candidate were incorporated into the questioning of the candidate today. Mr. Chairman, I have no further
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Section 2-19-70(E)? A. I do. MR. TRIPLETT: I would note for the record that any concerns raised during the investigation regarding this candidate were incorporated into the questioning of the candidate today. Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Section 2-19-70(E)? A. I do. MR. TRIPLETT: I would note for the record that any concerns raised during the investigation regarding this candidate were incorporated into the questioning of the candidate today. Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions. CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Thank you very
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	Section 2-19-70(E)? A. I do. MR. TRIPLETT: I would note for the record that any concerns raised during the investigation regarding this candidate were incorporated into the questioning of the candidate today. Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions. CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Thank you very much. Any questions? Mr. Safran.

Page 141

1	after looking at these ballot boxes, I have to commend you
2	for what this says.
3	MR. JARRETT: Thank you, sir.
4	MR. SAFRAN: I mean, we see a wide spectrum
5	of things that come, people that obviously have been in the
б	trenches. And, you know, being in litigation can be tough.
7	And you're not always going to leave a favorable
8	impression, at least for the moment.
9	Uniformly, it seems like everybody was very
10	complimentary with the way you handle yourself, your
11	knowledge and temperament. And so I just want to tell you,
12	you put your dues or paid your dues, been there 27
13	years, you had a passion for it.
14	I think you've obviously got a great start
15	with Judge Byars. I had Judge Byers decide a case be
16	one of the first ones I ever had, many, many years ago. So
17	I can tell you he was a very capable man. And I'm sure you
18	started with a good base by following him.
19	So I just want to tell you, you know, too
20	often we are criticized by, you know, some that all we do
21	is look for the bad. But I just want to tell you that we
22	also see the good. And I can promise you that what came
23	across here, based upon the peers' comments, is exemplary.
24	And I just wanted for you to that it's
25	noted you are what we're looking for, basically, in terms

Page 142

1	of a family court judge. Because, again, you've been in
2	the trenches. You've paid your dues. You've learned.
3	And, again, you've shown the people who are on the opposite
4	side of you, that, you know, you know what you're doing.
5	So I do think that it's high praise. And I just wanted to
б	let you that.
7	MR. JARRETT: Well, thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Mr. Strom.
9	MR. STROM: Mr. Chairman, I want to second
10	what Mr. Safran said. Just outstanding reviews. We never
11	know what our peers are going to say about us, particularly
12	when it's anonymous. A lot of people are jealous. But
13	your reviews are just impeccable. And, you know, I know
14	that you enjoy an excellent reputation statewide. I know
15	you've stepped up and chaired the council the Bar
16	Council on Family Court, and ran an excellent CLE. Thank
17	you for that service. And I look forward to seeing on the
18	bench.
19	MR. JARRETT: Thank you, sir.
20	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Senator Young.
21	SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
22	EXAMINATION BY SENATOR YOUNG:
23	Q. Mr. Jarrett, I just want to echo what my
24	colleagues have said. I mean, you're the comments and
25	the reviews that we have seen in your file for your

Page 143

1	candidacy are exemplary. And they were when you came
2	before this Commission, previously, when I when I had
3	the opportunity to serve on the Commission, as I recall.
4	And that just speaks volumes for the reputation
5	that you have earned in your practice. And I have asked
6	every candidate this, and I want to ask you this every
7	candidate for family court.
8	And you are someone that I would be keenly
9	interested in your comments, because of the area of
10	practice that you have and the volume of the practice that
11	you have, it involves the abuse and neglect cases.
12	What would you recommend we do to improve abuse
13	and neglect cases for the children in the system in South
14	Carolina?
15	A. That's kind of a loaded question. I'm excited
15 16	
	A. That's kind of a loaded question. I'm excited
16	A. That's kind of a loaded question. I'm excited about the new director. I'm hoping that we're going to
16 17	A. That's kind of a loaded question. I'm excited about the new director. I'm hoping that we're going to going to see some change. I've been to a couple of times
16 17 18	A. That's kind of a loaded question. I'm excited about the new director. I'm hoping that we're going to going to see some change. I've been to a couple of times where he's spoken. But I do think we probably need to
16 17 18 19	A. That's kind of a loaded question. I'm excited about the new director. I'm hoping that we're going to going to see some change. I've been to a couple of times where he's spoken. But I do think we probably need to overhaul the system totally. I think it's definitely
16 17 18 19 20	A. That's kind of a loaded question. I'm excited about the new director. I'm hoping that we're going to going to see some change. I've been to a couple of times where he's spoken. But I do think we probably need to overhaul the system totally. I think it's definitely broken.
16 17 18 19 20 21	A. That's kind of a loaded question. I'm excited about the new director. I'm hoping that we're going to going to see some change. I've been to a couple of times where he's spoken. But I do think we probably need to overhaul the system totally. I think it's definitely broken. And so working within it, I can probably say over
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. That's kind of a loaded question. I'm excited about the new director. I'm hoping that we're going to going to see some change. I've been to a couple of times where he's spoken. But I do think we probably need to overhaul the system totally. I think it's definitely broken. And so working within it, I can probably say over the 27 years, the last year or two has been the worst as
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. That's kind of a loaded question. I'm excited about the new director. I'm hoping that we're going to going to see some change. I've been to a couple of times where he's spoken. But I do think we probably need to overhaul the system totally. I think it's definitely broken. And so working within it, I can probably say over the 27 years, the last year or two has been the worst as far just as high, high turnover of caseworkers. And the

Γ

1	And so the training needs to be overhauled. I
2	just think that the whole system needs to be looked at and
3	overhauled. I could probably talk days about it, but I
4	don't really have anything specific. But I'm hoping that
5	the new director will get in there and start changing
6	things up. I've seen a couple of things.
7	Q. Do you see the same problems in Williamsburg as
8	you do in Georgetown as you do in Horry?
9	A. Pretty much. I mean, Williamsburg is in a a
10	lot of it's poverty, and people not being trained in more
11	neglect issues. And that's the Andrews section of
12	Georgetown.
13	As you get further to the beach and Myrtle Beach,
14	it's a different there are no roots and transient
15	people. And they seem to be a lot of just kind of worse
16	people, that actually abuse children and do bad things to
17	children. Whereas, the inward people it's more poverty and
18	just kind of generation after generation, just growing up
19	in it in the system.
20	And so you can kind of see a difference as you
21	as you go to the beach. I do a lot of termination cases,
22	the TPRs, at the beach. We have a ton of those. But they
23	don't they don't have the strong relationships and
24	family connection.
25	Myrtle Beach is very transient. Whereas,

I

1	Williamsburg County, Andrews, there's always a good aunt or
2	a good relative that we can place custody of children with.
3	And a lot of times the caseworkers know who that good
4	person is before they even go out on the case.
5	Q. What is your view of parents who fail to pay
6	child support timely?
7	A. I mean, I think you've got to look at everybody
8	with empathy and see you know, certain certain I'm
9	Williamsburg County, there's not a lot of jobs available.
10	And so it's very hard to make meet some of those
11	obligations.
12	And then, you know, we bus them out to work in
13	the hospitality industry at the beach, and have to leave
14	very early and get back in the evenings, and then we expect
15	them to be able to take care of their kids during all that
16	time too.
17	But again, you know, if they have the ability to
18	pay and they're capable of working, I think they need to
19	work and pay their child support. I mean, I'm going to
20	enforce court orders if elected. I really feel like the
21	order should be followed.
22	Q. Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any further questions?
24	Senator Rankin.
25	EXAMINATION BY SENATOR RANKIN:

Γ

Page 146

1	Q. Mr. Jarrett, I well remember your screening the
2	last time. And I dare say nothing has changed about your
3	candidacy except that the good things that people were
4	saying then, they've just been added to.
5	And so with the compliment first and not to be
6	followed by a dig, I'll assure you but I think it is
7	worth noting again by your peers, and as the Pee Dee
8	Citizens Committee stated you're "an enthusiastic candidate
9	who's been working his entire legal career with an eye
10	towards a family court seat. We believe he will be an
11	asset on the bench."
12	As Mr. Safran effectively said, you don't get any
13	better than that. And Mr. Strom said it, by your peers you
14	don't get any better than that. So you are well suited.
15	And your practice is 98 percent family law,
16	presently, right?
17	A. Correct.
18	Q. You recall, perhaps, some questions in terms of
19	the scheduling and the crush of cases that some areas have
20	versus others, the motions hearing when you've got
21	affidavits and you've got the decision of whether to grant
22	to one parent or the other, temporary custody or altered
23	visitation rights, and it's a it can't be mediated, it
24	cannot be worked out. How do you anticipate your practice
25	in terms of giving those litigants a fair hearing at the

Page 147

1	motion level in terms of allotment of time?
2	A. Hopefully, the scheduling clerk is going to have
3	given us enough time. And with the rules we have now with
4	the limits of the page, it's set up so that you can
5	actually have time to read those affidavits and make a
6	ruling there that day. 'Cause I do think that the people
7	need to know when they leave the courtroom, what the
8	outcome is.
9	Certainly, if it's a more complicated case, and
10	it doesn't appear there's enough time, I would take it
11	under advisement and go back and actually study the
12	documents.
13	The practice these days, if you're limited to
14	eight pages limited to eight pages, but fifty put 52
15	exhibits on the back and attach all the Facebook posts and
16	those kind of things. So, you know, if it was a case like
17	that, and very complicated, I'd take is under advisement,
18	but try to go on back and do it quickly and get a memo out
19	to the attorneys to let the ruling let the court let
20	everybody know what the ruling is.
21	'Cause I think in those kind of situations, you
22	don't need two or three weeks to get a temporary ruling.
23	We need to know immediately what it is. But I you know,
24	I don't mind working after hours or working on the weekends
25	or I'm used to that kind based on the practice I

Page 148

1 have, I'm used to those kind of -- kind of hours. And so I would -- I would do what it would take to get the job done 2 3 in a timely manner. 4 Do those lend themselves to oral arguments --0. 5 Α. Some judges will let you do oral arguments. Some 6 judges just want to go right on the affidavits. 7 0. How will you do? 8 Α. I would probably let them do some type of oral 9 argument. But remember, you know, in the allotted times, 10 it's a 15-minute hearing or a 30-minute hearing, you know. 11 I've got to have time to read what you've put in the -- in 12 the documents, so I wouldn't want to take up the whole 13 time. 14 But sometimes a lot of the judges, I think, will 15 let you say what the issues are. Then they will read, and 16 then the judge will ask questions about the concerns after reading the affidavits, and so that the attorneys actually 17 18 hit on the issues that are -- the judge is struggling with. 19 And so those are the ones I've found most effective. 20 You know, I do think the client wants the 21 attorney to be able to speak. And so if you just go and 22 present paperwork, I think the client at the end of the day 23 is kind of disappointed, "I paid all this money and my 24 client -- my attorney didn't even open his mouth." 25 You know, so I think I would give them a chance

Page 149

1	to speak, but maybe at the end of the hearing after you've
2	read the affidavits, maybe for clarity or questions, or
3	tell me what they thought was most important.
4	Q. And if there's a problem with the scheduling
5	not all hearings go the full time, necessarily. Some work
6	themselves out before you even get to them, right?
7	A. Correct.
8	Q. And it would be a matter of just putting an
9	agreement on the record so, you know, a 15-minute could
10	become ten, a 30-minute could become ten. Do you will
11	you allow for, again, that occasional case, or perhaps all,
12	that just don't get the time request down right?
13	A. I would not I would not be one of those judges
14	that say it's a mistrial, or you only requested 30 minutes
15	and so you're out. I'd want to hear it to the end.
16	And as the DSS attorney you're responsible for
17	the docket that day. So I would have like a whole six
18	hours of court time, and then I'll schedule anywhere from
19	12 to 24 cases during that docket time.
20	And so I'm charge of running the docket that day
21	and calling the cases. And so I'm kind of used to putting
22	the easier ones first and trying to get the attorneys in
23	and out so they can go, and then putting the contested ones
24	last. And that you try to keep the judges happy with
25	the attorneys happy, the DSS people happy.

Page 150

1	And, you know, you've got your psychologists
2	there and you're paying him by the hour, you don't want to
3	make him last. You want to get him up and back. So I'm
4	used to that kind of juggling from my DSS scheduling. So I
5	think I could kind of work with the attorneys and make sure
6	everybody was heard.
7	I think at the end of the day, you just want to
8	make sure everybody's being heard and felt like they've had
9	a fair opportunity to have their issues heard. And so I'd
10	want everybody to go home at the end of the day feeling
11	like the judge got the information that the judge needed.
12	Q. You can identify a person, if you'd like, because
13	it's going to be a what you most want to aspire you
14	aspire to being like in terms of a judge, two or three, on
15	the positive, and then what do you want to avoid in the
16	negative. Because certainly you might have encountered a
17	judge who didn't have a good day.
18	A. Right.
19	Q. But tell me the attributes of a judge you would
20	like to be recognized as
21	A. Well, one of my mentors was Wright Turbeville.
22	And when Judge Byars we interned that last semester, he
23	came and it happened to be spring break, and Judge
24	Turbeville sat on the bench with him. And so I got to
25	spend the whole week with not only Judge Byars but Judge

Page 151

1 Turbeville. So I developed that relationship early on with 2 Judge Turbeville, and that was one of his first weeks on 3 the bench. 4 And so he's kind of been a mentor of mine. And I 5 really like how he handled himself on the bench. And T would kind of pattern, I think, what I did as the family 6 7 court, kind of after him. 8 He ran a firm courtroom. He believed in the 9 But I think his overallness was he wanted to be rules. 10 fair and give people an opportunity to be heard, and felt 11 like they were heard when they went to court. 12 'Cause, you know, you've got to remember you're 13 seeing people at their very worst. And so family court is 14 not a fun time for most people. And so I just feel like 15 that it's important that they see a judge, you know, firm 16 and running the courtroom, but also a judge with some 17 empathy and that's going to hear what they have to say to 18 present to the court. 19 The judges that are looking at the clock the 20 whole time, or trying to rush you out, or telling you, you 21 didn't request enough time so they're just going to 22 continue the case over, are the judges that want to shoot 23 the case on -- if it's a difficult case and they want to 24 shoot it on down to the next judge. 25 I would not want to do that. I'd want to tackle

Γ

Page 152

1	the hard cases and tackle the cases on my docket, and at
2	the end of the day have completed my day's work.
3	SENATOR RANKIN: That's all I have.
4	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ms. Logan. Did I get it
5	right?
6	MS. BLACKLEY-LOGAN: You got it right.
7	Having been a former clerk of court, it is completely
8	refreshing to hear someone stand up, who is running for a
9	judgeship, to talk about time wasted. And scheduling
10	cases, having worked directly with DSS attorneys and
11	scheduling 23 and 26 and 30 and 38 cases within a time
12	frame which was really unreasonable, and having to go back
13	and help reschedule all these cases over and over again, I
14	think it's great decorum for one to think ahead, just as
15	yourself.
16	And you give me hope. And you give a lot of
17	other people hope who work in the court system, about your
18	outlook on conducting court. And I appreciate that. I'm
19	sure a lot of people do as well.
20	So thank you for your time. And you are to
21	be commended for all the wonderful comments that you have
22	received as well. I am very well pleased to have you
23	before us.
24	MR. JARRETT: Well, thank you. And I'm on
25	justice the Chief Justice's family court docketing

Γ

Page 153

1	committee, you know. And we have clerks on there and
2	judges on there and DSS attorneys and DJJ attorneys, and so
3	we deal with those issues over and over and over, and try
4	to come up with best get best practices.
5	MS. BLACKLEY-LOGAN: Yeah, I was one of
6	those former advisees. Not actually on that committee. I
7	was on the docket the docket committee. But, you know,
8	one of my biggest complaints was we had these cases
9	scheduled, and it's eleven o'clock on Friday and it's time
10	to go home, but you hadn't finished your docket.
11	You know, a lot of people take a lot of time
12	out of their schedule to get these cases scheduled. And it
13	makes the world better if we all to just stay and do what
14	we're supposed to do
15	MR. JARRETT: Yeah, I'm a workaholic. I'll
16	get the job done at the end of the day. I want all the
17	all the files returned to the clerk, with none of those
18	papers on the front saying they had to be continued.
19	MS. BLACKLEY-LOGAN: Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Senator Sabb.
21	SENATOR SABB: I'd be remiss if I didn't
22	share Ernie's journey, as I watched him as a young lawyer
23	coming into Williamsburg County, and the way that he has
24	grown and he has developed. When he mentioned Judge Wright
25	Turbeville's name, it reminded me of a case that he and I

Γ

Page 154

1	
1	had together. And the thing about Judge Turbeville, that I
2	think Ernie borrows is not only did Judge Turbeville run an
3	orderly courtroom and all of that, he cared about the
4	lawyers and how the lawyers presented themselves, and was
5	interested in the growth and development of lawyers. And
6	he was a good part of my growth and development. I'm sure
7	he was a good part of Mr. Jarrett's growth and development
8	as well.
9	But what I think what you see here is a
10	culmination of a lawyer who has just worked at his craft
11	over the years, and quite frankly, is so close to
12	perfecting it, if there is such a term in the practice of
13	law. I think he is near as anyone I've ever seen. And I
14	couldn't be more proud of him and just delighted that he
15	decided to offer himself. That was two minutes talking
16	about you.
17	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Rutherford is the
18	timekeeper, by the way. I do want to just ask a couple of
19	questions, if I could, to wrap this up.
20	EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN SMITH:
21	Q. Tell me, I didn't realize you were doing DSS
22	contract work. How long have you been doing that?
23	A. About 26 years.
24	Q. All right. So I want to commend you of doing
25	that, for being that long, because what I've seen of late -

Page 155

1	- and that's one thing Senator Young, and we've had
2	discussions, is the turnover in DSS contract lawyers. And
3	so how you have made it 26 years and not doing a
4	turnover, I guess there's no the bench is sort of thin
5	over in Williamsburg County. We got Mr. Sabb, if it wasn't
6	going to be you, and I'll bet he'd start running if that
7	was the case.
8	But I just see them hiring new lawyers. And
9	that's been some of the concern we saw with the Department
10	of Social Services, and what we've mentioned to the to
11	the director in our meetings is, you know, you need some
12	stability in your system in your attorney system.
13	Because they're the ones who are interacting, they've got
14	institutional knowledge, they got knowledge of families in
15	a small town such or a county as Williamsburg County. I
16	presume you've seen people over and over
17	A. Right.
18	Q in probably 26 years. You've seen the
19	children turn into parents
20	A. Unfortunately.
21	Q and their children.
22	A. Right.
23	Q. Yes, sir. And so, you know, I do want to commend
24	you and thank you. 'Cause that's a service. That's not a
25	job. They don't pay you well, I know that. And they

Page 156

1	but it is a service to the state and to the profession.
2	And I really do appreciate that.
3	CHAIRMAN SMITH: And, likewise, I've known
4	Ernie since we were in high school. We used to see each
5	other at sporting events. And we followed him followed
б	him to Wofford and law school and everywhere else. He was
7	always one year ahead of me, so I and probably two steps
8	ahead of me. But I'm proud of what you've done. So my
9	compliments will be done there, Ernie. But I do appreciate
10	
11	MR. JARRETT: Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN SMITH: I appreciate what you've
13	done. I appreciate you offering for this position. With
14	no further questions, that will conclude this portion of
15	our screening process.
16	I want to take this opportunity to remind
17	you that pursuant to the Commission's evaluative criteria,
18	the Commission expects candidates to follow the spirit as
19	well as the letter of the ethics law. And we will view
20	violations or the appearance of impropriety as serious and
21	potentially deserving of heavy weight and screening
22	deliberations.
23	As you know, the record will remain open
24	until the formal release of the report of qualifications,
25	and you may be called back at such time if the need arises.

Page 157

1	I thank you for offering this position for this
2	position. And I thank you for your service to the State of
3	South Carolina.
4	MR. JARRETT: Thank you all for your time
5	today.
6	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Safe travels
7	home.
8	MR. JARRETT: Yes, sir.
9	(Candidate excused.)
10	(Off the record from 4:09 p.m. to 4:25 p.m.)
11	CHAIRMAN SMITH: The next candidate is Judge
12	Tarita Dunbar. If we could get Judge Dunbar over here,
13	please. Judge Dunbar, if you'd come on up to the podium.
14	And I think is that your husband that's with you?
15	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yes.
16	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Would you like to introduce
17	him?
18	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yes. This is my husband,
19	Vernon Dunbar.
20	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Welcome.
21	JUDGE DUNBAR: Thank you. Good afternoon.
22	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Good afternoon. Before we
23	get started, let me let me just talk about procedure,
24	'cause we have some people here. We're going to go through
25	the initial portion with you, and then Counsel's going to

1	have questions. And then we're going to hear from the
2	witnesses and then and then you'll have a opportunity to
3	respond to each witness, okay?
4	JUDGE DUNBAR: Okay. Yes, sir.
5	CHAIRMAN SMITH: And so that will be the
б	process to which we go.
7	JUDGE DUNBAR: Okay.
8	CHAIRMAN SMITH: If you'll raise your right
9	hand for me, please, ma'am.
10	WHEREUPON:
11	THE HONORABLE TARITA A. DUNBAR, being duly
12	sworn and cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and
13	nothing but the truth.
14	CHAIRMAN SMITH: You have before you, your
15	personal data questionnaire and sworn statement. Are those
16	both documents you submitted to the Commission?
17	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yes. Yes, sir.
18	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Are they correct?
19	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yes, sir.
20	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any change or update or
21	anything at this time?
22	JUDGE DUNBAR: No, sir.
23	CHAIRMAN SMITH: And do you have any
24	objection to us making those as exhibits to your sworn
25	testimony here today?

1	JUDGE DUNBAR: No, sir.
2	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Without objection we're
3	going to make those documents. If you'll hand them to
4	Lindi for us, please, ma'am.
5	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yes.
6	(EXHIBIT NO. 17 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
7	COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE
8	HONORABLE TARITA A. DUNBAR)
9	(EXHIBIT NO. 18 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
10	COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF THE HONORABLE
11	TARITA A. DUNBAR)
12	(EXHIBIT NO. 19 - AMENDMENT TO PERSONAL DATA
13	QUESTIONNAIRE OF TARITA A. DUNBAR)
14	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Judge Dunbar.
15	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yes.
16	CHAIRMAN SMITH: The Judicial Merit
17	Selection Commission has thoroughly investigated your
18	qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry has focused on
19	nine evaluative criteria and has included a ballot box
20	survey, a thorough study of your application materials,
21	verification of your compliance with state ethics laws, a
22	search of newspaper articles in which your name appears, a
23	study of previous screenings and checks for economic
24	conflicts of interest.
25	We've received two affidavits today in

1	opposition to your election. And we have two witnesses
2	present to testify. Do you wish to make a brief opening
3	statement to the Commission?
4	JUDGE DUNBAR: Okay. Yes, just very
5	briefly. I just want to say that to this commission,
6	that it's an honor and a privilege for me to be here. And
7	I enjoy serving the citizens of this state. It's an honor
8	and a privilege for me to serve the citizens. I'm
9	passionate about the citizens. And I hope to continue to
10	do a great job for the citizens of this state. Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Thank you very much.
12	Answer any questions that Counsel may have for you, please,
13	ma'am.
14	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yes, sir.
15	MS. DEAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
16	EXAMINATION BY MS. DEAN:
17	Q. Judge Dunbar, after serving for five years on the
18	family court bench, why do you want to serve continue
19	serving as a family court judge?
20	A. Why I want to continue to serve is I'm very
21	passionate about people. And my passion is driven by a
22	love for the people and a love for this state. And I
23	believe that I can do a make a good influence on the
24	people of this state and the families of this state.
25	And my passion has not died, it's actually

1	increased. And I want to opportunity to do that. And I
2	think I've been effective in a lot of circumstances.
3	Q. Thank you, Judge Dunbar. Please explain one or
4	two brief accomplishments that you feel you have completed
5	during your tenure, and a goal you would like to accomplish
6	if reelected.
7	A. Some of my achievements have been I have been
8	appointed by Chief Justice Pleicones, to the Judicial
9	Commission on the Profession. That was a great honor. And
10	I've also been appointed to or asked to serve on the
11	Children's Best Legal Practices. And I take that very
12	seriously.
13	I've been asked to serve on several prominent
14	committees. I'm on the National Conference of Juvenile
15	Family Court Judges. And also the most satisfaction that
16	I've obtained is when I hear feedback from individuals that
17	say, you know, "Thank you for listening to me" or you
18	really "I could tell that you really cared about my
19	child."
20	And so that's been a great achievement for me.
21	And one of my goals is is really to understand more, how
22	to be effective in the community as far as getting
23	resources in the community. In particular to vulnerable
24	juveniles and vulnerable parents of abuse in abuse and
25	neglect cases.

Page 162

1 Q. Thank you, Judge Dunbar. What do you think your 2 reputation is among the attorneys that practice before you, 3 and court personnel? 4 Α. I think definitely, first off, with court 5 personnel I've always been told that they love me. I'm 6 being humble when I say that. I speak to them. I often qo 7 down to the clerk's office without the aid of my AA. 8 Sometimes that's not a good thing, because you might see 9 someone that says, "Wait a minute. Isn't that the judge?" 10 And they might want to ask you a question. 11 But I think I'm very beloved by all the court 12 personnel and staff. And as far as the lawyers, I know 13 that the first thing that I was told in the Bar selection 14 process is that -- that I actually care. And I was 15 surprised at that comment, because I thought, well, that's 16 why you're on this job is because you care. Because you 17 have to care in order to be effective in this job. 18 And I know that lawyers think that I care, that I 19 empathize with the litigants, that I'm patient, that I try hard, that I work hard, and that I'm accommodating. 20 In 21 particular, I say that I'm accommodating because they feel 22 confident -- comfortable, the lawyers do, in contacting me 23 and saying, "Judge, will you -- I just settled this case. 24 It's a nasty case. Will you please hear this case so I 25 won't have to go to the clerk's office?"

1	And I'll say set you can set it in front of me
2	at nine o'clock. We start at 9:30 or 9:15, or on Fridays
3	at 12:30, because we stop at 12:30. Any one of those days
4	would be preferable any day during the week and those
5	times would be preferable for me.
б	And I listen and I come prepared, and that I'm
7	respectful and I'm courteous to all the litigants and
8	everyone that comes in the courtroom, and that I commend
9	the attorneys, they're thankful for me for that. And
10	that's about it.
11	Q. Judge Dunbar, the Commission received 590 ballot
12	box surveys regarding you, with 82 additional comments.
13	The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following
14	positive comments:
15	"I've been in front of her many times. She is
16	great. An excellent judge who is diligent and caring and
17	compassionate."
18	Twenty of the written comments expressed
19	concerns. Several comments indicated concerns regarding
20	your ruling, specifically with the ability to make the
21	tough calls with clarity and consistency. What response
22	would you offer to this concern?
23	A. Okay. As far as the concern about the tough
24	calls, you have to make the tough decisions. You have to
25	make the final, ultimate decision. And I've made tough

Page 164

1	calls. It's not easy. And maybe my demeanor, I might have
2	showed in the early years of my tenure, the nervousness
3	that I felt. Because I weigh these things very heavily and
4	I don't take them lightly.
5	As a matter of fact, on all of my and I got
6	this from a very from a very well respected judge that
7	says, "You're allowed to take these motions under
8	consideration, under advisement, as long as you get them
9	out, Tarita, before the end of the week."
10	And I do that now on all of my motions that deal
11	with alimony or custody or support and those type of
12	issues. And I give my ruling, I make sure that I give it
13	the next day before nine o'clock. If not the next day, the
14	next not later than two days later.
15	Q. A concern was also raised regarding you
16	interjecting your personal beliefs into hearings. What
17	response would you offer for that?
18	A. Now, as far as my personal beliefs, as far as
19	with juveniles, I do interject a lot. Because I think it's
20	important to say things to them that maybe move them and
21	touch their heart in a way. So I do speak from my heart to
22	the juveniles and in abuse and neglect cases.
23	And I do think because I do that, I get a good
24	bit of feedback that say that they feel like that I care or
25	that I touched them in a way. But other than that, I don't

I guess now I better not say anything. But I thought I
was making an effective response in what I say.
Q. Judge Dunbar, also the concern was raised
regarding your legal knowledge regarding, for example,
rules of evidence procedure, and with financial matters.
What response would you offer for those concerns?
A. I can tell you I have bought every book in the
South Carolina Bar. They love me and know me 'cause I
spent all my money there. And I've even bought CLEs.
And I can admit I can see how that perception
can be in the beginning, in the first two years of my
tenure. I was very nervous and and I took my time. And
I think that based on my nervousness and my demeanor, I
didn't try to hide it. And that maybe caused some concerns
as to as to my knowledge.
However, I do and I didn't talk a lot. I
listened a lot. And so I didn't try to get in a verbal
argument with lawyers regarding rulings, because I didn't
want to embarrass them in front of their clients.
But now in order not to tarnish my reputation, I
bring my book in. And I have to when I have to, now I
bring my book in. And I have to when I have to, now I say, "Here's the rule right here. It says this."
say, "Here's the rule right here. It says this."

1	
1	MS. DEAN: The Citizens Committee writes in
2	here the Upstate Citizens Committee found Judge Dunbar
3	qualified in the evaluative criteria of constitutional
4	qualifications, physical health and mental stability.
5	The Committee found her well qualified in the evaluative
6	criteria of ethical fitness, professional and academic
7	ability, character, reputation and experience and judicial
8	temperature.
9	The Committee stated in summary: "Members of
10	the community had nothing but positive things to say about
11	Judge Dunbar. All attorneys who were interviewed indicated
12	the candidate has a wonderful temperament and meets the
13	evaluative criteria in most areas. However, some attorneys
14	were critical of her understanding of process and legal
15	principles in family court. Other attorneys believe she
16	has achieved a much higher level of competency due to her
17	hard work and study. Based on our conversation with the
18	candidate, the committee believes that she strives to be an
19	excellent family court judge."
20	BY MS. DEAN:
21	Q. I was going to ask if you'd like to comment to
22	that.
23	A. I do want to add one more thing. I think, too,
24	that I think my record on appeal will speak to my legal
25	knowledge. I think that I've done pretty well on appeal.

Γ

Page 167

1	On my first when I first got on the bench, I had a case
2	that dealt with equitable distribution, transmutation. And
3	I got all of those well, I shouldn't say correct. But
4	the Court of Appeals affirmed me on those decisions.
5	And also on the case that I was reversed on with
6	the alimony issue of the 90 days, there was no published
7	opinion or unpublished opinion on that issue. And that
8	that matter is still pending before the Supreme Court.
9	They have not dismissed it yet.
10	And that's all I'm going to add on that. Thank
11	you.
12	MS. DEAN: Mr. Chairman, I would now turn to
13	the two complainants, one by Ms. Sellers and one by Cynthia
14	Glenn. Both complainants are here to testify today. Mr.
15	Chairman, if it feels appropriate, I'll ask Judge Dunbar to
16	be seated and invite Ms. Sellers to the podium.
17	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Judge Dunbar, if you'll
18	have a seat. And you can sit with your husband if you
19	like, or you can sit on the front row. Whatever you
20	choose. Wherever you're most comfortable. We're going to
21	hear from the complainants. And I think we're going to
22	take one up and then allow you to respond, if you wish to
23	respond at that time, okay?
24	JUDGE DUNBAR: Thank you.
25	CHAIRMAN SMITH: And so we'll hear from the

Γ

1	first witness right now. Ms. Sellers is that who's
2	going to be the first witness? Ms. Sellers, I appreciate
3	you being here today. I think we'll place her under oath,
4	like we do everything else, just like the judge. So will
5	you raise your right hand, please, ma'am.
б	WHEREUPON:
7	LINDSAY SELLERS, being duly sworn and
8	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing
9	but the truth.
10	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Thank you very much. And,
11	Ms. Sellers, we've got your submissions that you have given
12	to us. We've got your affidavit and I think we received
13	copies of these exhibits. So every member has been
14	distributed one of those copies. So just so you know we
15	got received those and we reviewed them, okay? So I'll
16	be happy to hear from you.
17	MS. SELLERS: Sure. Yes, sir. I'd like to
18	give
19	CHAIRMAN SMITH: If you could speak into the
20	microphone for us.
21	MS. SELLERS: I'd like to pass this up,
22	maybe through Lindi. This is a picture of my children and
23	myself, and then a note this morning that was left on my
24	laptop, from my daughter.
25	And as she's passing those, I've prepared a

Γ

Page 169

1	statement overnight, last night. Obviously, as you all
2	probably know, this is a very anxious time for us as
3	complainants.
4	I would like to begin by genuinely thanking
5	each of you for attending today. I know the task of
6	attending these types of hearings are quite demanding and
7	daunting. However, I would like to share that I've
8	patiently waited for this day, for this time and this
9	public hearing, for over two years.
10	Some of you in this court courtroom, and
11	on this commission, have heard my story. And some of you
12	have not I have not had the pleasure of meeting.
13	However, I would like for you to have an understanding of
14	why I've traveled three and a half hours back to Columbia,
15	today, to address the lack of ethical fitness of Ms.
16	Dunbar, and why I take this so seriously.
17	Please know and understand that I'm not here
18	as a scorned litigant. Let me repeat: I'm not here as a
19	scorned litigant. I am here as a constituent, a lay
20	guardian ad litem, a concerned parent, and above all else
21	an advocate for two victims: my children.
22	This is a very difficult position to be in,
23	because I am standing before the very commission that South
24	Carolina entrusted four years ago to find the most
25	competent candidate for the 13th Judicial Circuit. But

1	unfortunately, that chosen candidate failed me, failed my
2	children, and the State of South Carolina.
3	After reviewing the judicial applications
4	and summary for the votes selecting Ms. Dunbar, I am
5	concerned. Deeply and severely concerned. I am eloquently
6	pleading that each of you really hear and feel the
7	ramifications, those being financial, emotional, physical,
8	and the list goes on, that myself and children have
9	endured, based on the lack of competence and ethical
10	fitness that Ms. Dunbar displayed during her tenure as a
11	family court judge for the 13th Judicial Circuit.
12	We know there are thousands more, but there
13	are they are scared, misinformed about this procedural
14	process, or gave up waiting to be heard. However, two
15	complaints, two in one day for one candidate, speaks
16	volumes, as you all know what this took to get here.
17	I mean, we all expect a judge to be as close
18	to as an example of perfection as we can get, correct?
19	Well, I please know I personally am not requesting that
20	the Bar set or the Bar is set for perfection. But I am,
21	however, setting a standard and expectation that we as
22	constituents, citizens, and litigants in South Carolina
23	demand the following: respect, compassion, intelligence,
24	experience, competence. And above all else, integrity.
25	We are one of two states left out of fifty

Page 171

1	that are electing our judges in this manner. Please know
2	we are watching intently, as you yourselves are elected as
3	the liaison to speak on our behalf. A majority of you
4	sitting before me are elected officials and also private
5	attorneys. No one is faulting you for making a living.
6	But you, yourselves, know this is where the pavement turns
7	to gravel road, and the perception is very much a reality
8	as private litigants.
9	I invite you to listen to the Cliff Notes of
10	my case, as I tell our story so you can understand why I
11	have submitted the questions that I have for Ms. Dunbar to
12	answer this afternoon. Since most of you before me are
13	legal counsel, I challenge you to listen to my summary and
14	time line of events as if you were, yourself, wearing the
15	hat as my legal counsel during this time frame. I believe
16	that you will find that most enlightening and beneficial
17	for your determination.
18	The history of my case. I was married in
19	2006 to 2014, to a police officer out of Myrtle Beach. We
20	had two children. They are both now 8 and 11. The father
21	is a local police officer in the Greenville area, and I was
22	a district manager for Walmart. I was recruited into
23	management at 19 years old.
24	I provided a bedroom a three-bedroom
25	home, with bedrooms for both children, along with their own

Page 172

1	bathroom. And Dad provided a one-bedroom apartment and
2	allowed the children to sleep on the floor during their
3	visitation, a week at a time.
4	Over the course of the divorce, the father
5	repeatedly stalked me and harassed me. The chief of police
6	at that time wouldn't investigate the formal complaint I
7	was as he was personally being investigated and
8	potentially being indicted.
9	I was covering at the time 17 Walmarts, had
10	two small children and lived on a five-acre horse farm, all
11	of which I maintained on my own. I subsequently asked to
12	step down from my position to something that was more
13	localized during my divorce, due to the stressful and
14	contentious nature of the litigation. Walmart agreed but
15	explained that after the divorce was finalized, that they
16	wanted me to move back up into my position.
17	I mediated that case and we found it was
18	50/50 placement and 50/50 custody. One week was with Mom
19	and one week was with Dad. No alimony or child support was
20	warded awarded. However, due to be being the
21	breadwinner, I paid all daycare expenses and healthcare
22	premiums.
23	After the divorce concluded, I started
24	interviewing for advancement opportunities. And due to the
25	ethical contradictions, home office wouldn't allow me to

Page 173

1	move back up into my position in the Greenville area. I
2	then filed a motion as a suggestion from Walmart, to move
3	to the Columbia area to maintain the financial support for
4	my children, as I was the only party doing so. I won the
5	motion and was granted the ability to move to to move
б	with the children to Lexington, immediately.
7	I did not receive a dime of child support,
8	even though it was asked for in the pleadings. I didn't
9	receive any financial assistance, not even one red cent,
10	from Mr. Nichols during the time that the children stayed
11	in my custody. And that was a second temporary hearing.
12	The children attended the best elementary
13	schools in the state in Lexington, maintained honor roll,
14	and were actively involved in extracurricular activities.
15	The children were thriving in my home and they each had
16	their own bedrooms and bathrooms.
17	Over the course of that time, Mr. Nichols
18	still maintained and stalked me, and filed four DSS
19	complaints which were immediately investigated and closed.
20	The investigator showed up at my place of employment and
21	interviewed me, a disruption to my work environment.
22	Mr. Nichols then had a GPS tracker placed on
23	my car while I was at work. A customer saw this being done
24	and thought it was a bomb being placed on my car. The
25	customer then immediately went into Walmart and notified

1	us, not knowing what had been done.
2	I asked for a formal leave of absence from
3	Walmart, due to panic attacks and the stress that I was
4	under with the stalking that was enduring at the time. And
5	over the course of that leave of absence, I ended up
б	intercepting and meeting a woman by the name of Debra
7	Russell.
8	Debra Russell was the attorney on this case,
9	and had asked me well, she I ended up working for her
10	and leaving Walmart, due to I wanted to make sure that I
11	had an administrative scheduled versus a Walmart schedule
12	for my children. Over the course of that, she agreed to do
13	the case for a quid pro quo. And we were leading up to
14	that time frame.
15	In October is when we had a hurricane here
16	late in the season, and Mr. Nichols, the father who was a
17	police officer, asked that if he could get the children
18	back for that weekend. That was his weekend of visitation.
19	But they were doing lane reversals and evacuations and
20	on a Wednesday. And so I agreed and allowed Mr. Nichols to
21	have them early, so he was able to have his visitation with
22	the children.
23	At that time, where this is important, is
24	that I had my son put back on medicine for ADHD. I noticed
25	when he was about 3 years old, the symptoms. Because my

1	brother suffered from it.
2	I had him diagnosed and Mr. Nichols pitched
3	a fit about Brantley being on medicine. But when he was in
4	my full custody, I went back to the pediatrician and they
5	agreed that Brantley needed to be placed on medicine.
6	Where this is relevant is in October, when
7	the hurricane happened, Brantley I wasn't able unable
8	to go home and collect his medicine to send with him to his
9	dad before the lane reversals happened. So he went five
10	days without his medicine.
11	I picked him back up on Sunday evening for
12	him to start school back again on Monday. And during that
13	time, when I picked him up he was in excruciating pain.
14	And I didn't know what was wrong. And I get him home and
15	he would he just couldn't tell me, because he was 4
16	years old, of what was happening.
17	And I had talked to Ms. Russell the next
18	morning and as I was going to be late for work, handling
19	this and getting him off to school. And she heard him in
20	the excruciating pain, screaming. And she said, "Lindsay,
21	what's wrong? Something is not right."
22	And I said, "Yeah, ever since I've picked
23	him back up from Greenville, something is not right."
24	And so I ended up taking him to the doctor.
25	And Debra, because there was a friendship formed at that

1	time, she said, "Let me come by the house and just see."
2	Because she was a previous solicitor in Richland County.
3	And when she did so, she came out and she
4	was talking to my son I was outside with my daughter
5	she then comes back into my kitchen, white as a ghost, and
6	says, "We have to notify Greenville County of something."
7	And I said, "Well, what is it?"
8	And she said, "Brantley admitted that Dad
9	had put something sour in his bottom." And you'll see that
10	referenced in the affidavit. I didn't know what that
11	meant. I was just a scared mother, because I didn't know
12	what was wrong with my son.
13	I didn't see any signs of any abuse from my
14	ex-husband in the past. We'd both been good parents.
15	Didn't get along, we were going through a contentious
16	divorce custody case, obviously, but I never had, had any
17	signs of any issues with him. So I didn't know what to do.
18	And so she's the attorney, so I took her
19	suggestion. And we had a pre-trial conference in
20	Greenville that was scheduled later on that week. So we
21	attend. She notifies Judge Alex Kinlaw was the
22	administrative judge at the time, that was hearing the
23	pretrial conference. And he says, "Well, we're going to
24	put this on hold because we need to find out what's going
25	to happen."

I

1	And so I had Ms. Russell ending up
2	putting an affidavit for Greenville County. They did an
3	investigation of forensics, the ARC investigation was done
4	here in Columbia, and was found out that Brantley had a
5	side effect due to the medicine that no one knew about,
6	because he was without it, so he had an impaction.
7	And I and I think to Dad's defense, that
8	he may have given him an enema. But Dad fails to
9	communicate with me, so I didn't know what that was. But
10	where that's relevant is we go through the pretrial
11	conference, we come back, Judge Kinlaw ends up sending down
12	something and reschedules the pretrial conference for us to
13	make a determination as to what happens next.
14	And during that time frame a motion was
15	filed by Mr. Wes Meetze, Doug's attorney, disqualifying my
16	attorney because she had made herself a witness to the
17	case.
18	As you know, I'm a mom. I was in the middle
19	of a Catch 22, because if I didn't follow her advice and
20	something was wrong and Brantley had endured abuse, I was a
21	negligent mother. And then I there was no other way
22	around it.
23	So outside of that, Judge Kinlaw ends up
24	disqualifying Ms. Russell. And we're three weeks from
25	trial. She files a motion to continue. And we're in the

Page 178

1	30-day time frame, and we're calling every attorney we can
2	to have them on standby. But they're under protection
3	because they're already on the docket to clear a court
4	docket in December of that year.
5	We called 28 attorneys. It came down to the
б	point that a retired family court judge prepped me to be a
7	pro se litigant for this case. I ended up I waited and
8	waited and waited. Judge Kinlaw did not, and failed to
9	tell us what the determination was on the motion to
10	reconsider. So I'm in limbo up until a week and a half
11	prior to this three-day final hearing of custody of my two
12	children.
13	I get into the courtroom and the first thing
14	I asked for is that the courtroom is sequestered. She
15	grants Ms. Dunbar grants that request. My second was to
16	file a formal motion on the record for a continuance, based
17	off of counsel.
18	Her response to me was that she knew that
19	I knew that she couldn't do that. And the reason being is
20	because Judge Kinlaw put in his order when he disqualified
21	Ms. Russell, that the case would not be continued based off
22	of counsel.
23	Well, I would love to know, Commission, how
24	and what I was supposed to do. I had an attorney. I
25	didn't have her taken away. Judge Kinlaw took her away

Page 179

1	because she was doing what she thought was right for my
2	son.
3	And so then I'm the one and my children are
4	the ones that are now victims of this case, because I am
5	stuck in a courtroom pro se, and unable to have counsel
6	present. Now, where we believe that, that's okay in the
7	state of South Carolina is appalling.
8	Then on top of that, we start the case, I
9	was able to have multiple things admitted in evidence. And
10	I think I did an okay job as a pro se litigant. But
11	however, I don't feel like that the that the case was
12	handled appropriately by Ms. Dunbar.
13	And that's why we are here today. But I
14	wanted you to know the background of what took place and
15	why I was a pro se litigant. It was not because I wanted
16	to be. It was because I was forced by the State of South
17	Carolina to be.
18	And so this case in under appeal and goes to
19	oral argument sometime in the spring. And but what happens
20	next, I don't know. But for here today, what I'm
21	addressing is Judge Dunbar's personal biases that she
22	placed on the record.
23	ADHD in my household for my son has been a
24	big, big, big controversy for a long time. Now I can say
25	my son is being diagnosed again. with the help of his

Γ

Page 180

1	father, and he's also been diagnosed with a second syndrome
2	that affects him.
3	He was held back in the first grade due to
4	this. Because in our final order, Judge Dunbar wrote that
5	the parents have fifty 50/50 legal custody, but however
6	in the due to the impasse, that Father has final say.
7	Well, I can tell you that there's nothing in my court case
8	that would allow her to make such a judgement call.
9	I never did anything wrong. I've never been
10	convicted of a crime. Never. I don't think Walmart would
11	allow me to cover 17 of their stores with a criminal
12	record, or have that type of character flaw.
13	I'm asking for you, today, to take a stance,
14	that you do the right thing. Yes, we are two of fifty
15	states that are still electing our judges this way. But I
16	promise you, that's not the right way. But we can't cure
17	that problem here, right now immediately.
18	But what I am asking you to do today is
19	don't allow this to continue. A personal bias, a judge
20	that is unethical and allows that to happen should not be
21	allowed to be on a Bar, to be a part of the USC Law School,
22	to be continuously making decisions, permanent decision,
23	for our children.
24	She failed me. She failed my children. And
25	she failed the State of South Carolina. And I feel

I

Page 181

1	confident in you, after waiting two years, that you will
2	finally take a stance, today, and make sure that she is not
3	allowed to continue. Thank you.
4	MS. DEAN: Thank you, Ms. Sellers. If
5	you'll stay there, please. Just to summarize your
6	complaint, it alleges that Judge Dunbar interjected a
7	personal bias in a case, and it questions some of the
8	decisions that the court made. Ms. Sellers, I plan to ask
9	you some questions about each of these allegations.
10	Commission members may have questions of their own.
11	MS. SELLERS: Sure.
12	MS. DEAN: Mr. Chairman, for the record, we
13	again note that the Commission is focused on the nine
14	evaluative criteria, and not relitigating any past
15	proceedings.
16	First, Ms. Sellers, is your case under seal?
17	MS. SELLERS: No.
18	MS. DEAN: Thank you. Generally, this
19	hearing is a three-day hearing in December of 2016,
20	regarding custody of your children as you lived in the
21	Columbia area and your ex-husband lived in the Greenville
22	area; is that correct?
23	MS. SELLERS: Yes, ma'am.
24	MS. DEAN: Ms. Sellers, you allege that
25	Judge Dunbar spoke about her personal experience regarding

Page 182

1 ADHD at a point during the three-day hearing; is that 2 correct? 3 MS. SELLERS: Yes, ma'am. 4 MS. DEAN: You mentioned that you were pro 5 se during that time. And was the statement during the 6 portion of the trial where you were questioning your mother 7 about your son's health? 8 MS. SELLERS: Correct. 9 MS. DEAN: And is your mother in the 10 healthcare profession? 11 But my mother is a state MS. SELLERS: No. 12 employee and works at DJJ as an intensive supervision 13 probation officer, and so she is very aware of all of the 14 mental disorders on the DSM5. 15 MS. DEAN: And your mother is present here 16 today? 17 MS. SELLERS: Yes, ma'am. 18 MS. DEAN: Mr. Chairman, for the 19 Commission's benefit, on review of the transcript during 20 her testimony, if Karen Sykes -- the Complainant's mother, 21 correct? 22 MS. SELLERS: Yes. 23 MS. DEAN: -- the court stated -- an 24 objection was made by Mr. Meetze. And you explained who 25 Mr. Meetze was earlier? MS. SELLERS: Yes, he

Page 183

1	was my ex-husband's he's the opposing attorney. The
2	only attorney in the room.
3	MS. DEAN: Mr. Meetze stated, "Objection,
4	Your Honor. Outside of her expertise." Her being the
5	your mother, Karen Sykes. Is that correct?
6	MS. SELLERS: Yes.
7	MS. DEAN: "She's asking for an expert
8	opinion regarding an ADHD diagnosis."
9	And the Court stated, "I don't know that
10	anybody can. I don't know that I can tell if somebody has
11	ADHD. I think children are active. My kids are were in
12	school and the teacher wanted to say my son had ADHD, took
13	him and he got a test and he wasn't ADHD. So I don't know.
14	Kids are active. Kids don't get to play outside as much as
15	they used to. I mean, I don't know. It's really a
16	flipping science to this whole ADHD. And I don't want to
17	go into any testimony that I don't believe an individual
18	lay individual can determine whether anybody has it. I
19	mean, there's a controversy where some scientists are now
20	saying that people are just making these diagnoses, and
21	some are saying it's not ADHD. Who knows. And then they
22	got all kinds of varieties of ADHD. It seems like
23	everybody that I see come in the courtroom, they got it.
24	Every little boy and no girls have it, though but no
25	boys have it. And we can get some testimony from Ms.

Γ

Page 184

1	Mobley, she's a guardian ad litem, she probably can testify
2	that every case she got on little boys, they got ADHD. So
3	I don't want to hear any I don't think she and I'll
4	sustain. I don't think she got the expertise to determine
5	if anybody has ADHD. All right."
6	And then you began questioning your mother
7	further.
8	MS. SELLERS: So to clear
9	MS. DEAN: Is that an accurate portrayal?
10	MS. SELLERS: I just want to make sure
11	you're giving the dialog from Judge Dunbar? Is that
12	correct?
13	MS. DEAN: When I said "the Court," yes.
14	MS. SELLERS: Okay. I missed that. Sorry.
15	MS. DEAN: Is that an accurate portrayal?
16	MS. SELLERS: Absolutely.
17	MS. DEAN: And so you also allege that Judge
18	Dunbar made reference to a personal experience in
19	discussing the lack of bedrooms for your children at your
20	ex-husband's apartment; is that correct?
21	MS. SELLERS: Yes, ma'am.
22	MS. DEAN: Do you know when during the trial
23	this occurred, this discussion from the court, regarding
24	the bedrooms?
25	MS. SELLERS: I can't I mean, it was

Page 185

1	three a three-day trial, Ms. Dean.
2	MS. DEAN: Sure.
3	MS. SELLERS: I honestly don't remember
4	exactly, off the I can summarize it for you. I was
5	contesting the fact that Mr. Nichols only provided a one-
6	bedroom apartment, and we had a 50/50 one week with Mom,
7	one week with Dad. I provided two bedrooms and a bathroom
8	for my children. He provided sleeping bags on a floor for
9	them, every other week.
10	I'm sorry. But as a mom, I did not feel
11	that, that was a in the best interest of my children.
12	And then Mrs. Dunbar went on to elaborate that her children
13	slept together in a full-size I think it was a I
14	think it was a full-size bed for over a year while her
15	house was being remodeled, and that she didn't see that
16	there was any problem with that.
17	MS. DEAN: And in reviewing the transcript,
18	would it make would it be consistent with her
19	recollection that the judge ruled and then you sought to
20	give a closing argument, and then the Court responded to
21	your
22	MS. SELLERS: Yes. Yes.
23	MS. DEAN: closing argument?
24	MS. SELLERS: Yes. And I and I think I
25	referenced I think I even submitted in exhibits, the

1	floor plans of my house, the pictures from the guardian ad
2	litem, as well as the floor pans for floor plans from
3	his apartment of what the children were living in.
4	MS. DEAN: And is it consistent with your
5	recollection, that during this reply to the Court has
6	already ruled, you have then made your closing statement,
7	and then the Court responded to your closing statement, in
8	part, saying, "Yes, these children might have slept on a
9	air mattress. I don't see any harm with that. My husband
10	and I and my three children, the three of them slept in the
11	same bed in a queen-size bed while we were remodeling
12	our house for over a year. I don't find any evidence that
13	they were harmed in any way. People can grow closer. Yes,
14	they might be better in a three-bedroom, but I don't find
15	that as a reason to allow them to move to Lexington just
16	because they can have a three-bedroom."
17	Is that consistent with your recollection?
18	MS. SELLERS: Yes. And I absolutely, a
19	hundred and ten percent, disagree with that. I don't
20	think, and would like to believe that every single one of
21	you as commission members wouldn't allow your children or
22	be okay with permanently allowing your children to sleep on
23	an air mattress, a week on and a week off. Yet, Ms. Dunbar
24	is up here telling us that she has the best interest of
25	South Carolina children at heart. I disagree.

1	MS. DEAN: Ms. Sellers, you also allege that
2	Judge Dunbar took breaks before ruling on issues. And you
3	allege she spoke with other judges during these breaks.
4	Would you like to address that matter?
5	MS. SELLERS: She was consistently well,
6	first off, as I explained previously, when I went in and
7	filed the formal motion on the record again, to
8	reiterate that I did not want to be a pro se litigant, that
9	I wanted an attorney there, but however, I was prepared to
10	continue to move forward she was referencing, I believe,
11	and I'll let her speak to that, that she said she couldn't
12	allow that.
13	Well, I believe that, that was based off of
14	Judge Alex Kinlaw's order, where he wrote that in that
15	order. Now, I am under the belief, through going through
16	that three-day hearing, that she was continuously getting
17	up and going into chambers and taking breaks.
18	And, again, it's been over two years, but
19	she was going back into chambers to research things and
20	speak to people. And it was several times a day.
21	And if you have a competent judge, we
22	shouldn't be getting up off the bench and going and having
23	to converse with fellow judges and fellow people fellow
24	people in chambers. Sure, she can ask for a break. But
25	that's not what's referenced in the transcripts.

Page 188

1 MS. DEAN: Lastly, Ms. Sellers, you question 2 the soundness of Judge Dunbar's decisions. Without 3 relitigating your case, could you please explain this 4 concern? 5 MS. SELLERS: Judge Dunbar, in her order I have -- I submitted a financial declaration of what I 6 7 thought. And, again, with me being a pro se litigant, what 8 I thought was correct, it was incorrect. And she 9 calculated child support based off of an incorrect number. 10 With me being a pro se litigant, it was my mistake. 11 I will tell each and every one of you, I 12 have paid for that dearly. I am paying over a thousand 13 dollars a month for two children, and did that on a \$32,000 14 salary. It is like I have been held at a ransom in a hell 15 for two years, based off of what Judge Dunbar did. 16 She also put in her order, that I pay \$15,000 for the opposing counsel's legal fees within 90 17 days of her final order. I believe she referenced 18 19 something to that effect of 90 days, that dealt with a --20 an appellate case. 21 I'm not sure if she was referencing mine, 22 because that is under court -- under appeal. But I don't 23 know where she's getting the terminology from or thinks 24 that it's okay for 90 days. And as a parent that provided 25 financial documents, there was not \$15,000 to be had in 90

Page 189

1 days. 2 And, again, I'm no criminal. And I don't 3 understand why that my -- myself as a good, involved parent 4 would be up for potentially going to jail, based off of her 5 making such an erroneous ruling. 6 MS. DEAN: Thank you, Ms. Sellers. Thank 7 you, Mr. Chairman. 8 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Let me ask you one question. I'll start off, if you don't mind. 9 10 MS. SELLERS: Sure. 11 CHAIRMAN SMITH: And I know you said -- how 12 long did Judge Kinlaw give you to hire an attorney --13 MS. SELLERS: He didn't. 14 CHAIRMAN SMITH: -- before this --15 MS. SELLERS: He didn't. That's why I'm 16 here. So if I get -- when did you 17 CHAIRMAN SMITH: receive notice of the order that he -- if I understood what 18 19 you testified to earlier --20 MS. SELLERS: He never -- I'm sorry. 21 CHAIRMAN SMITH: But what you testified 22 earlier that he gave -- he provided an order that said the 23 matter could not be continued? Is that correct? MS. SELLERS: Correct. And that was at the 24 25 end -- I believe the end of November. The hearing for this

Page 190

1	case was the middle of December. It was the 12th, 13th,
2	14th range of December. So I didn't even have thirty days.
3	So we called and reached out to fellow attorneys I was
4	dating a public defender for three years at the time, and
5	he reached out to every attorney that he knew possible, to
6	try to get help for me. They were all protected because
7	they were already on the docket and slated for court. They
8	couldn't they couldn't get to me.
9	So then he reached out to a retired family
10	court judge who prepped me for several hours and told me I
11	was the best pro se litigant that he'd ever seen.
12	CHAIRMAN SMITH: But in that regard, how
13	long had this case been pending before this final hearing?
14	MS. SELLERS: Oh, 365 we were we were
15	on the 365 day rule. Which is it's fine. But again, I
16	was prepared to move forward with my counsel.
17	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Your attorney.
18	MS. SELLERS: And she disqualified the
19	counsel. It wasn't for any other reason.
20	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Right. And but he told you
21	the hearing was going forward whether you had an attorney
22	or not.
23	MS. SELLERS: Well, actually, we weren't
24	present for the hearing. Because that was at the time I
25	don't know if you remember, that was at the time we had the

Γ

Page 191

1	South Carolina wildfires, right after that hurricane. It
2	was
3	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Is that
4	MS. SELLERS: on the Asheville
5	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Pamlico mountain?
6	MS. SELLERS: Correct.
7	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yeah.
8	MS. SELLERS: And so all of the smoke and
9	smog, we were six to I think six or nine minutes late to
10	the court hearing. She had called the admin to let them
11	know. We walked to the courtroom and the hearing was
12	already over. So during her disqualification hearing, we
13	never even made it. We were never even allowed in the
14	courtroom. He said he wouldn't talk to her.
15	SENATOR YOUNG: Who's "he"?
16	MS. SELLERS: Judge Alex Kinlaw.
17	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Mr. Chairman.
18	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yes, sir. Representative
19	Murphy.
20	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Ms. Sellers, thank
21	you for being here today. And I just wanted to follow up a
22	little bit with what Representative Smith was asking.
23	After the hearing, where your attorney was disqualified,
24	was a motion for reconsideration
25	MS. SELLERS: Yes.

Page 192

1	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: filed?
2	MS. SELLERS: A rule a Rule 59 was
3	submitted to Judge Kinlaw. And he kept it in abeyance and
4	did not respond. We kept reaching out to this office,
5	trying to get a determination, because she's still held as
6	counsel and I wasn't released to go obtain new counsel,
7	even though we were trying to get attorneys on the phone.
8	We called 28 different attorneys.
9	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: And was that was
10	an order ever filed on that motion to reconsideration? Is
11	that
12	MS. SELLERS: I think we got it if I
13	and, again, it's been a long time. And I don't have the
14	documents right in front of me. I do believe it was
15	within, like, several days of the final hearing. It was
16	not within a notice of like ten days or anything to where
17	you could have even had the time to
18	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: So there was a
19	ruling on the motion for reconsideration
20	MS. SELLERS: I want to say it was
21	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: A few days
22	MS. SELLERS: within several days, yeah.
23	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: A few days prior to
24	the final hearing?
25	MS. SELLERS: The final hearing of final

Page 193

1	custody of my two children.
2	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Senator Rankin.
4	SENATOR RANKIN: Likewise, ma'am, I
5	appreciate your being here. This is certainly not
6	comfortable for you
7	MS. SELLERS: No, sir.
8	SENATOR RANKIN: obviously, by your
9	demeanor. I'm trying to divine what's gone on here. And I
10	I've been a family court litigant one time. I pray I'll
11	never been again.
12	MS. SELLERS: I'm sorry.
13	SENATOR RANKIN: So that's not thank God,
14	the system exists. Does it need to be improved? Can it
15	can everything be improved? Certainly. What we have to do
16	is work within the facts that you have in your case, and
17	others that are filming my good friend and former
18	neighbor here, and another fellow from Horry County, and
19	others here that are looking for some reform, perhaps.
20	Your case, though, as the first on the
21	docket, I guess, of complaints that have been filed, why
22	help me. Why does judge and this is in your brief
23	your attorney's brief. Your attorney is Brett Stevens
24	MS. SELLERS: Yes, sir.
25	SENATOR RANKIN: is that correct? Why

Γ

Page 194

1	does Judge Kinlaw grant the father's motion ordering
2	father's counsel to put some strong language in the order,
3	indicating in a case the case was not to be continued,
4	quote, under any circumstances, end quote? What's going on
5	with that? Help us
б	MS. SELLERS: I assure you, you probably
7	don't want me to summarize that or make an opinion. But I
8	will tell you my personal opinion, because we weren't
9	allowed to be in that courtroom. Because he wouldn't even
10	see her that day. She was six minutes late due to
11	SENATOR RANKIN: Your attorney was six
12	minutes late getting to that hearing.
13	MS. SELLERS: Yes, sir.
14	SENATOR RANKIN: And so again there's a
15	record, I assume and not opinions, but is there a record
16	that from Judge Kinlaw, explains what you've included in
17	your brief?
18	MS. SELLERS: They're in the appeal that's
19	been submitted. She did we did get the transcripts from
20	that hearing that we weren't even allowed to go in the
21	courtroom. We did get the transcripts. And they were
22	provided to the Court of Appeals.
23	SENATOR RANKIN: Is that transcript sealed?
24	Or is that transcript
25	MS. SELLERS: No, none of my documents in

Γ

Page 195

1	any case that I've had with this action have ever been
2	sealed. And you're more than welcome to go obtain them.
3	SENATOR RANKIN: So not that your opinion
4	doesn't count, but what is in the record that warrants that
5	discussion "under no circumstances"? Is there anything
б	cited within that
7	MS. SELLERS: Absolutely nothing. I think
8	that he was my belief is that he was just agitated at
9	the fact that he she was late for court. But however,
10	again, I mean, we were dealing with wildfires. The state
11	was under an emergency a state of emergency.
12	And she notified the court, letting them
13	know that we were in route. We get there and she says,
14	"I'm here."
15	And the bailiff's like, "The case is
16	already, you know, done." It's amazing to me in six
17	minutes, that we've already finalized a case, disqualifying
18	my attorney on a final three-day hearing. I have no words.
19	SENATOR RANKIN: All right. Some
20	allegation, again you've mentioned with your child,
21	medication, and your prior attorney overhearing something
22	that ultimately did not raise rise to the level of a
23	crime.
24	MS. SELLERS: Correct.
25	SENATOR RANKIN: There was an investigation

1	of your husband?
2	MS. SELLERS: Yes. You see, my husband is
3	an SRO at a middle school in Greenville County. And so
4	when this arose, you know, I'm sitting there as a mom at my
5	house, and she's looking at me like, "Oh, my God, what do
6	we do?"
7	I've got a child who's bleeding I'm just
8	going to be graphic. I apologize. But he's greeting
9	bleeding from his rectum, doubled over in pain. And he's 4
10	years old and he can't tell me why. But the only statement
11	that we know at that point is Dad put something sour his
12	bottom.
13	SENATOR RANKIN: And you have said to us you
14	give your ex-husband, the father, the benefit of the doubt
15	
16	MS. SELLERS: I do. I think you know,
17	and I and we had all said that. I mean, I talked to my
18	parents, I had talked with, you know, my significant other
19	at that time, and I had no I had nothing to substantiate
20	or even feel that Mr. Nichols had done anything like that -
21	- of the sort.
22	But again, what if I didn't follow through
23	with what my attorney, who is who is representing me,
24	tells me to do? And what if something did happen? Now
25	who's negligent? Who's the parent that could be held

Γ

1	accountable for that? Me. And he's around other children.
2	So that's the way it's presented to me.
3	And so she said, "We just have to follow
4	through. If nothing happens, nothing happens."
5	But in no way was that to spin the court in
6	a different direction. I mean, there's medical records
7	proving that my son had an impaction at that time in his
8	intestine. That's what was caused by that.
9	So it's not something that's fabricated, you
10	know, or yeah, fabricated on behalf of a private
11	litigant trying to push a family court trial off, I assure
12	you. It was my son was in pain. And when he says that at
13	4, and you have nothing else to go on and the only thing
14	that I would say that Mr. Nichols is guilty of is he
15	doesn't communicate as the parent with me. So I didn't
16	know that there was any problem with my child until he gets
17	in the car and he's doubled over in pain.
18	SENATOR RANKIN: So that's that red flag
19	was raised and then lowered. That concern was
20	MS. SELLERS: Yes. ARC here in Columbia,
21	because we lived in Lexington, completed a forensic
22	investigation, and deemed that there was no fault at Mom's
23	house or Dad's house. It was just it was what it was.
24	And at that time after the interview, he was already fine.
25	It was just we realized that the medicine, Tenex, causes

Page 198

1 issues with the intestine. 2 SENATOR RANKIN: And you all had litigated 3 this case for a year? You had, had -- did y'all do 4 discovery depositions --5 MS. SELLERS: Yes. 6 SENATOR RANKIN: -- of each other? 7 MS. SELLERS: We didn't do depositions. 8 There was no discovery. Went through mediation. Mediation 9 with him was not successful. 10 SENATOR RANKIN: You had an attorney at 11 mediation. 12 MS. SELLERS: Uh-huh. 13 SENATOR RANKIN: "Yes"? 14 MS. SELLERS: Yes. Yes. We had attorneys 15 up until that point. I mean, the only time that a pro se situation had happened during this custody action, was when 16 17 my attorney was taken away from me and I was unable to 18 obtain another one, was forced into the situation. 19 SENATOR RANKIN: So your former attorney is 20 the one who is now representing you in this -- in this --21 MS. SELLERS: No. I will -- I will go ahead 22 and let you know she -- there's been some serious, serious 23 unethical allegations about her, and I am turning over a 24 15-page document to the ODC. 25 SENATOR RANKIN: Okay.

Page 199

1	MS. SELLERS: I have that here, if you'd
2	like to see it.
3	SENATOR RANKIN: No, that's not
4	CHAIRMAN SMITH: No, we can't see that.
5	SENATOR RANKIN: So you've got an appeal
б	there's a brief that another attorney has prepared. And
7	has your ex-husband replied
8	MS. SELLERS: Responded? Yes.
9	SENATOR RANKIN: All right. And who is his
10	attorney?
11	MS. SELLERS: Wes Meetze. He is the
12	attorney the opposing attorney for this action as well
13	as his appeal. I don't believe he has any experience in
14	the Appellate Court. That's why I hired Brett to be the
15	attorney on that, because she has extensive appellate
16	experience.
17	SENATOR RANKIN: And you understand, again,
18	rarely in family court, unless it's an adoption, does
19	anyone walk out
20	MS. SELLERS: Happy.
21	SENATOR RANKIN: happy.
22	MS. SELLERS: Absolutely.
23	SENATOR RANKIN: When a marriage goes south,
24	when a child's home is broken up, no one is happy.
25	MS. SELLERS: Correct.

Γ

Page 200

1	SENATOR RANKIN: You agree with that. And I
2	say that empathetic with you, because I've been there. I
3	know that. But you do, likewise, understand that it is a
4	call that a judge is given the discretion to make rightly
5	or wrongly. And there's a process for that. You're not
б	complaining about the appellate process, you're just
7	complaining to us that it should never have gone to that
8	point.
9	MS. SELLERS: One, I should
10	SENATOR RANKIN: Correct?
11	MS. SELLERS: I should have never been
12	forced into a situation without legal counsel, just to
13	appease a docket of administratively making sure this
14	didn't go into the next year's fiscal docket.
15	SENATOR RANKIN: All right. And on that
16	point, tell me about the exchange with Judge Dunbar in
17	refusing that.
18	MS. SELLERS: I'll let her speak to that.
19	But the response to me when I formally put it on the
20	record, on day one, is that she says, "Now, Ms. Sellers,
21	you know I cannot do that." Why?
22	SENATOR RANKIN: And what
23	MS. SELLERS: Were you told that you weren't
24	allowed to do it?
25	SENATOR RANKIN: So not what she's going to

Γ

Page 201

1	say, but what does the record say?
2	MS. SELLERS: That's exactly what the record
3	says. And it's what's provided in the appeal.
4	SENATOR RANKIN: Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Any further
6	questions of Commission members?
7	(Hearing none.)
8	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ms. Sellers, thank you very
9	much. And we're going to hear from Judge Dunbar now oh,
10	I'm sorry, I'm getting ahead of the process.
11	MS. DEAN: Ms. Sellers, the document that
12	Lindi should be handing to you right now is just a copy of
13	your affidavit form?
14	MS. SELLERS: Yes, ma'am.
15	MS. DEAN: You're okay with that being made
16	part of the record?
17	MS. SELLERS: Yes, ma'am.
18	MS. DEAN: The affidavit form itself without
19	any exhibits. Okay. Thank you.
20	(EXHIBIT NO. 20 - WITNESS AFFIDAVIT OF
21	LINDSAY ALISON SELLERS)
22	MS. DEAN: At this time, Mr. Chairman, I
23	recommend that Ms. Sellers be seated and Judge Dunbar
24	return to the podium.
25	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ms. Sellers, thank you.

Page 202

1	Now you can be seated. Judge Dunbar, if you'll come up
2	here, please, ma'am. And I'll give you an opportunity to
3	respond. And, Judge Dunbar, let me remind you, you're
4	still under oath as we hear today. And then Counsel may
5	have questions for you, and then any Commission members
6	may.
7	JUDGE DUNBAR: Okay. All right. Thank you
8	so much. Chairman Smith and members of the Honorable
9	Commission, I just want to state that most of the issues
10	that were raised, that I believe, with all due respect to
11	Ms. Sellers, were somewhat mischaracterized.
12	She did mention that she had a prior
13	attorney, Ms. Karen McManaway, who represented her
14	initially in this case, and that case and that attorney
15	asked to be relieved of servicing her.
16	Also, in her sworn affidavit I think she
17	mischaracterized some of the statements that she attributed
18	that I stated, where there is no evidence of the record to
19	justify or explain that I actually made those statements.
20	And I do want to mention that I think that there's no
21	perfect trial. But I do believe that Ms. Sellers had a
22	fair trial.
23	In the beginning of the case, Mr. Meetze
24	he goes by "Marcus Meetze" from what I know. And he and
25	it was very difficult when you have a self-represented

Page 203

1	litigant in a three-day trial. And I had never experienced
2	that before. And in the beginning of the trial, I do
3	notice that the order I went through the file, and in
4	that order I do remember things a little bit from what I
5	remember. And I didn't provide the file the whole file,
б	it's not under state seal, that there were allegations made
7	by Ms. Sellers against the father in this case, Mr. Nichols
8	of that he sexually abused their son.
9	And she made a motion emergency motion
10	that was heard by a judge. And it was denied. She did not
11	mention that to the Commission.
12	And, also, I do want to state that and as
13	far as her attorney, I believe that that is correct.
14	Ms. Sellers was correct that Judge Kinlaw relieved her
15	attorney, Ms. Debra Russell, who I believed Ms. Sellers was
16	employed was her employer at that time, relieved her of
17	services. And it was a quite proper ruling.
18	And the order stated that under no
19	circumstances and it did say there was strong
20	language, under no circumstances would there be a
21	continuance based on not having an attorney in the case.
22	And I do believe and I could be have
23	been wrong not to have grant a continuance, but I believe
24	that is in my discretion. And I don't want to say too
25	much. And I probably shouldn't have said what I said,

Γ

1	because it is on appeal.
2	And she has a right to appeal. And the Appellate
3	Court will correct me if I'm wrong. And that's what
4	they're there for. And I respect their rulings.
5	And I think that I was respectful to Ms.
6	Sellers throughout throughout the trial. I tried my
7	best. She did she's right, she did do a good job trying
8	her case. She was excellent. And I commended her on that.
9	I said she was a smart lady and that she was a good mother.
10	I said all of that on the record.
11	And at the end of my ruling, she did ask me
12	earlier, and I forgot, could she make a closing argument.
13	And after, I had made my ruling. She says, "Ma'am, I
14	thought I could make my closing argument."
15	So I said, "I'm sorry, Ms. Sellers. I'll
16	allow you to make a closing argument." And she went
17	made her closing argument. In response to that closing
18	argument, that's when I made those statements. And I had
19	already made my ruling on the record as to what custody
20	should be.
21	And I do think I don't want the
22	Commission to be confused with what occurred. They had a
23	divorce and they agreed on $50/50$ custody, with no one
24	having any type of determination as to who made final
25	decision-making authority on any of the vital decisions of

Page 205

1	the children. And less than a year later, this action was
2	filed for a change of custody.
3	And in that change of custody, during the
4	pendency of the change of custody trial, Ms. Sellers was
5	allowed to move to Lexington with the children. And at
6	that time it came to a final three-day hearing, and I was
7	to determine whether or not the children were to remain in
8	Lexington or remain with the father in Greenville. So
9	somebody had to have primary placement. And if you're
10	having primary placement, that parent had to have primary -
11	- final decision-making authority.
12	If both parents were in the same county, I
13	can't say that I would have made the decision that I made.
14	But somebody had to get custody because they were both
15	different and someone had to have final decision-making
16	authority.
17	And, also, I think when I made my ruling on
18	the objection, I think I did go a little far with my
19	explanation. But by her being a self-represented litigant,
20	I thought it was important for me to explain why a person
21	could not a lay-person could not give an opinion as to a
22	medical diagnosis, that an expert was needed. And there
23	were no experts in the whole three days of trial.
24	And I think I best not say anything else.
25	And I hope I didn't say too much. And I apologize because

Page 206

1	I sort of forget sometimes that this in on appeal. And I
2	would let my written statement stand. And if the
3	Commission has any questions of me, I'll be happy to
4	answer.
5	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Let me just clear up
6	I've got to understand this this late disqualification
7	of an attorney. And I heard Ms. Sellers testify that she
8	may have had a week or two to find a new attorney. Is that
9	do you have any idea about that?
10	JUDGE DUNBAR: I don't I'll be honest, I
11	don't recall that it was a week or two. I think I would
12	have made a different decision if it was a week or two.
13	CHAIRMAN SMITH: I mean, do you
14	JUDGE DUNBAR: And I oh, I'm sorry.
15	CHAIRMAN SMITH: And I don't want to talk
16	about your decision, 'cause that's under appeal.
17	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yes.
18	CHAIRMAN SMITH: And we've got to respect
19	that portion of it. But I do have concerns about a three-
20	day trial, which I read in your order that says is complex
21	and contentious, and that someone's got to go and try and
22	pro se. And I don't know if you know, I see Judge
23	Kinlaw making that order.
24	And so, you know, that is a little
25	concerning to me. And, you know, I'm trying to understand

I

Page 207

1	and, again, I don't want to get into your ruling, but
2	I'm also trying to understand is you know, the old
3	common law that one judge can't overrule another judge.
4	I mean, were you I presume you were given
5	deference to or deference or following the order of a
б	judge that had been a motion to reconsider had come
7	before him, in which he was he denied it.
8	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yes.
9	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right.
10	JUDGE DUNBAR: And I think because the judge
11	that lawyer was recused or excused from the from
12	the trial I mean, from representing Ms. Sellers, was
13	because she was a witness going to be a witness in a
14	criminal matter, because this criminal charges were, I
15	believe, pending.
16	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Criminal charges against
17	who?
18	JUDGE DUNBAR: The father, Mr. Nichols,
19	based on the allegations that
20	CHAIRMAN SMITH: I thought they were
21	found there was
22	JUDGE DUNBAR: It was substantiate
23	unsubstantiated. But my understanding from reading the
24	file was that there were criminal pending charges
25	CHAIRMAN SMITH: While this was going on?

Page 208

1	JUDGE DUNBAR: Right. And that's why and
2	she would be a witness to it. And so, therefore, she could
3	not represent someone, being a witness in a criminal
4	matter.
5	CHAIRMAN SMITH: And, again, you know and
б	I we all agree that this is we don't relitigate the
7	case. We just hear the facts. And this goes as to your
8	qualifications. So I just want to make sure I don't I
9	don't want to cross that threshold where we get into an
10	area that's that's, you know, under appeal and there
11	there's a body that's going to hear that and make a
12	decision on that.
13	But what I do want to know is, is in that
14	transcript and I don't want you to tell me what you're
15	thinking. But in that transcript was there ever any
16	discussion about this issue of maybe he was abused, and it
17	was constipation and that's what caused it? Or was that
18	issue just left alone throughout the trial?
19	JUDGE DUNBAR: No, that was brought out on
20	the record. And I think that I made a finding as one of
21	the reasons why I gave custody to the father.
22	CHAIRMAN SMITH: You found okay. All
23	right.
24	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yes.
25	CHAIRMAN SMITH: I got the order. And I'll

Page 209

1	review that again. So the way I'm looking the way I see
2	it, if I look at the transcript, about November mid-
3	November is when Judge Kinlaw had this hearing and
4	disqualified the attorney? November 16th?
5	JUDGE DUNBAR: Chairman Smith, I can't
6	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Well, I'm looking. They're
7	telling me it's November 16th.
8	JUDGE DUNBAR: But I think the hearing was
9	prior to the order.
10	CHAIRMAN SMITH: The hearing was on the 16th
11	and the order was on the 17th. Okay. All right. Any
12	further questions? Representative Murphy.
13	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Mr. Chairman, I was
14	just going to from my reading, just to clarify, it looks
15	like the motion to disqualify was on November 17th, 2016.
16	The merits hearing was held December 13th. So it's a
17	period of about three weeks.
18	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Senator Rankin.
19	SENATOR RANKIN: Judge, I appreciate your
20	response here as well. And you can you can certainly
21	empathize, I know, not just with Ms. Sellers here, but
22	obviously with the entire process. I'm curious not what
23	might happen with the Appellate Court, but what is your
24	understanding of the law for decision or deciding
25	whether to grant someone a continuance based on their need

1	for counsel?
2	JUDGE DUNBAR: Well, my understanding that -
3	- I didn't get that from her on the record. I didn't get
4	that feeling that she was that forceful about wanting an
5	attorney. If she if I believed that, I would have
б	granted her that that continuance.
7	SENATOR RANKIN: How would you
8	JUDGE DUNBAR: And it I'm sorry. Go
9	ahead.
10	SENATOR RANKIN: And I'm interrupting you.
11	JUDGE DUNBAR: And I do believe that she had
12	enough time to get the attorney, in my opinion.
13	SENATOR RANKIN: So how would one convey
14	more forcefully, their inability to try the case? And it's
15	not like a criminal case, you know
16	JUDGE DUNBAR: She didn't tell me that she
17	had the inability to
18	SENATOR RANKIN: But I mean, what and I'm
19	not trying to get too deep in the weeds here. But again,
20	your sense is that it was not a primary concern
21	JUDGE DUNBAR: No. It wasn't. As a matter
22	of fact, Mr. Meetze had tried to get some of her testimony
23	and some of her witnesses excused and be based on her
24	them not complying with discovery, and I wouldn't allow
25	that so I thought she could have a fair trial.

Page 211

1	I do believe that she was prepared. She
2	wasn't as forceful, in my opinion, from what I can
3	remember, about having a continuance. I don't believe
4	there was much discussion that I can remember about it.
5	SENATOR RANKIN: Have you had any motions
6	before with
7	JUDGE DUNBAR: I never had been involved in
8	the entire case. And there was several motions in this
9	case. And I had never been involved. This was the first
10	time I touched the file.
11	SENATOR RANKIN: And by Judge Kinlaw's prior
12	orders
13	JUDGE DUNBAR: And other judges as well.
14	Several other judges.
15	SENATOR RANKIN: Okay. And you had made a
16	comment about her having not one but two attorneys, one or
17	both backing out of the case
18	JUDGE DUNBAR: Right. And that kind of
19	bothered me
20	SENATOR RANKIN: So how did how do you
21	know that? Or how do we know that?
22	JUDGE DUNBAR: It was in the file. The
23	order was in the file. You know, when a judge when a
24	lawyer wants to recuse when a lawyer wants to get out of
25	the case, they have to come before the court. They just

1	can't get out of the case. They send a consent order where
2	both the litigant and the attorney agree.
3	SENATOR RANKIN: And you've made and one
4	other point I want to be clear I understand, that both
5	parties had mediated and/or litigated a 50/50 split of
6	custody before?
7	JUDGE DUNBAR: Right. During their divorce
8	the parties had agreed for to the 50/50 custody, the
9	week on/week off.
10	SENATOR RANKIN: And how
11	JUDGE DUNBAR: And when Ms. Sellers filed
12	this action
13	SENATOR RANKIN: For a change in
14	JUDGE DUNBAR: A change in custody.
15	SENATOR RANKIN: Okay.
16	JUDGE DUNBAR: Right.
17	SENATOR RANKIN: And then
18	JUDGE DUNBAR: Or modifying the custody
19	arrangement that they had agreed to, I think was less than
20	a year, prior to they filing of this action, asking for
21	modification of what they agreed to at their divorce.
22	'Cause I wasn't a party to their divorce or anything.
23	SENATOR RANKIN: All right. Now, did either
24	party again and you've got to have a tie-breaker.
25	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yes.

Γ

1	SENATOR RANKIN: I mean, we all know that.
2	But was that a litigated issue, who should have
3	JUDGE DUNBAR: No, they
4	SENATOR RANKIN: a trump a trump card
5	of the deciding factor if they couldn't agree? Is that
6	JUDGE DUNBAR: None of that was addressed in
7	the order in in the the original order that ordered
8	them to have joint custody. They just had joint custody.
9	And the oh, there was one I think the one thing they
10	did agree on was that, I believe, Ms. Sellers would have
11	the decision-making authority of what daycare the children
12	would go to.
13	But other than that, no other decision-
14	making authority. So I had to come up you know, I had
15	to give primary placement to some parent. And when you
16	give primary placement, that person usually has the
17	deciding factor as far as decision-making authority.
18	But I gave them I said specifically in
19	the order, that they should consult with each other. And
20	if they couldn't decide fairly on the issue, then the
21	primary placement parent would have the final decision-
22	making authority.
23	SENATOR RANKIN: You mentioned also your
24	success rate on appeal. My terms, not yours. Do you know?
25	I mean, is that something that you can tell us about,

Page 214

1	generally, or
2	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yeah, I've heard
3	SENATOR RANKIN: Do you keep up with that?
4	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yeah, I should have counted
5	before I came in. But I do know that I've had over in
6	Greenville County, probably at least 200 trials that don't
7	include DSS, abuse and neglect, don't include juveniles,
8	don't include out of county.
9	And in that, I would say okay, overall, I
10	believe definitely, I've heard over 6,000 cases overall.
11	That's just cases. That doesn't even include some DSS
12	cases, bench warrants. But as far as trials, I think I've
13	heard at least 200 in Greenville County.
14	SENATOR RANKIN: Well, that's not my
15	question.
16	JUDGE DUNBAR: Oh, then oh, then the
17	SENATOR RANKIN: Those that have been taken
18	up on appeal
19	JUDGE DUNBAR: Okay. I've had less than
20	ten.
21	SENATOR RANKIN: Ten taken up on
22	JUDGE DUNBAR: Less less than ten taken
23	up on appeal.
24	SENATOR RANKIN: And of those ten
25	JUDGE DUNBAR: That I've been affirmed on

Γ

1	one published opinion. And recently on a custody case, as
2	a matter of fact, that asked for a change of custody
3	modifying custody.
4	And I've been affirmed in part and reversed
5	on part in part on published opinion. And then I was
6	reversed on the case where the 90 days the Court of
7	Appeals ruled that that the wife circumvented the 90
8	days in order to keep the alimony continuous. And that's
9	pending before the Supreme Court.
10	And those are the only published opinions.
11	And I've had several unpublished opinions that were
12	affirmed, that were TPRs
13	SENATOR RANKIN: That's all I have.
14	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Mr. Rutherford.
15	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Thank you, Mr.
16	Chairman. Judge, let me ask you, you were not the chief
17	administrative judge during that term of court, correct?
18	JUDGE DUNBAR: Correct, I have no, I was
19	not.
20	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Do you know who
21	was?
22	JUDGE DUNBAR: You know, as a matter of
23	fact, I think it was Judge Kinlaw. That's right. I think
24	it was Judge Kinlaw. And when he made that order, and that
25	case was 365, you had to get the permission of the

Γ

1	Administrative Judge. And I couldn't get that give that
2	permission to continue the case.
3	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: And in fact
4	JUDGE DUNBAR: The motion would have had to
5	been made before the Administrative Judge.
6	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Let me let me
7	just ask you this, because it gives me a great deal of
8	concern to hear that anyone is forced to go forward in
9	court without a lawyer. I think that people should always
10	be able to avail themselves of an attorney.
11	But let me also state that I, like my cohort
12	over here, Senator Rankin, try to stay out of family court.
13	I'm unfamiliar with the 365 rule, and I'm unfamiliar with
14	having to go to the administrative court to ask for a
15	continuance if you're in front of another court.
16	But let me recount for you, page 4 of the
17	transcript.
18	JUDGE DUNBAR: Page 4.
19	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: You say,
20	actually, in response to Mr. Meetze, it states, "Your
21	Honor, I do have one pretrial issue to raise."
22	Line 9: "The Court: Okay. Yes, sir. And
23	let me just say again, I spoke to Ms. Sellers, the
24	plaintiff, regarding her ability, that she's here by
25	herself without the benefit of counsel. And she indicated

I

Page 217

1	to the court that she wanted to proceed without the benefit
2	of any counsel. Is that correct, Ms. Sellers?"
3	"Ms. Sellers," line 13, "Yes, ma'am. But I
4	would like to say that I did file for a motion for
5	continuance for representation due to the fact of the
6	disqualification within the 30-day time period of this
7	trial."
8	So according to line 9, and further line 13,
9	would it be your understanding that you entered into a Q
10	and A with Ms. Sellers, about whether she wanted to proceed
11	without the benefit of counsel? Would you like for me to
12	send you the transcript?
13	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yes.
14	SENATOR RANKIN: Do you want to just read
15	it?
16	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: I did. But if I
17	mean, the judge is like me, she may need to look at it
18	while she reads. But so as much as I want to second-guess
19	and make sure that someone has a lawyer, it appears as if -
20	- at least on page 4, line 9, that, that is what you did.
21	Is that right?
22	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yes, sir.
23	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: And the Court of
24	Appeals certainly could second-guess you and say that,
25	although in family court, again is my understanding I

Page 218

1	don't know this in family court, continuance orders must
2	be made with the Chief Administrative Judge.
3	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yeah.
4	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: And you did ask
5	whether she wanted to proceed without counsel.
б	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yes.
7	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Is that what it
8	indicates?
9	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yes, it does.
10	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: And she
11	indicated to you that she would; is that right?
12	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yes.
13	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Okay. So
14	although, she is at that point a pro se litigant, she would
15	be held to the same standard that anyone else would. And
16	if she had objected and said she did not want to proceed,
17	that could have kicked in some additional protections; is
18	that correct?
19	JUDGE DUNBAR: Oh, correct. I mean, I would
20	never want to jeopardize anyone.
21	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Right. But
22	because it and I don't mean to interrupt you, but I
23	probably will. But because she didn't say that, you can't
24	sua sponte say, "Well, you go do it." Because she actually
25	she actually has a right

1	JUDGE DUNBAR: Right.
2	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: to proceed on
3	her own, does she not?
4	JUDGE DUNBAR: She does.
5	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Let me ask you
6	this and as I recall from my conversation a couple of
7	seconds ago, the lawyer, I believe, was Debra Russell
8	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yes.
9	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: that we had
10	spoken about, that heard from the child stating that the
11	father might have done something inappropriate. Do you
12	remember when that was?
13	JUDGE DUNBAR: That was during the pendency
14	of this case, an emergency motion
15	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: I need a date.
16	I can give you the date.
17	JUDGE DUNBAR: Okay.
18	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: It's October.
19	So that was when the lawyer would have heard testimony from
20	the child, indicating that something improper might have
21	happened.
22	Based off of your experience as a lawyer,
23	would that indicate to you, if you heard a statement from a
24	child involved in this incident if you heard that very
25	same statement, would it indicate to you that you had

1	transferred from a lawyer into a witness at that point?
2	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yes, sir.
3	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: And so from
4	October until December when the when this hearing was
5	actually held, would that have been the time period
6	allotted for someone to get an attorney, knowing that or
7	possibly knowing that they would have transformed
8	themselves from a lawyer into a witness?
9	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yes, sir.
10	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: I have a trial
11	pending in Federal Court as we speak, part of the issue is
12	whether the client said something at a proffer. I am on
13	notice that I may be called as a witness. The trial will
14	be in January. And I was told that back in November, so my
15	client was told as well. It's up to him how he proceeds.
16	But certainly, the notice has been given. He can choose to
17	go pro se. He can choose to go get another lawyer.
18	Was it indicated to you in that transcript,
19	again, how much how many times she had spoken to other
20	lawyers and just did not feel comfortable with proceeding
21	without a lawyer?
22	JUDGE DUNBAR: No.
23	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: And had a lawyer
24	showed up and requested a continuance again, I don't do
25	family court. Would that have violated the 365 rule? Am I

Page 221

1	
1	stating that correctly, Chris? Is it
1 2	JUDGE DUNBAR: It's the 365 rule.
3	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Yeah, we don't
4	have that in General Sessions. And, hopefully, we'll never
5	get it.
б	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yeah.
7	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: But so would
8	that have violated the 365 rule?
9	JUDGE DUNBAR: Well, he could they can
10	always ask for a continuance. But they but I can't make
11	the ruling on the continuance. They have to make that
12	motion in front of the Administrative Judge.
13	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Okay. And
14	although this was a three-day, and I believe in your words,
15	contentious, complicated hearing
16	JUDGE DUNBAR: It wasn't. I don't think it
17	was complicated. It was just
18	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Well,
19	complicated certainly for a pro se litigant. I mean, this
20	is not pro se litigants in pleas can be difficult.
21	Because again, understanding all of the issues that go into
22	what it is they can, what it is they can't.
23	JUDGE DUNBAR: Right.
24	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: And in this
25	case, calling of calling of an expert witness on the

Γ

Page 222

1	issue of ADHD or ADD can be very complicated for a pro se
2	litigant. But the standard for calling an expert witness
3	is no lower for a pro se litigant, is it?
4	JUDGE DUNBAR: The standard you can call
5	it no, it's not.
б	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Right. So if
7	JUDGE DUNBAR: No.
8	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: the lawyer on
9	the other side, Mr. Meetze, decided he wanted to challenge
10	the qualifications of this expert witness, the pro se
11	litigant would have had to go through
12	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yes.
13	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: that Q and A
14	as well.
15	JUDGE DUNBAR: Exactly.
16	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: So as it relates
17	to the testimony regarding ADD, ADHD, and your not allowing
18	the grandmother to give expert testimony and I
19	understand that she's here was it presented to you, that
20	the grandmother had information that would allow her to be
21	called as an expert on ADD or ADHD?
22	JUDGE DUNBAR: Not at all.
23	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Had she been the
24	grandmother but also a child psychiatrist, would she have
25	that, then, allowed to testify on whether the child had ADD

Page 223

1 or ADHD? 2 JUDGE DUNBAR: It depends on whether or not 3 she met the qualifications and whether or not the -- it 4 depends on a lot of factors. But more than likely, yes. 5 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: So she would 6 still have to meet the qualifications --7 JUDGE DUNBAR: Exactly. REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: -- the fact that 8 9 she's a child psychiatrist who may specialize in something 10 other than that subject area, would still not allow her to 11 testify as an expert. Is that right? 12 JUDGE DUNBAR: That's right. 13 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Okay. 14 JUDGE DUNBAR: That's right. 15 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: And, again, the 16 ruling, I believe, was that a lay-person can't give expert 17 testimony. Is that right? 18 JUDGE DUNBAR: Yes, sir. 19 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: And the expert 20 testimony in this case was rather -- was whether the child 21 was ADD or ADHD. 22 JUDGE DUNBAR: Exactly. 23 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Was it fact-24 based that the child had been diagnosed and there was a 25 prescription for ADHD medication? Was that the fact?

Γ

Page 224

1	JUDGE DUNBAR: Well, I didn't if you look
2	in my order, I didn't even address the ADHD. And that
3	didn't come up in any other of my oral rulings or anything.
4	That wasn't a big factor in well, I don't know how much
5	I can say, but
6	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: I don't know how
7	much you can say either. I assume that my lawyers over
8	here will stop me if I ask you too much or you say too
9	much, 'cause I don't know either.
10	JUDGE DUNBAR: It wasn't in my mind a big
11	factor. And I didn't want and I did I think I said,
12	"Well, does anyone have a doctor here to testify if they're
13	going to make a big issue out of this?"
14	And that's why I was concerned as well, that
15	we can't have lay-people talking about diagnosis
16	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: On either side.
17	JUDGE DUNBAR: Right.
18	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: I mean, Mr.
19	Meetze could not have gotten
20	JUDGE DUNBAR: No.
21	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: into it
22	either, correct?
23	JUDGE DUNBAR: Right.
24	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Okay.
25	JUDGE DUNBAR: And that was a concern and a

Page 225

1	frustration.
2	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Okay. I don't
3	have any further questions at this time.
4	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any further questions?
5	Representative Murphy.
б	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Judge Dunbar.
7	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yes, sir.
8	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Regarding the
9	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: I'm sorry,
10	Chris. I'm sorry. I need that I don't know where the -
11	_
12	JUDGE DUNBAR: Oh, I'm sorry, the transcript
13	back?
14	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: If there's
15	another copy of it. I just don't want to I got this
16	from another member. And I don't want to get it confused
17	either.
18	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: I'm looking at I
19	was trying to find the administrative order that was issued
20	regarding the 365 day rule, which was on August 27th.
21	'Cause I wanted to clarify that once a case older was
22	the case older than 365 days. And so my question to you is
23	you the case had not reached the 365-day benchmark,
24	correct?
25	JUDGE DUNBAR: No, it had. I think it had

Page 226

1	on the docket they put it on placed it on the docket.
2	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: So it had it it
3	had already exceeded 365 days?
4	JUDGE DUNBAR: Well, you know, we get an
5	alert. And it's on the docket, itself, that says only
б	Administrative Judge can continue. Three sixty-five, only
7	Administrative Judge can request a continuance. And they -
8	- I think they get notices beforehand as well.
9	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Well, in Dorchester
10	County, if a case is approaching the 365 day mark, the
11	clerk will notate 365.
12	JUDGE DUNBAR: Right.
13	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Everybody, this case
14	is getting close to resolution.
15	JUDGE DUNBAR: Right.
16	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: So my question is:
17	Do we know did this case pass the 365 day threshold?
18	That's my question. Because I read the rule to say that if
19	if you are the trial judge, and it has not reached the
20	365 day threshold
21	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yeah, I could grant a
22	continuance.
23	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: you could grant a
24	continuance.
25	JUDGE DUNBAR: You're right. You're right.

Page 227

1	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: So my question is:
2	Had we reached that threshold?
3	JUDGE DUNBAR: I want to and I want to
4	believe that it was the three-sixty had already passed
5	that, based on the fact that
б	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: And it's 365 days,
7	just so everybody knows
8	JUDGE DUNBAR: From the filing date.
9	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: from the date of
10	the filing.
11	JUDGE DUNBAR: Correct.
12	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: And what that is, is
13	that a case has to be disposed of
14	JUDGE DUNBAR: Right.
15	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: within one year -
16	-
17	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yes.
18	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: of being placed
19	on the docket.
20	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yes, sir.
21	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: And if it is not
22	granted within one or if it's not heard on a final
23	hearing within one year, if you have requested a final
24	hearing and that final hearing, for whatever purposes, is
25	scheduled after the 365, the case is still kept on the

Γ

1	-le -le -t
1	docket
2	JUDGE DUNBAR: Right.
3	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: because all
4	parties had agreed this is going to be our trial date.
5	JUDGE DUNBAR: And, also, I want to point
6	out, Representative Murphy, is that this case had already
7	been set months in advance, and so it was known that it was
8	coming up. It wasn't just a month or two.
9	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Yes, ma'am.
10	JUDGE DUNBAR: They're set very far in.
11	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: And, Judge, I'm
12	JUDGE DUNBAR: Especially when you're
13	talking about three days on the docket.
14	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: And I'm not
15	disagreeing with that, Judge.
16	JUDGE DUNBAR: Okay.
17	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: My concern, I think
18	as the I can't speak for other members, is that it had
19	been set and three, four weeks four weeks before a
20	three-day contested hearing, an attorney is disqualified
21	because she basically made herself a witness to the case,
22	the attorney did, so she was disqualified. And we then
23	they filed a motion for reconsideration. Judge Kinlaw does
24	not rule on that motion and
25	JUDGE DUNBAR: I don't

Page 229

1	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: So everybody's kind
2	of in limbo. And we're still we're still waiting on
3	that motion to be granted, and then it is not that was
4	the original action. That was the original action. Sorry.
5	JUDGE DUNBAR: No.
б	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: That was the
7	original that was the original action, correct?
8	SENATOR SABB: I don't think so. 'Cause
9	she's listed as Sellers.
10	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Because the okay.
11	Well, I'm going to get the dates correct.
12	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yes.
13	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Because I think
14	that's important for this discussion. But if we had not
15	reached the 365 day threshold, you would agree with me that
16	you could have granted
17	JUDGE DUNBAR: Oh, yes, sir.
18	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Okay.
19	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yes, sir.
20	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: All right. Thank
21	you.
22	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Any further
23	questions? We've got another complainant, so we need to
24	hear from her also. But any other questions of Judge
25	Dunbar as it relates to Ms. Sellers' complaint? Yes, I'm

Page 230

1	sorry, let's make a couple things exhibits to the record,
2	please, okay?
3	JUDGE DUNBAR: Okay.
4	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Senator Sabb.
5	SENATOR SABB: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr.
6	Chairman, I was just trying to discern our dates. And I'm
7	looking at pleadings that were filed on August the 21st of
8	2015. Those pleadings allude to the fact that the
9	plaintiff would show in its and it's captioned "Lindsay
10	Alison Sellers f/k/a Lindsay Alison Nichols, Plaintiff v.
11	Douglas Anthony Nichols, Defendant."
12	And it essentially says plaintiff would show
13	that the parties were before the Honorable Court on June
14	the 2nd of 2014, for final order and divorce decree. And
15	it goes on to state that case number, which is 2013-DR-23-
16	516. And so the first time parties filed their action in
17	2013, and the final order was issued on June the 2nd of
18	2014.
19	So on the issue of whether or not the 365
20	day rule, whether or not we're talking before or whether or
21	not we're talking after, it appears clear to me, based upon
22	these documents, that the action was initialed on August
23	the 21st of 2015, and that the final hearing was set for
24	December the is it December the 13th? Yeah, for
25	December the 13th. So I think it's clear, based upon the

Page 231

1	records, that we were beyond the 365 days.
2	JUDGE DUNBAR: And I do believe that the
3	order says it in the findings of fact. I did the order
4	myself.
5	MR. STROM: What is a modification action?
6	JUDGE DUNBAR: It's a modification of
7	custody. Custody actions are never final. You can always
8	come back to court on the issue of custody, visitation or
9	child support. But you've got to show a substantial
10	material change in circumstances that affects the children.
11	MR. STROM: But there was a final order.
12	JUDGE DUNBAR: There was a previous final
13	order that
14	MR. STROM: A previous final order by
15	agreement.
16	JUDGE DUNBAR: Correct.
17	MR. STROM: Mother moves to Lexington
18	JUDGE DUNBAR: Well, she doesn't move
19	prior to her moving to Lexington, she files a modification
20	for custody.
21	MR. STROM: A modification of custody.
22	JUDGE DUNBAR: And during the pendency of
23	that action, she filed a motion and the court granted a
24	temporary hearing to move to Lexington with the children.
25	After she had already filed the action to modify the

Γ

1	custody. Yes. And then there were other motions filed.
2	MR. STROM: Wasn't Judge Gwendolyn Jones
3	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yes. Judge Gwendolyn Jones.
4	MR. STROM: And so this comes up for the
5	final three-day hearing on the modification.
6	JUDGE DUNBAR: Right. Her initial action.
7	MR. STROM: Okay. All right.
8	JUDGE DUNBAR: See, during the pendency of -
9	- of any action, you're allowed to bring motions before the
10	court. You can have a temporary hearing several
11	temporary hearings, if the court grants them. And I
12	believe in this case, the guardian ad litem filed the
13	motion. And she because they had initial temporary
14	hearing where I don't believe there was any change in
15	custody.
16	Then there was a second temporary hearing,
17	based on the guardian ad litem's motion, because Ms.
18	Sellers was going to be moving to Lexington. So the court
19	had to decide what to do. Because of course you couldn't
20	do week-to-week, and Ms. Sellers was moving because of her
21	job.
22	MR. STROM: Well, I think it bothers
23	everybody up here that you have a pro se litigant dealing
24	with this, whether it's short notice or not that and I
25	confirmed Judge Kinlaw was the Chief Administrative Judge

Γ

1	in that circuit at the time. And I think, as I understand
2	the rule, that's his discretion as to whether or not to
3	grant continuances in a case as I understand it. It does
4	bother me, the fact that there was a natural disaster and
5	the lawyer as late, and that didn't get worked out. But
6	that's not on any
7	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yeah, I don't know that, that
8	was the case. I don't
9	MR. STROM: I don't either.
10	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yeah, I don't know. I can't
11	say if that was accurate or not. I don't know. Nobody
12	mentioned that to me. And Judge Kinlaw was
13	MR. STROM: It does appear on the record
14	JUDGE DUNBAR: the Administrative Judge.
15	MR. STROM: that you asked her asked
16	the pro se litigant whether she was prepared and wanted to
17	proceed at this time. And she indicated that she did.
18	JUDGE DUNBAR: And I've always granted
19	continuances to self-representatives, on motions or
20	anything. If they come and they only get five business
21	days' notice. And a lot of times we have to move forward.
22	And usually when on a custody on a temporary, I don't
23	change anything. Because I think you do need to wait till
24	the final and let a guardian ad litem come into play, to
25	show me what you should do.

Page 234

1	MR. STROM: Thank you. That's all I have.
2	CHAIRMAN SMITH: So let me make sure I'm
3	clear on this, 'cause I don't practice in family court
4	JUDGE DUNBAR: I know.
5	CHAIRMAN SMITH: and so this is a little
6	foreign, but when I did practice in family court it was
7	there was a 365 day rule. But I guess they've gotten more
8	stringent on how these cases are continuing after 365 days.
9	Tell me is this a Chief Justice order, or a
10	court order by only the Chief Administrative Judge? 'Cause
11	I am very, very concerned about a litigant having less than
12	a couple weeks' notice and having to go a pro se
13	litigant and go without a trial, especially who got their
14	lawyer recently disqualified. I'm just going that
15	concerns me.
16	But now I'm hearing that that's that's
17	probably the Chief Administrative Judge that's he's the
18	only one that can grant a continuance at that point?
19	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yes. They're pretty strict
20	on that. I can't
21	CHAIRMAN SMITH: So where is that derived
22	from? Is that is that a court rule? Is that a
23	JUDGE DUNBAR: That's a
24	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Chief Justice order?
25	JUDGE DUNBAR: That's a Chief Justice rule,

Page 235

1 that only the Administrative Judge, once it passes the 365, 2 can grant that. And Judge Kinlaw was the Administrative 3 Judge at that time. And he said that there would be no 4 continuances. 5 CHAIRMAN SMITH: So you have --6 JUDGE DUNBAR: And then if they're going to 7 make a motion, they have to make -- they come before me on a 365, and I says, "Well, y'all, you know I can't grant the 8 9 continuance. But y'all are allowed to make that motion in 10 front of whoever the Administrative Judge is." 11 CHAIRMAN SMITH: And so if -- even if you 12 wanted to grant a continuance, you're prohibited from doing 13 that per the Chief Justice's order? 14 JUDGE DUNBAR: Yes. And they put it on the 15 docket. And you don't -- you don't want to get into hot 16 water by -- I mean, I'll go down there and run and -- but I 17 think that they have plenty of time to make those motions 18 in front of the Administrative Judge. And they do. 19 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Well, when I see that --20 that portion of the transcript where Ms. Sellers says, "I 21 filed a motion" --22 JUDGE DUNBAR: And there was no motion that 23 I saw in the file. 24 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. 25 There was no motion that I JUDGE DUNBAR:

Page 236

1	saw in the file. And she didn't present it to me. I
2	didn't I didn't see anything I still don't see
3	anything in the file, that there was a motion filed for a
4	continuance.
5	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Any further
б	questions?
7	(Hearing none.)
8	MS. DEAN: And, Judge Dunbar, you have in
9	front of you, the written response without exhibits. Is
10	that correct?
11	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yes. Yes, ma'am.
12	MS. DEAN: And you don't have any objection
13	to that being made a part of the record?
14	JUDGE DUNBAR: No. No, ma'am.
15	(EXHIBIT NO. 21 - FORMAL RESPONSE AND
16	MEMORANDUM OF TARITA A. DUNBAR)
17	MS. DEAN: Mr. Chairman, if it's
18	appropriate, if we could now move to Ms. Glenn's
19	complaints, and asking Judge Dunbar to be seated and invite
20	Ms. Glenn to the podium.
21	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ms. Glenn, will you come
22	forward, please, ma'am.
23	MS. SELLERS: Mr. Smith, can I say
24	something?
25	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Well, we were Ms.

Page 237

1	Sellers, we were looking at the rule, and the rule allows
2	you to speak and make your presentation, Rule 15(d). And
3	then it allows Judge Dunbar to then it allows Judge
4	Dunbar to make her presentation. It doesn't allow back and
5	forth on that.
б	MS. SELLERS: The only thing that I'm
7	concerned with, and I want it to be on the record, if I
8	don't say anything I'll be in the same situation that I was
9	in this.
10	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Mr. Chairman
11	I'm sorry. There are rules and then there are rules. And
12	we either have rules or we don't. And I didn't write that
13	rule. But if it's in there, then if we give her a chance
14	to respond, then the judge gets a chance to respond
15	MS. SELLERS: I'm only asking to
16	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: And I listen,
17	I'm sorry. I'm sorry. There are rules and there are
18	rules. And so if the rule doesn't mean anything, then
19	fine. We just go back and forth. But there's Rule 15(d),
20	which I've never heard of, I was wondering if it was (c)
21	CHAIRMAN SMITH: C.
22	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: I was wondering
23	why y'all were all doing this. But if it's the rule, it's
24	the rule.
25	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Read that into the let's

1	read the rule into the record so the Commission members
2	have the understanding. Ms. Sellers, have a seat and we'll
3	get back to you in a second, please, ma'am.
4	MS. CRAWFORD: 15(c) of the Procedural Rules
5	for the Judicial Merit Selection Commission states: "The
б	Chairman will call and swear in witnesses who have either
7	submitted a sworn statement by the deadline established by
8	the Commission prior to the hearing, or have been
9	subpoenaed by the Commission to appear. Staff Counsel and
10	Commission members will question the witnesses, and the
11	candidate may submit proposed questions to the Commission
12	for consideration. Upon the completion of testimony, the
13	candidate may respond to the testimony given."
14	CHAIRMAN SMITH: So, Ms. Sellers, as I see
15	it, unfortunately, and I apologize for this, the rule does
16	not allow a rebuttal for complainants nor for the judges.
17	We can't get into that. So we're going to have to
18	MS. SELLERS: But the only thing that I'm
19	saying is the rules to this is it's not a rebuttal to that.
20	It's you asked her specific questions about the case that
21	were not asked of me on the Commission. So there's no way
22	that I was able to substantiate anything or make any type
23	of comment, because those same questions weren't addressed
24	to me. So how am I supposed to address those when you
25	asked her subsequently after my testimony?

-	
1	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yes, ma'am. I understand.
2	We made a rule. And thank you very much. All right.
3	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Mr. Chairman.
4	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Representative Rutherford.
5	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Again, I would
6	imagine that 15(c) is in place because we have received the
7	transcript, we have received the complaint, we have
8	received proposed questions, we have received the response,
9	and we have taken oral testimony as it relates to this
10	complaint. So I don't want anyone to think that all of
11	this material was not given to us, I think a month ago, to
12	peruse, to go over.
13	The bulk of the people on this panel are
14	attorneys. Everyone has read this material and has gone
15	through it, and has looked for not only that which was
16	alleged in the complaint, but that could that which
17	could be also alleged in the complaint, even if it was not.
18	And so I don't want anybody to think that
19	Rule 15(c) prohibits us from examining everything that is
20	before us. And, in fact, we have all done that. So it's
21	not, in my opinion, a rule I didn't know it existed
22	it's not a rule to stop us from getting testimony from
23	individuals or to limit our understanding of the situation
24	at hand. But it is the rule.
25	And so I just don't want everybody to think

Γ

1	that we are ignoring them or limiting them or stopping
2	their rights to free speech. But if we have rules, then we
3	should obey them. Or we simply will disobey them at will,
4	potentially impacting the people that are in front of us.
5	So I don't know why it exists, but it does.
б	And if that's what it says, then that's simply what it is.
7	But I would I want everyone to understand it is not to
8	limit what we have done in this case to prepare for in
9	listening to the complaints that we've heard today.
10	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Thank you, Mr.
11	Rutherford.
12	MS. DEAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr.
13	Chairman, for the record, I'd like to call would you
14	like to call Ms. Glenn forward now?
15	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yes, ma'am. Does anyone
16	need a break? Y'all ready to keep on?
17	SENATOR RANKIN: Let's go.
18	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Court reporter Madam
19	Court Reporter, you okay? All right. Ms. Glenn, if you'll
20	come forward, please, ma'am. Raise your right hand,
21	please, ma'am.
22	WHEREUPON:
23	CYNTHIA GLENN, being duly sworn and
24	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing
25	but the truth

Page 241

1	CHAIRMAN SMITH: I think we're going to
2	allow you to make a statement, also, if you would, please.
3	And we'll follow up with questions.
4	MS. GLENN: Well, I appreciate this
5	committee's diligence today. I would like to begin by
6	admonishing the committee for qualifying Judge Dunbar as a
7	candidate in 2013. Her application was sadly inadequate.
8	It had been 25 years since she worked in any family
9	practice law firm, and her application stated that she had
10	handled only one actual divorce case.
11	On the application one of her examples of a
12	notable cause case was a case that she actually plead
13	incorrectly, and determined it notable that she had to
14	argue for the for the court to amend the pleading.
15	As a pro se party, perhaps I would consider
16	that notable. But as a judicial candidate, this
17	application was embarrassing. So embarrassing, in fact,
18	that the attorneys were laughing about her before and after
19	our hearing.
20	This committee's candidate qualifications
21	report on Ms. Dunbar only consisted of four pages.
22	Whereas, they submitted 13 pages regarding another well
23	qualified candidate applying for the same seat.
24	Remarkably, even though this committee
25	deemed Ms. Dunbar as qualified, only two members of the

1	Judicial Merit committee even voted for her during General
2	Sessions.
3	This committee is charged with the
4	responsibility and honor of vetting judicial candidates
5	that they believe will adequately serve the citizens of our
6	fine state. That charge is no small responsibility. And,
7	therefore, inadequate applicants should have been promptly
8	discarded.
9	If ever there was a need for a body of
10	people to be above reproach it is the Judicial Merit
11	Selection committee. I noticed today that there were 20
12	concerns from her peers, and I agree with those concerns.
13	Ms. Dunbar's personal beliefs did come into
14	the hearing that I was a part of. And her legal knowledge
15	and principles were far lacking.
16	To Ms. Dunbar, family court laws and rules
17	are simple. So simple, in fact, that I believe you could
18	have set them to memory. You filed an application on your
19	own accord, and even though this committee shouldn't have
20	qualified you, they did.
21	Therefore, it was your responsibility to
22	know and uphold the laws and rules, to uphold the oath of
23	office, to maintain a high standard of conduct and to rule
24	with integrity.
25	Yet two years after your employ

Page 243

1	appointment during this case, you did not follow these
2	simple tasks. Your lack of respect for the legal system
3	and indebtedness to the families and children you were
4	sworn to protect was not just a lack of experience. It was
5	a lack of integrity.
б	While an inexperienced candidate could
7	possibly learn from experience, if they were so inclined, a
8	lack of integrity is a character flaw, a lifestyle choice
9	that will not change over time. When the fate of our
10	families and children are involved, there is no place on
11	the bench for such a person.
12	I would like to introduce this particular
13	case. This is a simple change of circumstance where the
14	father had maintained the child since infancy but the
15	mother had recently moved back to South Carolina and sought
16	custody. The mother lived in Myrtle Beach and the father
17	lived in Greenville.
18	Child One was a son abandoned by his mother,
19	Ms. Abbott, and raised by his father, Mark Guion, from the
20	age of 4 months old. Mark remarried and had two other sons
21	in a ten-year marriage.
22	Thirteen years after Child One was left to
23	be raised by Mark, his ex-wife coordinated an effort with
24	Ms. Abbott to create a two-fold attack and gain an
25	advantage in court. Her goal was to remove the mutual

Γ

1	consented 50/50 agreement she had for her children.
2	The two mothers filed complaints at the same
3	time. Although, those two cases occurred simultaneously,
4	they were dissimilar and should have been tried separately.
5	The case we will be discussing today was a
6	drug-addicted mother who abandoned her infant and had
7	exercised minimal involvement in his life. Brian Johnson
8	was her attorney.
9	Case number two was a simple divorce with
10	mutually-agreed upon 50/50 custody. Immediately following
11	the divorce, she remarried and wanted to redact the $50/50$
12	agreement. Kimberly Dunham was her attorney.
13	In regard to the circumstances of Child One,
14	there had been no change of custody in the initial
15	temporary hearing. This was a second temporary hearing
16	requested by the guardian ad litem. One month prior a
17	second temporary hearing had also been requested by the
18	mother, and had already been denied by a different judge.
19	The guardian ad litem requested that
20	temporary hearing for school purposes. However, the
21	guardian ad litem investigation had barely begun. The
22	final hearings were not scheduled to occur until the end of
23	the school year.
24	One hundred percent of the child's education
25	had occurred in Greenville, where the child was already

Page 245

placed with his father. When the guardian ad litem 1 2 requested this hearing there were two contempt cases on the 3 docket. Ms. Dunbar chose to put the second temporary 4 hearing on the docket in the slot of a previously scheduled 5 contempt hearing. We can see proof of this on page 1 of 6 the transcript. 7 When opposing counsel requested that the 8 contempt hearing be continued, on line 10, Ms. Dunbar 9 actually thanks the attorney for continuing the contempt 10 case because she only had 15 minutes to rule on custody. 11 Ms. Dunbar purposely decided to make a 12 ruling on custody with full knowledge that there were 13 contempt cases to be heard. In essence, Ms. Dunbar chose 14 to rule on custody with full knowledge that there were 15 extenuating circumstances, and she ruled before hearing the 16 conditions of the case. 17 During this hearing Ms. Dunbar's lack of 18 respect for the laws and fairness continued. She violated 19 rules and Supreme Court orders for temporary hearings. She 20 violated South Carolina Code of Laws regarding 21 responsibility of private quardian ad litems. She allowed 22 rules of evidence to be violated. 23 Ms. Dunbar allowed a handwritten, unsigned 24 piece of paper to be submitted into evidence, with the 25 claim that it was a counselor's note.

1	While another judge had recused themselves
2	for serving on both cases, unlike Ms. Seller's case, Ms.
3	Dunbar actually allowed the opposing attorney to testify as
4	a witness against the father in this case. Temporary
5	hearings do not allow testimony, especially a lawyer as a
б	witness.
7	The opposing attorney's testimony was regard
8	regarding pending accusations that were not findings of
9	the court, and therefore, her testimony was hearsay. As
10	the courtroom escalated into chaos, the attorneys felt safe
11	enough to violate the rules of fairness and candor.
12	Brian Johnson, Abbott's attorney, gave false
13	testimony on behalf of a counselor who was not present.
14	Kimberly Dunbar I'm sorry, Dunham Kimberly Dunham
15	demanded that the judge write down the case number of her
16	client and consult with it before reading before ruling.
17	I'm going to say that again: Kimberly Dunham demanded that
18	the judge write down the case number of her client's case,
19	and consult with it before ruling. And astoundingly, Ms.
20	Dunbar did as she was told.
21	Ms. Dunbar is susceptible to coercion. The
22	facts of the case were no mystery, and Ms. Dunbar
23	acknowledged the several conflicting facts presenting in
24	the hearing. A major fact in question was where the child
25	had actually been living and who was his primary parent for

1 13 years. We were in court for 15 minutes when it hadn't 2 even been decided where the child had been living for 13 3 years. 4 She even acknowledged that the mother had 5 been enticing the child and by promising to home school if 6 he was moved to Myrtle Beach. Despite understanding these 7 facts, she succumbed to the coercion tactics of the 8 attorneys, and allowed them to run the courtroom. This 9 shows a lack of personal integrity, ethics, and 10 independence. They are qualities that are not compatible 11 with the bench. 12 Ms. Dunbar's lack of respect for the 13 courtroom escalated into a circus-type atmosphere. In a 14 15-minute hearing, Ms. Dunbar allowed attorneys to 15 interrupt her and each other more than ten separate -- on 16 more than ten separate occasions, and she never called for 17 order. 18 Now six years after the initial 19 qualifications, we're no longer just talking about Ms. 20 Dunbar's application. Today we are looking at actual 21 hearings and broken laws. 22 I understand that family court operates 23 behind closed doors, and that at times there may be a gap 24 between what the rules state and how the courts function. 25 But frankly, that is inconsequential today.

Γ

Page 248

1	Ms. Dunbar has not upheld the integrity
2	integrity and independence of the judiciary. She did not
3	treat us with civility, fairness, and respect. She was
4	she has allowed violates of rules. She has willfully
5	violated orders of the South Carolina Supreme Court. She
6	has allowed violates of the South Carolina Code of Laws,
7	Code of Judicial Conduct, and Rules of Professional
8	Conduct.
9	These are all grounds for discipline and
10	sanctions, and most certainly deem her to be unqualified as
11	a judicial candidate.
12	Additionally, in Ms. Dunbar's opening
13	statements, she said, "That attorneys love me and they are
14	comfortable contacting me," and asking her to instead of
15	the docket, instead of asking her to review the case.
16	The conditions that I saw in that courtroom,
17	I bet the attorneys do love her, and that they are
18	comfortable asking her to review their case.
19	I want everyone to be aware of the full
20	story and consequences of this case. During final
21	hearings, Mark Guion, the father of the these boys had a
22	stress-related heart attack and died from complications.
23	Sadly, I doubt Ms. Dunbar even remembers this case, or
24	Mark. But now my stepsons are fatherless.
25	They spent the last three years of their

Page 249

-	
1	father's life captive by a decision made in 15 minutes.
2	They deserve better. All children deserve better.
3	It is this committee's responsibility to
4	vote not qualified for Ms. Dunbar, so that her seat can be
5	filled by someone who is competent, a person with a
6	willingness to follow laws, rules, and the judicial canons.
7	There is no place on the family court bench for a judge
8	without integrity.
9	MS. DEAN: Thank you, Ms. Glenn. And just
10	to kind of get our bearings here. I'm going to ask you
11	some questions and I'm just going to remind the committee
12	and everyone that the Commission is focused on the nine
13	evaluative criteria and not relitigating any past
14	proceedings.
15	And, again, just to help us catch our
16	bearings here, is the Abbott v. Guion case that you've been
17	referring to, is that under seal?
18	MS. GLENN: It is not.
19	MS. DEAN: Is there another case that is
20	under seal, that's connected to this case?
21	MS. GLENN: Case number two was under seal
22	for my protection. And I am a party and can share that
23	case.
24	MS. DEAN: The case that you're being
25	you're talking about today is the Abbott case, which is not

Page 250

1	under seal. Is the Abbott case under appeal at the Court
2	of Appeals or the Supreme Court?
3	MS. GLENN: No, the Abbott case is not.
4	MS. DEAN: And by way of background, you
5	alluded to this, but just for clarification how are you
6	connected to the Abbott case?
7	MS. GLENN: I was married to Mr. Guion.
8	MS. DEAN: I'm just trying to help them all
9	get their bearings. In the hearing the temporary
10	hearing case and Abbott v. Guion case, in your in your
11	affidavit, you allege that during this hearing the court
12	lacked order and decorum, and failed to follow rules,
13	making the Court susceptible to coercion. Is that a
14	correct summary of your concerns?
15	MS. GLENN: Yes, it is.
16	MS. DEAN: And you witnessed the hearing on
17	November 14th, 2016? You were there?
18	MS. GLENN: Yes, I was.
19	MS. DEAN: And can you please describe your
20	concerns regarding the hearing regarding the attorneys
21	behavior in the courtroom?
22	MS. GLENN: It was a circus. It was a free-
23	for-fall. Everyone talked over everyone. Rules weren't
24	followed. Motions for dismissal, you know, were denied
25	based we show we didn't even know what we were

Page 251

1	showing up for.
2	We didn't know if we were showing up for the
3	contempt case that was on the docket the two contempt
4	cases to be heard at once, or this guardian ad litem's
5	second temporary hearing. We didn't find out till we got
6	there, which one that she had decided it would be.
7	And then we get there and have a guardian ad
8	litem report, which isn't even allowed in in the rules,
9	you're only supposed to admit submit affidavits. He had
10	a whole report that we heard about that morning, along with
11	the piece of notebook paper they said was from a counselor,
12	that you couldn't read.
13	MS. DEAN: And during this hearing on
14	November 15th, 2016, did you did Judge Dunbar lose her
15	temper with any of the parties?
16	MS. GLENN: Nope. She just sat there and
17	let it all happen.
18	MS. DEAN: And so your concern is the
19	attorneys' behavior at the hearing, and what was
20	MS. GLENN: My concern is her inability to
21	follow the rules and laws. And I believe that, that is why
22	there was no decorum in the courtroom, because she allowed
23	it to happen. And they ran over her.
24	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any questions?
25	Representative Murphy.

Page 252

1	
1	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Thank you, ma'am.
2	Just briefly. So just so I'm trying to get follow
3	the rule the order of the case, there was the temporary
4	the second temporary hearing.
5	MS. GLENN: Correct.
6	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: And that is the one
7	that you're testifying about.
8	MS. GLENN: It was actually the second,
9	second temporary hearing. The first request for a second
10	temporary hearing was denied. And then this one just
11	popped up and was put in the docket.
12	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Because the guardian
13	the guardian requested it, correct?
14	MS. GLENN: The guardian requested this one,
15	yes.
16	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Okay. And your
17	husband at that time was represented, correct?
18	MS. GLENN: Correct.
19	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: After the ruling,
20	after the judge Judge Dunbar made her ruling, where
21	where did the case proceed after that second temporary
22	hearing?
23	MS. GLENN: Are you asking what the ruling
24	was?
25	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: No, no. But I mean,

Γ

Page 253

1	what happened after the second hearing? Did the did the
2	second hearing, was that the last order of the case?
3	MS. GLENN: No. No, the case had barely
4	begun. It was the beginning of the school year, and we
5	wouldn't have hit the benchmark rule until the end of the
6	school year. So we didn't even understand why the guardian
7	was asking for a second temporary hearing. We had just
8	begun.
9	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Okay. But he was
10	represented by an attorney.
11	MS. GLENN: He was.
12	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Okay. After the
13	second temporary hearing, the one that we're here for, was
14	there a final hearing in this case?
15	MS. GLENN: There was a final hearing a year
16	and a half later, which extended the 365 day benchmark.
17	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Okay. At that point
18	was your was your husband represented by an attorney at
19	that point?
20	MS. GLENN: He was.
21	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Okay. Was the
22	ruling in that final hearing similar to the temporary
23	hearing?
24	MS. GLENN: They consented and mediated
25	before that time.

Page 254

1	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Okay. So
2	MS. GLENN: But I will let you know that the
3	guardian ad litem was excused.
4	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Okay. Thank you.
5	But just so everybody understands, you had the second
б	temporary hearing, which was at the request of the
7	guardian, Judge Dunbar ruled. You then, as is required,
8	the parties were required to go to mediation, correct?
9	MS. GLENN: Correct.
10	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: And during that time
11	they went to mediation, they were able to settle their
12	differences?
13	MS. GLENN: To my understanding, yes. I was
14	not in mediation.
15	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: So they settled
16	their differences and then a final ruling a final order
17	ending the case was entered, correct?
18	MS. GLENN: Correct.
19	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: All right. Okay.
20	Thank you. That's all I have.
21	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Any further
22	questions? Representative Rutherford.
23	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Just briefly. I
24	believe that staff posed the question to you, about what
25	you believe is the problem here. And you stated that she -

Page 255

1 - in the hearing that you witnessed, that she allowed the 2 lawyers to run over her? 3 That was one of the issues that MS. GLENN: 4 I have, yes. My biggest issue was the inability to follow 5 rules. 6 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: The inability to 7 follow rules? 8 MS. GLENN: Correct. 9 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: What do you 10 mean? 11 MS. GLENN: Well, she allowed an opposing 12 attorney -- attorney to testify in a temporary hearing. 13 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Okay. If you 14 would, stop right there. And the opposing attorney 15 testified; is that correct? 16 MS. GLENN: correct. 17 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: And that 18 opposing attorney -- by "opposing," you mean on the other 19 side of your then-husband. Is that correct? 20 The one in case number two. MS. GLENN: 21 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: In case number 22 two. 23 MS. GLENN: correct. 24 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Which was not 25 regarding your then-husband?

1	MS. GLENN: Yes. Two okay. Remember the
2	two mothers filed
3	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: No, I want to
4	make sure we're talking about the same thing.
5	MS. GLENN: Right.
6	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: You can go and -
7	_
8	MS. GLENN: So, yes, it was against my
9	husband.
10	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Right. So
11	again, your then-husband was present and represented by
12	counsel at that hearing as well, correct?
13	MS. GLENN: Correct.
14	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Did your then-
15	husband's attorney object?
16	MS. GLENN: Oh, yes.
17	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: And was that
18	ruling addressed?
19	MS. GLENN: It was ignored.
20	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: So there was no
21	ruling on the objection?
22	MS. GLENN: It was ignored.
23	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: So if we check
24	the transcript, there is an objection. And that objection
25	was ignored by the judge; is that right?

Γ

Page 257

1	MS. GLENN: Yes, sir.
2	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Okay. And
3	because that objection was ignored, what did that attorney
4	do?
5	MS. GLENN: She continued to try to fight
6	for his case.
7	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: How did she
8	continue to try and fight for his case?
9	MS. GLENN: She pointed out all of the
10	flaws. I mean, I could read the transcript
11	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: In the
12	objection, she pointed out all of the flaws? Or did she
13	continue to object?
14	MS. GLENN: I haven't committed the
15	transcript to error [sic]. I would have to look that up
16	exactly.
17	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Well, there are
18	actual cases on attorneys objecting and not objecting
19	strenuously enough, and not renewing objections, and the
20	obligations that are placed on the attorneys in a matter.
21	But you don't remember exactly what your husband's attorney
22	did to place that objection on the record and get a ruling;
23	is that right?
24	MS. GLENN: I remember she objected quite a
25	few times. We had a big problem in the courtroom. If

Page 258

1 you'll recall --2 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: I'm sorry, let's 3 -- let's go back to the objection. Because you stated 4 that, that's the problem, there was an objection and a non-5 ruling. And then the attorney objected again; is that 6 correct? MS. GLENN: By this time, Kimberly Dunham 7 8 had taken over the courtroom. 9 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Again, not the 10 commentary. What happened? The attorney objected again; 11 is that correct? 12 MS. GLENN: I would have to read the 13 transcript in order to look for the word "objection." But 14 I do know that his attorney tried many times to bring the 15 situation into understanding. 16 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: But by bringing it into understanding, the attorney objected again to try 17 18 and make sure that the objection was on the -- on the 19 record; is that right? 20 MS. GLENN: I would have to read the 21 transcript again. 22 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: And if you read 23 it again, if the judge said "overruled" --24 MS. GLENN: The judge never said 25 "overruled."

1	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Did the judge
2	say "sustained"?
3	MS. GLENN: I don't recall her saying
4	anything.
5	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: So the judge was
6	hearing the word "objection," and the attorney would say it
7	once and then sit down?
8	MS. GLENN: Everyone was standing and
9	talking at the same time.
10	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: And so again,
11	your point of contention is that everyone in the courtroom
12	was standing and talking at the same time. The lawyer for
13	your then-husband, did they file a complaint?
14	MS. GLENN: I do not know.
15	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: So this was
16	three years ago?
17	MS. GLENN: Yes, sir.
18	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Have you
19	contacted that lawyer?
20	MS. GLENN: Not in a while, no.
21	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Did you ask
22	whether they had a problem with how things went?
23	MS. GLENN: I do know she had a problem with
24	the way things went, because we talked about it after the
25	hearing.

1	
1	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Did she file a
2	complaint?
3	MS. GLENN: I would not know.
4	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Is it under
5	appeal?
6	MS. GLENN: No, it is not. Because they
7	mediated.
8	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: And you are not
9	a lawyer, correct?
10	MS. GLENN: Correct.
11	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: But you are
12	aware that if a lawyer has a problem with the way that they
13	are treated, they have a right to file an appeal; is that
14	right?
15	MS. GLENN: She could have very well been
16	one of the 20 complaints that were mentioned earlier today.
17	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Right. But she
18	would have address her lack of being recognized in the
19	courtroom by filing an appeal, correct?
20	MS. GLENN: She would file a complaint or an
21	appeal.
22	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Well, either.
23	But you said you did not know whether she filed a
24	complaint, and so I'm asking whether you know whether she
25	filed an appeal.

1	MS. GLENN: I do not know that. But I will
2	speak for if I were an attorney
3	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: I'm asking you
4	not to do that, because part of the problem is I just
5	tried a week-and-a-half-long murder case, and sometimes I'd
6	stand up and say "objection," and the judge knew that I
7	what I was objecting to, so did the other lawyer, and so
8	there was no need to state my objection for the record.
9	And the other lawyer just moved away.
10	What you're saying is that if that happened
11	here, it would be your contention that, that would be Ms.
12	Dunbar or Judge Dunbar letting her courtroom run amuck.
13	MS. GLENN: Can you rephrase that? I'm
14	sorry.
15	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Meaning that if
16	the lawyer objected, and the judge and the opposing
17	attorney recognized the objection, the fact that Judge
18	Dunbar did not rule on that object is in your opinion
19	letting the courtroom run amuck. Is that correct?
20	MS. GLENN: Well, considering that we're
21	talking about allowing Ms. Dunham Kimberly Dunham, the
22	opposing attorney, to come in and speak at a hearing that
23	was not her own, and that she continued to speak even
24	afterwards, I do believe that Ms. Dunbar did not rule.
25	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Well, let me ask

Γ

1	you this: You further stated that Ms. Dunbar Judge
2	Dunbar broke the law. What law did she break?
3	MS. GLENN: I said that she violated laws.
4	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Well, violating
5	the law is breaking it. So what law did she break?
б	MS. GLENN: Well, she did allow an attorney
7	as a witness. Which we had just talked about in a former
8	case. She allowed the guardian ad litem to submit a report
9	in a temporary hearing instead of an affidavit.
10	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: So let me ask
11	you again because
12	MS. GLENN: Rules of evidence.
13	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: You agree,
14	though, that you are under oath.
15	MS. GLENN: Correct.
16	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: You agree that,
17	that oath means that you are bound to speak and tell the
18	truth, correct?
19	MS. GLENN: Correct.
20	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: You stated under
21	oath, that Judge Dunbar broke the law, that she violated
22	the law. So I'm asking you what law did she violate?
23	MS. GLENN: Well, the rules of evidence
24	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: What law? Not
25	rules of evidence. What law?

Page 263

1	MS. GLENN: So the guardian ad litem rule
2	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Not rule. Law.
3	MS. GLENN: Law code
4	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Because again,
5	there are rules for everybody.
6	MS. GLENN: Uh-huh.
7	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Including this
8	panel, as you can see, by Rule 15(c). But that also
9	applies to witnesses who come up and take an oath. And if
10	the oath means to tell the truth, we can't state thing that
11	we don't have evidence to back. So if you stated that a
12	judge has violated the law, that somebody's committed a
13	crime, this panel wants to know. And I assure you that I
14	do.
15	MS. GLENN: The South Carolina Children's
16	Code of Law, Section 8.10 through 8.70.
17	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: And what law did
18	she break?
19	MS. GLENN: Well, that is the rules for the
20	guardian ad litem.
21	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Those are rules
22	
23	MS. GLENN: I'm sorry.
24	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: for the
25	guardian ad litem.

Page 264

1	MS. GLENN: Those are the laws for the
2	guardian ad litem.
3	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Right. That's
4	not for the court. What law did Judge Dunbar break?
5	MS. GLENN: I'm sorry, I don't understand
б	your question. I can read the laws in South Carolina, and
7	I see the rules for the guardian ad litem.
8	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: But you agree
9	that Judge Dunbar is not a guardian ad litem, correct?
10	MS. GLENN: She allowed the guardian ad
11	litem to present
12	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: But Judge Dunbar
13	is not a guardian ad litem. Do you agree?
14	MS. GLENN: I do agree. Well, I don't know
15	if she's a guardian ad litem or not. Not in this case
16	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Not in this
17	case. And so as not being a guardian ad litem in this
18	case, the rules that apply to guardians ad litem did not
19	apply to Judge Dunbar during that hearing, correct? She
20	would be honor-bound by the rules of evidence, by the
21	general law in South Carolina, but not the laws that
22	applies to guardians ad litems.
23	So if your statement that she violated the
24	law is incorrect, it's fine. But otherwise, I'm asking you
25	did she violate the law or not?

Page 265

1	MS. GLENN: I believe that she did. I
2	believe that
3	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: And so I'm
4	asking
5	MS. GLENN: she allowed
б	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: which law did
7	she violate?
8	MS. GLENN: I believe that she allowed into
9	her courtroom, violations of the children's code.
10	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: But you
11	understand that allowing someone, a guardian, to testify
12	outside the rules is not the law as it relates to Judge
13	Dunbar, correct?
14	MS. GLENN: No, I do not. I believe that
15	she's in charge of the courtroom.
16	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: And by being in
17	charge of the courtroom, if someone testifies outside of
18	where they're supposed to testify, you believe it's the law
19	that Judge Dunbar has to do something about that.
20	MS. GLENN: I believe that she's in charge
21	of the courtroom, and she should not allow violations of
22	the law within her courtroom.
23	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: No further
24	questions at this time.
25	SENATOR SABB: Mr. Chairman.

Γ

1	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Senator Sabb.
2	SENATOR SABB: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Ms.
3	Glenn, good evening. And thank you for coming. I wanted
4	to try to be clear on something. Because I know that as
5	lawyers, when we hear certain things, we think certain
6	things because of our training, that oftentimes lay-people
7	are not saying exactly what we're thinking, because they're
8	two different sort of a thing. And so I want to make sure
9	that we're clear.
10	So as I understand it, you had I'll call
11	them Case 1 and Case 2 going on, right?
12	MS. GLENN: Correct.
13	SENATOR SABB: Case 2 being the one under
14	seal, correct?
15	MS. GLENN: Correct.
16	SENATOR SABB: There's a lawyer involved in
17	Case 2, correct?
18	MS. GLENN: Correct.
19	SENATOR SABB: That lawyer comes to the
20	hearing that we're talking about here, correct?
21	MS. GLENN: Correct.
22	SENATOR SABB: That lawyer doesn't actually
23	go to the witness stand and take an oath and swear to tell
24	the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth;
25	that's what we call a witness, the one that goes to the

Page 267

1	witness stand. That's not what happened in this case.
2	What happened in this case was that the
3	lawyer actually just addressed the Court, made certain
4	comments to the Court. Is that right?
5	MS. GLENN: Correct. And then
6	SENATOR SABB: Yes, ma'am. Go on.
7	MS. GLENN: And directed Ms. Dunbar to write
8	down here case number and review it before ruling.
9	SENATOR SABB: Yes, ma'am. And so what you
10	have, though, in terms of the case and I understand that
11	reasonable minds and parties will differ as to what ought
12	occur in a courtroom.
13	When I'm involved in litigation, I'd love
14	for everything to go my way, believing that my way is the
15	right way. Which is not always the way, although it might
16	still be right in my mind.
17	But I guess the point that I want to make
18	sure that the record is clear on, is your point of
19	objection is really not so much in terms of the lawyer,
20	quote/unquote, testifying, but it's the lawyer being
21	permitted to address the Court as a part of the proceeding.
22	Am I stating that correctly?
23	MS. GLENN: I believe so. Like you said, we
24	have different terms for the same thing.
25	SENATOR SABB: Yes, ma'am. And what you're

Page 268

1	really saying to us is, again, not so much the lawyer and
2	going to the witness stand and but you're talking about
3	the lawyer and being able to address the Court.
4	MS. GLENN: Correct. She did not
5	SENATOR SABB: Talk to the Court.
6	MS. GLENN: go to the witness stand. But
7	she did indeed talk to the Court and give them details and
8	would not sit down with the objection.
9	SENATOR SABB: As a lawyer believing that,
10	that's what she needed to do as a part of Case 2, right?
11	MS. GLENN: I cannot speak to what Ms.
12	Dunham believed.
13	SENATOR SABB: Okay. So I thought you said
14	that she asked Judge Dunbar to write down the number of the
15	case, which was Case 2.
16	MS. GLENN: She did.
17	SENATOR SABB: So my point is, she's there
18	advocating on some issue and please don't respond to
19	what's going on Case 2, because it's under seal. And so
20	that's not the purpose for my inquiry. But she is
21	advocating to the judge, wanting the judge to look at
22	something relating to Case 2, which is the case that she's
23	involved in, right?
24	MS. GLENN: Correct.
25	SENATOR SABB: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.

1	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Mr. Safran.
2	MR. SAFRAN: Just a quick question. And I
3	know you don't have the transcript in front of you, and I
4	know you've been standing up here for quite a while, but
5	let me just make sure. As I understood it, and I'm not
6	trying to get into the merits of either of the two cases,
7	particularly the one that's under seal, but I'm looking at
8	the transcript, which obviously is something that's been
9	submitted, that we all have access to. And you said, I
10	guess, Ms. Dunham was there because of her involvement in
11	the other case. Is that fair?
12	MS. GLENN: She was there.
13	MR. SAFRAN: Okay. I mean, she was the
14	lawyer in the other case.
15	MS. GLENN: Correct.
16	MR. SAFRAN: Okay. And was there not some
17	overlap in terms of the child, that had to do with both
18	cases to some extent in terms of visitation? Wasn't there
19	something going on with visitation, as to why Ms. Dunham
20	was there in the first place?
21	MS. GLENN: Not that I'm aware of.
22	MR. SAFRAN: Well, if the transcript seems
23	to say otherwise, if there was some need to coordinate the
24	visitation, at least as I'm reading it, you don't remember
25	that?

1	MS. GLENN: If you're referring to the
2	guardian ad litem suggested that they should have
3	visitation on the same days. But that wouldn't have had
4	anything to do with Ms. Dunham.
5	MR. SAFRAN: Okay.
6	MS. GLENN: I can't answer that. I don't
7	think she should have been there.
8	MR. SAFRAN: Okay. Well, but I guess it
9	there was one party that was common to both cases, which
10	was your late husband. Is that fair?
11	MS. GLENN: Well, yes.
12	MR. SAFRAN: Okay. All right. Thank you.
13	MS. GLENN: Can I point out that both of
14	these cases were brand new? And pointing Ms. Dunham to
15	look at her case, there were nothing but allegations there.
16	There had been no rulings. So looking at a bunch of
17	allegations really shouldn't have even been considered.
18	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Mr. Strom.
19	MR. STROM: Mr. Chairman, I just looked at
20	this transcript, because I want to make sure we get this
21	right. And it looks like on page 23 at the bottom, Ms.
22	Dunham had finished. But anyway, "What I'm primarily here
23	for, Your Honor, is that we seek these visitations
24	regardless of placement of P seek the visitations on the
25	weekend. And we've tried to do that."

Page 271

1	So it looks like that was kind of the issue
2	she was there for, was to get the visitation seen.
3	MS. GLENN: I don't know why we needed a
4	guardian ad litem if Ms. Dunham could come in.
5	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Mr. Chairman.
6	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Representative Murphy.
7	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Mr. Chairman, I
8	mean, the allegations I'm just looking reviewing the
9	transcript. At no time did Ms. Medlin who was your
10	husband's attorney, correct?
11	MS. GLENN: Correct.
12	REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: At no time did he
13	object to Ms. Dunham being there and, in fact that she -
14	- that she object to Ms. Dunham being there. But in fact,
15	she agreed, on page 24, that it was probably best that she
16	be there so that they could get the issue regarding
17	visitation synched up.
18	And I just want to make the record you
19	know, the transcript speaks for itself. But the
20	allegations that that this was any anything other
21	than a normal temporary hearing in my experience, I can't
22	see anything that would lead me to believe that this was
23	nothing but a normal temporary hearing.
24	And guardian ad litem has a responsibility
25	in the best interest of the child or the children to

Page 272

1	file motions. Particularly in this case, because the
2	school year was about to approach, and they needed to get
3	the scheduling for the best interest of the children,
4	particularly with the school year approaching. So I just
5	wanted to make that clear.
6	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Senator Rankin.
7	SENATOR RANKIN: Ma'am, likewise, I want to
8	thank you for being here. And I want to not belabor this.
9	I think I understand your complaint. And it centers over -
10	- one complaint centers over whether multiple hearings were
11	granted, and apparently a guardian that might have not been
12	up to speed, or in your view needed what, more time? Or
13	have made a decision at only one hearing?
14	MS. GLENN: I'm not sure I understand your
15	question.
16	SENATOR RANKIN: Well, and I'm not sure I
17	understand your complaint. And so I'm not trying to be
18	cute about it, but I'm has a guardian presented anything
19	in your appeal, or before us
20	MS. GLENN: There's no appeal in this case.
21	SENATOR RANKIN: Okay. So did a guardian
22	ever express any of the same concerns that you have about
23	your case?
24	MS. GLENN: The concerns that I have about
25	what? What would be my concerns that would be the same as

Page 273

1 a quardian's concern? 2 SENATOR RANKIN: Well, did a quardian ever 3 complain about the decorum in the courtroom? 4 I would have no way of knowing MS. GLENN: 5 that. 6 SENATOR RANKIN: Did a guardian -- and, 7 again, was there a guardian in this case? 8 MS. GLENN: There was a quardian in this 9 case. He's the one who called the second temporary 10 hearing. 11 SENATOR RANKIN: Which you objected to, or 12 did not object to, the second hearing? 13 I was not a party to the case. MS. GLENN: 14 SENATOR RANKIN: And this is the other one, 15 correct? Yours is --16 MS. GLENN: Are we talking about case one or 17 case two? 18 CHAIRMAN SMITH: This is Case 1. 19 MS. GLENN: This is Case 1. 20 SENATOR RANKIN: All right. And so in Case 21 1, do you -- does the quardian have any role in this 22 complaint that you have before us? 23 MS. GLENN: Yes, he -- so we had the first 24 temporary hearing and nothing was changed and the guardian 25 ad litem was put into place. Not very long afterwards,

before finishing his complaint, there was a second
temporary hearing that he set. Two contempt cases were put
off for this second temporary hearing, because it was
August and school was beginning to start.
But we already knew that school was going to
start in August, and the final wouldn't happen until the
school year, so this is a new case that all of a sudden
came back up. All the contempt was pushed back and a
ruling was made.
SENATOR RANKIN: And you're not appealing
that ruling, 'cause you were not a party. That ruling was
not appealed, correct?
MS. GLENN: The ruling on this case, no, it
was not appealed. It wasn't a final ruling. The final was
mediated. Can I respond to Mr. Murphy? I'm sorry I wasn't
fast enough.
CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yes, ma'am. Go ahead.
MS. GLENN: So when we're talking about the
courtroom, the transcript is very difficult to understand
because people were all talking at once. Well, you do see
Mrs. Medlin trying to speak and Ms. Dunham interrupts her.
And stands up, on line 22 of page 23, which you had pointed
me to, and she says, "Your Honor, let me finish before I
was so." And there's blanks there. But I was in the
courtroom and she said, "Before I was so rudely

1	interrupted."
2	The case was just out of control. It wasn't
3	a normal hearing. I would hope this wasn't a normal
4	hearing. And I've never been in a hearing like that.
5	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Representative Rutherford.
6	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: What rule are
7	you citing that says that hearings have to be normal?
8	MS. GLENN: I was referring I was
9	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: But what he said
10	is that when he looked at the transcript, that, that seemed
11	to go the way that and he is a he does family court,
12	that, that seemed to go the same way that other hearings
13	go. But what you continue to cite is that decorum in the
14	courtroom what rule mandates that she do anything other
15	than what she did? What are you asking us to follow that
16	she did not?
17	MS. GLENN: It's my understanding that a
18	courtroom
19	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: No, I'm sorry, a
20	rule. Show me the rule. Because this is a hearing.
21	You're under oath. You have submitted to us that a judge
22	has violated the rule. What rule exactly is it? And
23	that's what everybody I think is searching for. We have to
24	have something that says she violated the rules of conduct.
25	And if she did, this panel will take that very seriously.

Γ

Page 276

1	And if she violated the law of this state,
2	and committed a crime, we will remove her. That is our
3	job. But when someone comes before us and says this person
4	violated the rule, what rule did she violate by allowing
5	the attorney the attorneys to talk? Even if sometimes
6	they talk out of turn, as attorneys do, what rule is that?
7	MS. GLENN: I believe I said she allowed
8	rules to be violated.
9	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: But what rule
10	did she allow to be violated that allows that mandates
11	that attorneys can't talk and seek to advocate and be
12	adversaries in a courtroom?
13	MS. GLENN: Did you I mean, judicial
14	decorum is not is something that
15	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Judicial decorum
16	tell me the exact rule that you're alleging that she
17	violated. Because again, please understand I'm not being
18	hard on you. I mean, this has been three years that you've
19	waited your turn, and we are here and, literally, we
20	have gone over this. And it gets difficult because we're
21	trying to make sure that we're not missing anything.
22	And the last witness wanted to make sure
23	that she said all that she wanted to say, and so we want to
24	make sure, and I want to make sure, that Rule 15(c) is not
25	used against you when she comes up to respond. So that's

I

Page 277

1	why I'm pressing you to make sure that we have a complete
2	understanding. What rule is it that you're alleging that
3	she violated?
4	MS. GLENN: Rules of evidence.
5	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: What rule,
6	specifically, did she violate? The rules of evidence apply
7	to the attorneys, and the judge gets to listen and decide
8	what they're going to do. What rule did Judge Dunbar
9	violate?
10	MS. GLENN: I don't believe that I said she
11	violated a rule when they allowed each other to talk. I'm
12	not sure that
13	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: But let me
14	let me be clear let me be clear: I don't need you to be
15	it doesn't have to be allowing me to talk. Give me the
16	rule number that we should look to, to say that she
17	violated it.
18	MS. GLENN: The South Carolina Supreme Court
19	order temporary hearings on what can be submitted.
20	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: But remember,
21	the other lawyer if you're speaking of hearing two, the
22	other lawyer wanted the other lawyer to be present. And so
23	
24	MS. GLENN: I don't believe that's correct.
25	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Well, again,

Page 278

1	it's on the transcript. So what rule are you alleging that
2	she violated? Because we can't just say we don't like what
3	happened. Because if that's the case, I got a whole bunch
4	twenty-something-odd years of not liking things that
5	happened to me in a courtroom. But what rule are you
6	alleging that she violated?
7	MS. GLENN: So help me understand this,
8	please. If one of the attorneys in the courtroom submits
9	evidence that shouldn't be admissible, the judge is not in
10	violation if she allows that?
11	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: The cure for
12	submitting evidence that should be allowed, is that the
13	lawyers can appeal. Which they didn't do in this case.
14	MS. GLENN: You can't appeal in a temporary
15	hearing.
16	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Again, the
17	correction for things that are being submitted, that should
18	not be allowed, according to a lay-person, is that it would
19	be appealed. And again, there is a final order in this
20	case that was not appealed.
21	So in that temporary hearing that there was
22	and again later, a final hearing which was not appealed
23	by either one of the lawyers, the lawyer representing your
24	then-husband or the lawyer on the other side, you are
25	asking us to say although the lawyers in the case felt like

Page 279

1	they got justice, you want us to remove her because you
2	didn't like what happened.
3	MS. GLENN: That's not true at all.
4	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Well, give me
5	the rule.
6	MS. GLENN: I've got Canon 3: "Judge shall
7	perform the duties of judicial office impartially and
8	diligently."
9	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: All right. So
10	impartially decides says that she was on one side or the
11	other. What side was she on, when neither one of the
12	lawyers were advocates and adversaries, said that she had
13	chosen a side? Which side had she chosen? And how do you
14	know?
15	Because you're alleging under oath, that
16	someone violated a rule. And you're asking us to remove
17	them. But when you're pressed for details, you have to
18	give them. Otherwise, people will walk out and blame us
19	because we didn't listen. So I want to be clear
20	MS. GLENN: So what
21	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: what rule?
22	MS. GLENN: Canon 3 goes on to say: "A judge
23	shall require order and decorum in proceedings before the
24	judge. A judge shall be patient, dignified and courteous
25	to litigants, jurors, witnesses, lawyers and others with

Page 280

1	whom the judge deals with an official in an official
2	capacity, and shall require similar conduct of the lawyers
3	and the staff and the court officials."
4	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: And so in that
5	hearing in either one of those hearings, can you point
6	to a single point in that transcript where one of the
7	lawyers who was speaking to the judge said, "Judge, you're
8	not letting talk. You are cutting me off. You are
9	violating a rule"? 'Cause that's what lawyers are also
10	bound to do, advocate on behalf of their clients.
11	And so is there a portion in the transcript
12	that you would like to point us to, where the lawyer said,
13	one, "This is unfair. This has gone on far too long. I
14	have objected you are ignoring me," or an appeal.
15	Because again, the fact that we don't like
16	an outcome, the fact that we don't like how things go, does
17	not meet with the requirement that we remove them from
18	being a judge. Which is what you're asking us to do.
19	MS. GLENN: So the attorney tries to point
20	out that this is a different set of circumstances while Ms.
21	Dunham is speaking. She's trying to point out to the judge
22	that these are a different set of circumstances. And that
23	is in reference to Ms. Dunham continuing to talk.
24	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: So I think that
25	and, again, I don't know how many courtrooms people go

Page 281

1	into and what they hear. And I've only been practicing for
2	twenty-some-odd years, but there are attorneys in this room
3	that have been practicing even longer than I have. I think
4	that a visit to a courtroom on any given day, you will
5	attorneys try to talk over one another. And for the most
6	part, the judge cautions them. Because there simply can't
7	be a record made, an accurate record, when people talk over
8	one another. But you're asking us to remove a judge
9	because she allowed an attorney to interrupt another
10	attorney?
11	MS. GLENN: No. She also objected to the
12	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: But that would
13	be number one, right? You're asking us to remove her
14	because she allowed an attorney to talk over another
15	lawyer; is that right?
16	MS. GLENN: I'm saying that she did not
17	maintain decorum in her courtroom.
18	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Right. And by
19	"maintain decorum," you mean because she allowed an
20	attorney to talk over another lawyer; is that right?
21	MS. GLENN: I mean, the entire set of
22	events.
23	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Okay. And
24	what's number two?
25	MS. GLENN: So Ms. Medlin was objecting

Page 282

1	I'm sorry, I lost my train of thought. Give me a second.
2	She was objecting to the therapist notes
3	that were submitted as evidence, which were on a
4	handwritten piece of paper, without letterhead, and that
5	were used we had never seen before. And she was
6	objecting, saying that we have no way of knowing that this
7	is the therapist's notes. It's not submitted in affidavit
8	form and there's no therapist here.
9	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: And so your cure
10	for that would be to remove the judge that heard that; is
11	that right?
12	MS. GLENN: I'm saying she allowed rules of
13	evidence to be broken.
14	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: And so your cure
15	for that is to remove her from being a judge; is that
16	correct?
17	MS. GLENN: I'm saying that she allowed
18	rules of evidence to
19	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: I understand
20	that.
21	MS. GLENN: be broken.
22	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: But you're
23	asking us to do something with the information that you're
24	providing. And you're saying that because, in that case,
25	when none of the lawyers objected or appealed

Γ

1	MS. GLENN: No, she did object.
2	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Well, objected
3	meaning they said, "Your Honor, you have violated Rule 3."
4	And none of them appealed, you would like us, on our own,
5	to remove the judge for violating those two issues that
б	you've raised. Is that right?
7	MS. GLENN: Is it not this committee's job
8	to find out if somebody is qualified and will continue to
9	follow rules?
10	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: That's a very
11	interesting point. Did you know that lawyers are obligated
12	to report violations of the rules?
13	MS. GLENN: I did not know that.
14	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: You didn't. And
15	so any of the lawyers in that case that observed what they
16	thought was a violation of the rules, they are honor-bound
17	to report that. Did you know that?
18	MS. GLENN: I did not know that.
19	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Do you believe
20	that if they had done so, that they would have reported it?
21	MS. GLENN: I believe we wouldn't know about
22	it, because they're confidential.
23	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Well, again, did
24	anyone even raise it in the courtroom? Because you were
25	there. There's a transcript.

Page 284

1 MS. GLENN: Yes, she --2 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Did anyone say -3 4 MS. GLENN: -- raised that --5 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: -- "You violated 6 Rule 3"? 7 MS. GLENN: She raised that it was not 8 submitted into -- in property affidavit form. 9 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: And, again, if 10 she ruled and the lawyer disagreed with it, the proper 11 remedy for that disagreement is appeal, right? 12 MS. GLENN: You can't appeal a temporary 13 hearing. 14 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: You can once it 15 gets to be permanent. They get the whole transcript just 16 like we have it. 17 MS. GLENN: Why would you appeal a settled 18 case that was before a different judge? 19 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: If you did not 20 agree. 21 MS. GLENN: But they did --REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Just like the 22 23 prior --24 MS. GLENN: -- agree. 25 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: -- complaint

Page 285

1	before you, there is an appeal. They didn't agree. And
2	all I'm asking you is to clarify, what you want us to do is
3	remove a judge because you believe that she violated the
4	rules of evidence. Not the Court of Appeals said she did,
5	not even the lawyers involved in the case, but that you
6	believe that she violated the rules of evidence and she
7	violated the rule of decorum. Is that right?
8	MS. GLENN: I believe that those are two
9	major infractions. Yes, sir.
10	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Okay. All
11	right. No further questions.
12	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Any further
13	questions?
14	(Hearing none.)
15	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Emma.
16	MS. DEAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Ms.
17	Glenn, Lindi is bringing a document for you to put for
18	you to review. And if you could keep the transcript near
19	you, 'cause that is next. But that is your sworn affidavit
20	without exhibits. Do you have any concerns with that being
21	made part of the record?
22	MS. GLENN: No.
23	(EXHIBIT NO. 22 - WITNESS AFFIDAVIT CYNTHIA
24	GLENN)
25	(EXHIBIT NO. 23 - TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD 2015-

Page 286

1 DR-23-0184) 2 MS. GLENN: But I would like to state for 3 the record that I would like for the exhibits to be made 4 part of the record. 5 And Lindi also has the MS. DEAN: 6 transcripts which we were referring to -- well, actually, I 7 don't know. Did you get it back from her? 8 MS. PUTNAM: Yes. 9 MS. DEAN: That was what you were just 10 holding, the transcript you were just holding. And do you 11 have any problem with that being made a part of the record? 12 MS. GLENN: I do not. 13 MS. DEAN: At this time, Mr. Chairman, I'd 14 ask Ms. Glenn could be seated, and Judge Dunbar could 15 return to the podium. 16 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Judge Dunbar, if you'd come 17 forward, please, and address these allegations. I want to 18 remind you, you're still under oath, okay? 19 JUDGE DUNBAR: Yes, sir. First, I do want 20 to extend my condolences, I don't know if Ms. Glenn will 21 accept them, for the loss of her husband. I'm so sorry. 22 I just want to first say that I didn't grant 23 the second hearing -- temporary hearing. Another judge 24 ordered -- allowed that hearing to be set before. And it 25 was set before me.

Page 287

1	And I also want to state that I only
2	considered the affidavits that were submitted by the
3	lawyers in the case, to make my decision. And I also want
4	to state that I only considered those affidavits and
5	nothing more.
6	I usually allow attorneys to make arguments.
7	I don't consider attorneys' arguments as evidence. I did
8	not even look at the file on the other case. I didn't need
9	to. I had the affidavits presented before me.
10	And I do believe that the parties eventually
11	agreed to the temporary decision that I made in this case.
12	Which I gave mother temporary custody actually, I think
13	I gave joint custody. And the parties agreed to mother
14	having sole custody in the final decision in this case.
15	And I'll just let the record speak for
16	itself on the other allegations. And I'll take any
17	questions that the committee Commission members have for
18	me.
19	And I do want to say this has been a
20	humbling experience. It's been tough. But I do
21	understand. And it's important that they that litigants
22	and are able to complain. And I take them seriously.
23	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Senator Sabb.
24	SENATOR SABB: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
25	And, Judge, thank you for expressing your condolences.

1	That's actually one of the things that I thought we as a						
2	commission were remiss on, not expressing that, once Ms.						
3	Glenn indicated about the loss of her husband. I think						
4	that makes these situations even tougher, because we relive						
5	some of those moments. So thank you for expressing that.						
6	Some of us heard you. Others did not, but I knew that						
7	there was just an expression of sympathy.						
8	But I was looking at the transcript, and it						
9	was nearing the end of of the hearing, and it looks like						
10	you said and if you recall this, you could help me out,						
11	you said, "Well, let me ask Mr. Howard before we go. I do						
12	think Mr. Howard hit the nail on the head. He says the						
13	child needs therapy and also these parents need to co-						
14	parent. That's what I want to focus on. I know it's						
15	tough. And I pray that y'all will do that, work together						
16	because I'm telling you, the years are gonna go by. This						
17	child is gonna be grown. And he needs both parents. And						
18	y'all need to try to get along as best you can. I know						
19	it's tough, but this too shall pass. And you both are						
20	gonna be involved in your child's life. And you want him						
21	to be emotionally healthy, because before you know it he's						
22	gonna be graduating from high school and you want don't						
23	want to have these issues."						
24	And then you go on to say something like						
25	he's ready. But at that point it appears to me, Judge,						

Γ

1	that you had looked at some of the affidavits, you had						
2	heard from all of the lawyers involved, and you're really						
3	trying to encourage the parents to do as best they can in						
4	co-parenting. Because, ultimately, both of them						
5	obviously, it appeared that they both loved the child,						
6	wanted the best for the child, and then the question was						
7	how do you get there. Is that a fair assessment?						
8	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yes, sir.						
9	SENATOR SABB: I just wanted to point that						
10	out, Commission.						
11	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Any further						
12	questions of Judge Dunbar?						
13	(Hearing none.)						
14	MS. DEAN: I'm sorry, Lindi's got a document						
15	for you. I believe this is a copy of your response.						
16	JUDGE DUNBAR: Yes.						
17	MS. DEAN: Do you have any problem with that						
18	being made part of the record?						
19	JUDGE DUNBAR: No, I do not.						
20	(EXHIBIT NO. 24 - FORMAL RESPONSE AND						
21	MEMORANDUM BY TARITA A. DUNBAR)						
22	MS. DEAN: Thank you, Judge. And I've got						
23	some housekeeping questions.						
24	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay.						
25	BY MS. DEAN:						

Γ

Page 290

1	Q. Judge Dunbar, since submitting your letter of					
2	intent have you contacted any members of the Commission					
3	about your candidacy?					
4	A. No, I have not.					
5	Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including					
6	the limitations on contacting members of the General					
7	Assembly regarding your screening?					
8	A. Yes.					
9	Q. Since submitting your letter of intent have you					
10	sought or received a pledge of any legislator either prior					
11	to this date or pending the outcome of your screening?					
12	A. No, not at all.					
13	Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact					
14	members of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you					
15	aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on					
16	your behalf?					
17	A. No, not at all.					
18	Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the					
19	Commission's guidelines on pledging and South Carolina Code					
20	Section 2-19-70(E)?					
21	A. Yes, ma'am.					
22	MS. DEAN: I'd note for the record that any					
23	concerns raised during the investigation regarding this					
24	candidate were incorporated into the questioning of the					
25	candidate today. Mr. Chairman, I have no further					

Garber Reporting info@garberreporting.com

1 questions. 2 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. So this moves 3 on to beyond the complaint. So any further questions? 4 Representative Rutherford. 5 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Judge, you know, I want you to understand this is your first time coming up 6 7 for reelection, and I hope you know this committee takes 8 violations of the rules extremely seriously. And I hope 9 that everyone who complained about the way that this system 10 operates, appreciates the fact that lay-people, non-lawyers 11 were able to come in and air their grievances in front of a 12 panel of people who could inject the ultimate punishment on 13 those that violated it. 14 And I don't know any other system that 15 allows that. Other systems where the governor simply picks 16 the appointees, or they're elected publicly, I don't know 17 any other system that allows people to come in and air 18 their complaints. 19 But I don't want you to feel like as a 20 judge, the fact that somebody complained about you being 21 nice, the fact that they complained about the decorum in a 22 courtroom, that, that means that you as a judge are 23 obligated, duty-bound or honor-bound to change any of the 24 things that you may have been doing, that make lawyers and 25 litigants happy.

I know that you know that in family court,					
people are always upset. That's why most of us stay out of					
family court. Judge Eppes, one of the long-serving circuit					
ourt judges before he passed away, said that his time on					
the family bench was some of the worst; that he sentenced					
people to life in prison, they walked out the door and					
never complained, but he took a lady's child one time and					
she hated him for the rest of his life.					
It's real. And we get it. And the issues					
in family court are very, very sensitive and very touching,					
and sometimes require that extra bit of compassion.					
One of the best family court judges I know					
is Judge Leslie Riddle, and I can tell you that her kids					
were wrestlers, that they that she thought that					
wrestling was the greatest thing since sliced bread, and					
that every child that came through her courtroom ought to					
go wrestle. And every time I pled a child in front of her,					
you had to sit down and wait on her to give every single					
child that lecture. And I think that was what was that					
was what made her so good.					
So I don't want you to think that because					
somebody complains about something, that you automatically					
have to change. I do believe that this body is going to					
make sure that all judges follow the rules and follow the					
law. And I think that we're going to do that today. But I					

Γ

Page 293

1	don't want you to believe that just because you read a						
2	complaint, that, that means, oh, I got to change that.						
3	Because people complain about everything.						
4	e implore all the judges: don't break the law, don't break						
5	the rules, and if you do so there's a penalty to be paid.						
б	But I think there's a great deal to be said						
7	that this is an open forum, that people get to air their						
8	grievances, and that questions are posed to those people to						
9	make sure that we don't have judges run amuck, or people						
10	taking advantage of the process.						
11	But again, I don't want that to go as far as						
12	to make you stop what you're doing, being mean to people,						
13	cutting people off if you don't feel like that's						
14	appropriate, as long as it's within the rules. So I just						
15	wanted to make sure of that.						
16	JUDGE DUNBAR: Thank you very much,						
17	Representative Rutherford.						
18	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Thank you. Any						
19	further questions, comments?						
20	(Hearing none.)						
21	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Judge Dunbar, I appreciate						
22	your patience with us. And for all those out in the						
23	audience, your patience also. I appreciate everyone coming						
24	here and conducting themselves in a civil and respectful						
25	manner. That makes this a lot easier for us. And I know						

Garber Reporting info@garberreporting.com

1	these these hearings are not easy for you, Judge, nor					
2	are they easy for the complainants who came before us. And					
3	like Representative Rutherford said, we're going to take					
4	these serious and we're going to review those.					
5	But again, you know, it's a tough job. And					
б	people have to perform their job duties, and that's why					
7	people are elected to do it. And so don't let that deter					
8	you from your obligations in the oath that you took, but					
9	also to be mindful of the complaints as you go forward,					
10	too, and make sure that you understand and I always say					
11	this, practicing law is a is a exercise in trial and					
12	error, unfortunately. And it's how you respond when you					
13	make the errors, and it's how you it's how what type					
14	of lawyer you turn out.					
15	And I'm not saying you made any errors, but					
16	I'm certainly you've been through a process, today,					
17	where you heard that there's some that there was some					
18	disagreement with some of your conduct in the courtroom.					
19	So I appreciate the way you've handled this					
20	and the way that those out here in the audience have					
21	handled. And that's the way it should be, in a dignified					
22	setting in which everybody is heard and everybody is					
23	allowed to speak, and they are listened to by this					
24	commission.					
25	Sorry, I got a cold, too, over the weekend.					

1	I cheered the Gamecocks too hard for their losing victory			
2	over there.			
3	So with that being said, this will conclude			
4	this portion of your screening process. I want to take			
5	this opportunity to remind you that pursuant to the			
6	Commission's evaluative criteria, the Commission expects			
7	candidates to follow the spirit as well as the letter of			
8	the ethics law. And we will view violations or the			
9	appearance of impropriety as serious and potentially			
10	deserving of heavy weight and screening deliberations.			
11	And as you know, the record's going to			
12	remain open until the formal release of the report of			
13	3 qualifications, and you can be called back for any reason			
14	up until that release of the qualifications.			
15	I appreciate you being here this afternoon.			
16	And thank you for offering for this position. And thank			
17	you for your service to the State of South Carolina.			
18	JUDGE DUNBAR: And I want to thank the			
19	Commission members. And this has been a humbling			
20	experience. It's been difficult. But I do believe it's a			
21	healthy process for me. If I'm fortunate enough to go			
22	forward to be a to continue on my as a judge, that			
23	I'm mindful of those and to be a better judge. Thank you.			
24	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Thank you very much.			
25	(Candidate excused.)			

1	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Representative Murphy moves						
2	that we go into executive session. All in favor say "aye."						
3	(At this time the members audibly say "aye.")						
4	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All opposed?						
5	(Hearing none.)						
6	CHAIRMAN SMITH: The ayes have it. We're in						
7	executive session.						
8	(Off the record from 7:09 p.m. to 7:34 p.m.)						
9	CHAIRMAN SMITH: We moved to come out of						
10	executive session. We're going to adjourn for the evening.						
11	And we will reconvene and vote on candidates tomorrow.						
12	(OFF THE RECORD AT 7:35 P.M.)						
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER					
2						
3	I, PATRICIA G. BACHAND, COURT REPORTER AND NOTARY					
4	PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AT LARGE, DO					
5	HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT CONSISTING OF					
б	296 PAGES IS A TRUE, ACCURATE, AND COMPLETE RECORD TO THE					
7	BEST OF MY SKILL AND ABILITY.					
8	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I AM NEITHER ATTORNEY NOR					
9	COUNSEL FOR, NOR RELATED TO OR EMPLOYED BY ANY OF THE					
10	PARTIES CONNECTED WITH THIS ACTION, NOR AM I FINANCIALLY					
11	INTERESTED IN SAID CAUSE.					
12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE SET MY HAND AND SEAL THIS					
13	5TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2019.					
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19	Jatricia Harpond.					
20	Harrace Dachand					
21	PATRICIA G. BACHAND, COURT REPORTER					
22	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES MARCH 8, 2027					
23						
24						
25						

	5:5 92:13	191202PB.	12	15-page
Exhibits	191202PB.	JMSC_	19:3 70:6,17	198:24
	JMSC	Dunbar 23	149:19	15th
191202PB.	Stokes Exh 10	6:11 285:25	12:01	251:14
JMSC_	5:8 111:4	191202PB.	91:1	16
Goldsmith Exh	191202PB.	JMSC_	12:12	21:25 73:25
1	JMSC	Dunbar 24	91:1	133:18
4:3 8:16	Stokes Exh 11	6:13 289:20	12:30	16-year
191202PB.	5:11 111:7		163:3	19:19
JMSC_	191202PB.	\$	12th	16th
Goldsmith Exh	JMSC	Ψ	190:1	209:4,7,10
2	Jarrett Exh 15	\$15,000	13	17
4:6 8:19	5:14 133:15	188:17,25	25:19 32:24	43:5,11 159:6
191202PB.	191202PB.	\$2	42:1 60:24 61:5	172:9 180:11
JMSC_	JMSC	27:17,18 31:12,	63:4 67:5	172.9 180.11 17th
Ferederigos Exh	Jarrett Exh 16	13	113:16 217:3,8	209:11,15
3	5:17 133:18	\$32,000	241:22 247:1,2	18
4:9 18:3	191202PB.	188:13	13th	82:17 159:9
191202PB.	JMSC_		169:25 170:11	1865
JMSC_	Dunbar 17	(190:1 209:16	67:17
Ferederigos Exh	5:20 159:6		230:24,25	
4	191202PB.	(c)	14	18th 44:24 66:16
4:12 18:6	JMSC_	237:20	63:19 70:7	
191202PB.	Dunbar 18		103:10	19
JMSC_	5:23 159:9	0	14th	66:10 159:12
Ferri Exh 5	191202PB.		190:2 250:17	171:23
4:15 69:3	JMSC_	05/'06	15	192
191202PB.	Dunbar 19	123:9	12:6 82:5	96:14
JMSC_	6:2 159:12	07	105:18,20,22	1983
Ferri Exh 6	191202PB.	86:16	106:2,6,8	138:7
4:18 69:6	JMSC_		133:15 245:10	1995
191202PB.	Dunbar 20	1	247:1 249:1	98:10
JMSC_	6:5 201:20		15(c)	1:03
Ferri Exh 7	191202PB.	1	238:4 239:6,19	132:3
4:21 69:9	JMSC_	8:16 245:5	263:8 276:24	
191202PB.	Dunbar 21	266:11 273:18,	15(d)	2
JMSC_	6:7 236:15	19,21	237:2,19	2
Jacobson Exh 8	191202PB.	10,2,111,4	15-minute	8:19 266:11,13,
5:2 92:10	JMSC_	19:3 111:4	58:18,21 91:3	17 268:10,15,
191202PB.	Dunbar 22	245:8	105:15 106:15	17 208.10,13, 19,22
JMSC_	6:9 285:23	11	148:10 149:9	2-19-
Jacobson Exh 9		111:7 171:20	247:14	30:10
				50.10

2-19-70	230:7,23		18:6 175:15	59
29:20 99:17	22	3	196:9 197:13	192:2
120:18 139:25	177:19 274:22		216:16,18	590
290:5	285:23	3	217:20 243:20	163:11
2-19-70(E)	229	18:3 174:25	40	5th
79:21 100:8	31:1	279:6,22 283:3	97:12	66:7
121:8 140:15	23	284:6	45	
290:20	132:10 152:11	30	77:18 85:24	6
20	270:21 274:22	42:11 74:9	45-day	
70:10 201:20	285:25	149:14 152:11	40:14 42:22	6
242:11 260:16	24	30-day	85:7	69:6 98:2
200	82:18 149:19	178:1 217:6	48	6,000
214:6,13	271:15 289:20	30-minute	42:11	214:10
2003	25	148:10 149:10	48-hour	60
60:19	241:8	308	40:12 44:25	40:19 41:14,21
2006	250	137:8	4:09	42:24 97:11
171:19	70:11	34	157:10	608
2007	251	116:17	4:25	123:5
138:7	116:17	365	157:10	60s
2008/2009	257	190:14,15		77:11
75:10	24:18	215:25 216:13	5	65
2013	26	220:25 221:2,8		40:19 42:24
230:17 241:7	69:25 71:13	225:20,22	5	65-year-old
2013-DR-23-	82:5 152:11	226:3,10,11,17,	69:3	12:8
230:15	154:23 155:3,18	20 227:6,25	50	6th
2014	27	229:15 230:19	27:10,11 31:3	12:25
46:19 171:19	134:15 136:16	231:1 234:7,8	50-plus	
230:14,18	137:23 141:12	235:1,8 253:16	124:24	7
2015	143:22	365-day	50/50	/
27:5 46:19	27th	225:23	24:13 172:18	7
230:8,23	225:20	37	180:5 185:6	19:3 69:9
2015-	28	11:17	204:23 212:5,8	70(E)
285:25	96:15 178:5	38	244:1,10,11	30:11
2016	192:8	152:11	501	70s
181:19 209:15	29	39	29:8 79:2 99:10	77:11
250:17 251:14	24:19	11:16	120:11 139:18	71
2017	2:27	3rd	516	137:9
46:18	132:3	135:2	230:16	72
21	2nd		52	50:14
236:15	230:14,17	4	147:14	7:09
21st			55-or-so	296:8
		4	12:5	

7:34		abuse	accountable	active
296:8	A	47:12,14,22	49:24 50:21	10:11 70:15
7:35		71:20,21 82:1,4,	197:1	183:11,14
296:12	a.m.	22,24 96:5	accountants	actively
	98:2	102:25 103:2,9	96:10 115:13	173:14
8	AA	104:21 123:3,24	accurate	activities
	162:7	127:19,21,23	73:9 184:9,15	73:1 173:14
8	abandoned	128:12 143:11,	233:11 281:7	activity
92:10 171:20	243:18 244:6	12 144:16	accusations	37:24
8.10	Abbott	161:24 164:22	96:5 246:8	acts
263:16	243:19,24	176:13 177:20	accused	85:22
8.70	249:16,25	214:7	103:2,5	actual
263:16	250:1,3,6,10	abused	achieve	84:15 103:25
82	Abbott's	104:9 203:8	98:7	241:10 247:20
163:12	246:12	208:16	achieved	257:18
85	abeyance	abusing	166:16	ad
73:24	48:25 192:3	103:2,5	achievement	74:10 81:17,18,
	ABHAN	academic	161:20	19 83:4 95:21
9	38:22,23 39:4	28:19 78:20	achievements	103:1 104:21
,	abilities	99:3 120:1	161:7	137:5 169:20
9	96:23	139:9 166:6	acknowledged	184:1 186:1
92:13 216:22	ability	accept	246:23 247:4	232:12,17
217:8,20	28:19 78:21	34:18 286:21		233:24 244:16,
90	94:21 96:19	accepting	acquaintances 85:12	19,21 245:1,21
42:12 45:1 46:3	99:3 117:6	34:17		251:4,7 254:3
130:17 167:6	120:1 139:9	access	act 75:7 85:22	262:8 263:1,20,
188:17,19,24,25	145:17 163:20	269:9	95:17,18	25 264:2,7,9,10,
215:6,7	166:7 173:5	accessible	, í	13,15,17,18,22
93	216:24	97:25	acting 103:4	270:2 271:4,24
70:12	abreast	accidently		273:25
98	76:21,25	29:14	action	add
146:15	abroad	accommodating	27:6 37:23	53:13 166:23
98/99	85:8	162:20,21	66:19 98:12,14	167:10 222:1,
33:7	absence	accomplish	138:8,21 195:1 198:16 199:12	17,21,25 223:21
9:15	174:2,5	161:5	205:1 212:12,20	added
163:2	absolute	accomplishment	205:1 212:12,20 229:4,7 230:16,	146:4
9:30	87:7	70:19	22 231:5,23,25	additional
163:2	absolutely	accomplishment	232:6,9	22:20,22 24:19
9th	21:23 52:1	s	actions	72:12,14 73:25
19:16 20:5 66:7	53:20 108:18	161:4	57:7 63:18	83:13,14 94:24
70:25 93:14	184:16 186:18	accord	231:7	95:1 96:15
112:6	195:7 199:22	242:19	231.1	113:25 114:2

116:17 135:24	218:2 221:12	advance	262:9 282:7	aggravated
136:1 137:9	225:19 226:6,7	26:20,25 40:6	284:8 285:19,23	37:15 39:24
163:12 218:17	232:25 233:14	61:10 62:21,22,	affidavits	agitated
Additionally	234:10,17	23 63:24 64:2,4,	9:6 18:18 22:10	195:8
94:18 248:12	235:1,2,10,18	6,10 76:23	56:14 69:20	agree
address	administratively	228:7	92:25 105:23	14:10 41:5,9
34:7 47:3 68:16	200:13	advancement	106:7,23,25	42:5 200:1
104:2 118:1	admired	172:24	107:4,9 111:18	208:6 212:2
127:10 169:15	97:3	advantage	134:5 146:21	213:5,10 229:15
187:4 224:2	admissible	24:1 243:25	147:5 148:6,17	242:12 262:13,
238:24 260:18	57:2 278:9	293:10	149:2 159:25	16 264:8,13,14
267:21 268:3	admit	adversarial	251:9 287:2,4,9	284:20,24 285:1
286:17	165:10 251:9	54:3	289:1	agreed
addressed	admitted	adversaries	affirmed	172:14 174:12,
47:9 86:14	176:8 179:9	276:12 279:12	167:4 214:25	20 175:5 204:23
213:6 238:23	admonishing	adversary	215:4,12	212:8,19,21
256:18 267:3	241:6	102:3	afford	228:4 271:15
addressing	adopted	adverse	23:13 25:25	287:11,13
54:11 179:21	67:6 83:10	106:8	afraid	agreement
adequately	adoption	advice	98:21	49:24 149:9
242:5	47:4,6,10 72:3	26:11 61:17	African-	231:15 244:1,12
ADHD	83:7 95:20	177:19	american	ahead
174:24 179:23	104:13,18,19	advisees	33:2	14:9 43:21
182:1 183:8,11,	113:17 125:25	153:6	African-	61:13 152:14
12,13,16,21,22	126:1,3,6,10,16	advisement	americans	156:7,8 198:21
184:2,5 222:1,	199:18	59:6,10 106:10,	33:1	201:10 210:9
17,21 223:1,21,	adoptions	21 147:11,17	afternoon	274:17
25 224:2	22:24 47:1,5	164:8	91:5,8 100:21	aid
adhered	71:16 126:2,4,5	advocate	112:3 127:3	162:7
47:9	137:4	169:21 276:11	132:6 157:21,22	air
adjourn	adoptive	280:10	171:12 295:15	186:9,23
296:10	80:21 138:23	advocates	age	291:11,17 293:7
adjudicate	adored	279:12	20:11 71:6	alcohol
33:13	112:25	advocating	93:19 108:12	75:23 96:5
adjudicated	adult	268:18,21	112:11 135:8	alert
36:5	41:22	affects	243:20	226:5
admin	adultery	180:2 231:10	agency	Alex
191:10	23:25	affidavit	129:7	176:21 187:14
administrative	adults	107:11 168:12	agendas	191:16
47:15 174:11	75:25 103:18	176:10 177:2	52:22	alienation
176:22 215:17	104:8	201:13,18,20	ages	96:1 116:4
216:1,5,14		202:16 250:11	19:3	

alimony	247:8,14 248:4,	and/or	23 250:1 260:5,	120:12 139:19
61:18 96:11	6 251:8,22	212:5	13,19,21,25	188:20 199:14,
164:11 167:6	255:1,11 262:8	Andrew	272:19,20	15 200:6 204:2
172:19 215:8	264:10 265:5,8	66:13	278:13,14	209:23
Alison	276:7 277:11	Andrews	280:14 284:11,	applicable
201:21 230:10	278:12,18	144:11 145:1	12,17 285:1	95:9
allegation	281:9,14,19	animal	appealed	applicant
195:20	282:12,17	136:21	274:12,14	25:5
allegations	286:24 294:23	announce	278:19,20,22	applicants
181:9 198:23	allowing	97:18	282:25 283:4	242:7
203:6 207:19	186:22 222:17		appealing	application
270:15,17	261:21 265:11	anonymous 81:8 142:12	274:10	9:1 18:13 69:15
271:8,20 286:17	276:4 277:15		Appeals	92:20 95:6
287:16	allude	Anthony	70:13 81:1	111:13 114:3
allege	230:8	230:11	116:9 167:4	133:25 159:20
181:24 184:17	alluded	anticipate	194:22 215:7	241:7,9,11,17
187:1,3 250:11	250:5	16:20 146:24	217:24 250:2	242:18 247:20
alleged	alter	anxious	285:4	applications
44:19 45:9	10:25 11:2	169:2	appearance	170:3
105:1 239:16,17	altered	anymore	15:4 65:11	
,	146:22	50:8	84:15 90:7	applied 22:17 66:21
allegedly 44:22	alternative	apartment	109:17 119:1	
	34:5	172:1 184:20	131:4 132:6,16	applies
alleges		185:6 186:3	156:20 295:9	22:18 263:9
181:6	amazing	apiece	appeared	264:22
alleging	44:4 78:12,13	57:21	12:2 72:1 80:25	apply
31:15 276:16	119:15 130:19	apologize	103:14 126:19	56:22 264:18,19
277:2 278:1,6	195:16	7:13 196:8	289:5	277:6
279:15	amend	205:25 238:15	appearing	applying
allotment	241:14	apologizing	72:17 84:1	23:2 241:23
147:1	AMENDMENT	29:18	115:5 118:24	appointed
allotted	69:9 159:12	appalling		82:7,9 123:4,9
105:24 148:9	amount	179:7	appears 9:3 18:15 60:18	126:4 127:22
220:6	27:15 77:14	apparently	69:17 92:22	161:8,10
allowed	86:16 95:2,14	272:11	104:10 111:15	appointees
107:3 125:4	106:13 115:24	appeal	134:2 138:6	291:16
164:7 172:2	amounts	166:24,25	159:22 217:19	appointment
174:20 180:21	75:14	179:18 188:22	230:21 288:25	243:1
181:3 191:13	amuck	194:18 199:5,13		appreciated
194:9,20 200:24	261:12,19 293:9	201:3 204:1,2	appease 200:13	45:16
205:5 222:25	analyses	206:1,16 208:10		appreciates
232:9 235:9	96:11	213:24 214:18,	appellate	291:10
245:21,23 246:3			29:8 79:3 99:11	

approach	179:19 185:20,	aspire	assure	47:19 63:12
33:14 272:2	23 204:12,14,	150:13,14	146:6 194:6	70:7 72:2,9 74:2
approaching	16,17,18	assault	197:11 263:13	81:22 82:10
226:10 272:4	arguments	37:14,15 39:23	astoundingly	94:4 102:11
appropriately	61:25 62:10	Assembly	246:19	103:1 107:7
179:12	148:4,5 287:6,7	29:22 30:5	At-large	119:2 123:14
approximately	arises	79:15 99:19	46:21	124:1 127:22
89:12	15:8 21:14	100:2 120:20	ate	136:5 137:2,25
ARC	65:16 90:11	121:2 140:1,9	87:21	138:13 148:21,
177:3 197:20	109:22 131:9	290:7,14	Athan	24 149:16
area	156:25	assessment	31:10	155:12 174:8
47:1,12 64:12	armed	117:15 289:7	atmosphere	176:18 177:15,
72:15,24 75:16,	35:5 43:13	asset	247:13	16 178:1,24
20 77:21 84:4	arose	21:1 74:7 75:3	attach	183:1,2 187:9
85:10 88:8 94:8	196:4	76:4 96:12,24	147:15	189:12 190:5,
95:2 97:14	arrangement	116:22 139:14	attack	17,21 191:23
98:17 101:12,15	104:14 212:19	146:11	118:6 243:24	193:23 194:11
114:13 125:25	arrest	assets	248:22	195:18,21
126:17,23 127:1	42:19,20	96:7 115:10		196:23 198:10,
128:16 135:21	article	assigned	attacks	17,19 199:6,10,
136:2,3 143:9	118:9	10:11 47:16	174:3	12,15 202:13,14
171:21 173:1,3	articles	assist	attempt	203:13,15,21
181:21,22		20:16 70:7	118:1	206:7,8 209:4
208:10 223:10	9:3 18:15 69:17 92:22 111:15	71:11 73:15	attempted	210:5,12 212:2
areas	118:11 134:2	82:10 83:5	10:19	216:10 220:6
22:19 72:11	118.11 134.2	93:24 112:16	attempting	228:20,22
88:19,23 94:9,	Asheville	135:12	30:6 79:16	244:8,12 245:9
23 95:15 97:2	191:4	assistance	100:3 121:3	246:3,12
102:21 104:16		83:12 173:9	140:10 290:15	253:10,18
113:24 125:22	asks	assistant	attend	255:12,14,18
135:23 146:19	125:20	77:2	63:13 119:9	256:15 257:3,21
166:13	aspect	assisted	176:21	258:5,10,14,17
argue	50:20 62:24	77:14,15 84:13	attended	259:6 261:2,17, 22 262:6 271:10
241:14	63:23 95:4	,	77:11 173:12	276:5 280:19
argued	97:10 115:22	assisting 75:14 77:20	attending	281:9,10,14,20
70:13	117:11 126:15, 16	81:14	72:25 169:5,6	
arguing			attention	attorney's 193:23 246:7
49:13 107:10	aspects	assume	54:12 57:15	
165:23	23:7 24:4 25:6	52:3 88:7 97:16	129:6	attorneys
argument	73:6 95:13	101:7 137:22	attorney	11:9 26:18
81:3 106:9	113:19 114:21	194:15 224:7	7:6 22:3 26:6	39:17 72:19
148:9 165:18	136:15	assumed	40:11,21,25	73:1 81:15,18 82:14 83:13
		138:18		02.14 03:13

102 0 106 0		(7.17.04.75.10	DAKED	02.16.102.0
102:8 106:9	avail	67:17,24 75:12	BAKER	93:16 103:9
107:5 147:19	216:10	77:11,21 90:11,	93:10,15,21	106:25 112:8
148:17 149:22,	average	15 91:2 94:7	98:23 99:7	127:9 128:12
25 150:5 152:10	136:23	108:17 109:22	100:10	135:4 141:23
153:2 162:2	avoid	110:2 123:5	balance	147:25 165:13
163:9 166:11,	119:21 150:15	131:9 134:12	24:15 38:17	166:17 170:9
13,15 171:5	awarded	135:18 138:15,	balancing	178:16,21
178:5 190:3	172:20	16,19 145:14	85:17	187:13 188:9,15
192:7,8 198:14	aware	147:11,15,18	ballot	189:4 203:21
211:16 239:14	8:8 29:6 30:6	150:3 152:12	8:25 11:16	207:19 209:25
241:18 246:10	35:3 72:22 75:9	156:25 169:14	13:20 18:12	210:23 219:22
247:8,14	76:17,21 78:25	172:16 173:1	24:18,19 32:14	223:24 227:5
248:13,17	79:16 99:9	174:18,24	35:11 60:12	230:21,25
250:20 257:18,	100:3 115:25	175:4,11,12,23	69:14 73:24	232:17 250:25
20 276:5,6,11	118:5 120:10	176:5 177:11	74:1 92:19	basically
277:7 278:8	121:3,5 139:17,	180:3 187:19	96:14,16 101:25	27:17 28:4
281:2,5 287:6	20 140:10	220:14 225:13	111:12 116:17,	31:15 47:20
attorneys'	182:13 248:19	231:8 237:4,19	18 119:7 121:23	51:17 141:25
251:19 287:7	260:12 269:21	238:3 243:15	129:21 133:24	228:21
attributed	290:15	258:3 263:11	137:8,10 141:1	basics
202:17	awesome	274:8 286:7	159:19 163:11,	87:25
attributes	58:4	295:13	13	basis
150:19	aye	background	bankruptcy	44:12 54:7
audibly	15:19,20 16:3,4	54:7 116:21	88:22	123:10,20 138:3
15:20 16:4	131:22,23	179:14 250:4	baptized	bathroom
131:23 296:3	296:2,3	backing	115:1	172:1 185:7
audience	,	211:17	Bar	bathrooms
293:23 294:20	ayes 15:23 16:7	backs	15:11 25:1	173:16
audit	132:1 296:6	138:16	52:16 70:6	battery
44:2	132:1 290:0	bad	74:16 81:10	37:14,15 39:23
		14:9 56:6,19	82:11 88:7,8	be-all
August 225:20 230:7,22	В	75:15 141:21	101:2,3 121:22	54:16
· · · · · ·	back	144:16	130:1 142:15	
274:4,6	10:18 12:11	Baggett	162:13 165:8	beach
aunt	15:8,15 19:9	130:14	170:20 180:21	144:13,21,22,25
145:1	20:20,25 25:24	bags	barely	145:13 171:19
authority	35:10,11 36:16	185:8	244:21 253:3	243:16 247:6
204:25 205:11,	42:2,21 43:4	bailiff's		bearings
16 213:11,14,	42:2,21 43:4 45:3,21 46:16	195:15	base	249:10,16 250:9
17,22	49:4,13 50:16		141:18	beautiful
automatically	51:14 53:11	bailiwick	based	17:6
292:22	51.14 55.11	122:21	20:8 25:4 39:13	bed
	55.3 56.1 65.16		15 6 51 0 00 1 5	
	55:3 56:1 65:16		45:6 71:3 82:16	185:14 186:11
	55:3 56:1 65:16		45:6 71:3 82:16	185:14 186:11

$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	bedroom	believing	biases	54:20,21 58:3	262:17 280:10
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	171:24 185:6	U	179:21	-	bows
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	bedrooms	beloved	bicycle	blogs	123:25
184:19,24 185:7 bench big 37:16,17 53:14 138:16,19 8:25 11:17 108:14 127:2 22:1 26:13,22 55:23 57:5 board 24:18,19 32:14 184:6 48:24 49:4 66:25 86:18 23:17 25:21 35:11 60:12 begin 52:23 54:25 179:24 224:4, body 69:14 73:24 169:4 241:5 69:13 74:7 80:9 10,13 257:25 208:11 242:9 74:1 92:19 beginning 88:15 92:18 biggest 292:23 96:15,16 101:2 37:25 40:23 105:22 111:11 255:4 173:24 18 119:7 121:2 163:11 202:23 113:22 116:22 Bill bond 129:21 133:24 203:2 253:4 118:31.6,17 135:15 43:6,12,14 70:4 137:9,10 159:1 274:4 122:24 124:16 Bill bond 129:21 133:24 30:5,7 79:15,17 159:18 160:18 birth 80:62 166:17 100:2,4 107:5 167:1 187:22 botk 183:25 184:2 183:25 184:2 20:11 251:19 24:12 243:11 43:4,19 55:10 boots <td>171:25 173:16</td> <td>162:11</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>box</td>	171:25 173:16	162:11	-	-	box
began 8:24 9:19 18:11 37:16,17 53:14 138:16,19 13:20 18:12 108:14 127:2 22:1 26:13,22 55:23 57:5 board 24:18,19 32:14 184:6 48:24 49:4 66:25 86:18 23:17 25:21 35:11 60:12 begin 52:23 54:25 179:24 22:44, 20 208:11 242:9 74:1 92:19 beginning 88:15 92:18 biggest 292:23 96:15,16 101:2 169:2 241:2 105:22 111:11 255:4 173:24 18 19:7 121:2 165:1 1 202:23 113:22 116:22 Bill bomb 111:12 116:17 274:4 122:24 124:16 Billy bone 133:23 137:14 135:19 133:23 137:14 135:19 136:8 boxes 141:1 253:4,8 146:11 150:24 80:21 book 26:15 105:23 163:12,13 100:24 107:5 167:1 187:22 bit 26:15 105:23 183:25 184:2 248:21 111 171:3 197:10 247:11 249:7 43:4,19 55:10 books 199:10 183:25 184:2 250:21 251:19 benefita	184:19,24 185:7	bench	big	blue	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	began	8:24 9:19 18:11	0	138:16,19	13:20 18:12
$\begin{array}{l c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		22:1 26:13,22	55:23 57:5	board	24:18,19 32:14
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	184:6	48:24 49:4	66:25 86:18	23:17 25:21	35:11 60:12
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	begin	52:23 54:25	179:24 224:4,	body	69:14 73:24
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	169:4 241:5	69:13 74:7 80:9	10,13 257:25	•	74:1 92:19
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	beginning		biggest	292:23	96:15,16 101:25
13:23:12:02:23 113:22:116:22 113:24:116:22 113:24:117:24 129:21:133:24 203:2:253:4 118:3,16,17 135:15 bond 13:63:12,14 137:9,10:159:1 274:4 122:24:124:16 Billy 135:15 bone 165:11.20:24 137:9,10:159:1 95:7:244:21 139:14:142:18 Billy 135:19 136:8 141:1 163:12,13 behalf 135:15.54 159:18:160:18 birth book 141:1 boys 100:2,4:107:5 157:18:160:18 166:17 books 183:25 183:24 100:2,4:107:5 167:1:187:22 bit 26:16 005s 248:21 11:17:3:197:10 29:5 51:6 86:15:114:18 115:8 183:25:184:2 129:14.16 benchmark 129:21:1 107:2:23:4 119:10 246:13:280:10 29:5 22:11 bother 19:10 246:13:280:10 29:5 115:3:5,19 115:3:6 119:10 272:8 beneficial 132:17:164:24 107:2:23:4 177:4,20 belief 77:16,20 BLACKLEY- 211:19 <td>23:1 35:24</td> <td></td> <td>50:4 153:8</td> <td>bomb</td> <td>111:12 116:17,</td>	23:1 35:24		50:4 153:8	bomb	111:12 116:17,
10:11:12:02 118:3,16,17 135:15 000d 43:6,12,14 70:4 137:9,10 159:1 274:4 122:24 124:16 133:23 137:14 135:15 43:6,12,14 70:4 163:12,13 begun 133:23 137:14 135:19 136:8 141:1 163:12,13 95:7 244:21 139:14 142:18 birth 80:21 26:15 105:23 183:24 behalf 151:3,5 155:4 birthday 106:23 165:7,21 183:24 boys 100:2,4 107:5 214:12 243:11 43:4,19 55:10 books 183:25 184:2 248:21 11 171:3 197:10 247:11 249:7 86:15 114:18 borows 119:10 bors 246:13 280:10 292:5 123:17 164:24 191:22 203:4 154:2 270:14 brand 270:14,16 beneftical 171:16 36:18 bothered 177:3,5,7 176: 172:28 benefit 77:23 108:4 152:6 153:5,19 232:22 bread 144:19 20:14.12 02 154:2 270:14 177:4,20 177:4,20 beliefs 77:23 108:4 152:6 153:5,19 232:22 177:4,20 177:4,20	37:25 40:23		255:4	173:24	18 119:7 121:23
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	165:11 202:23		Bill	bond	
274:4 122:24 124:16 Billy bone 163:12,13 95:7 244:21 139:14 142:18 135:19 136:8 boxes 95:7 244:21 139:14 142:18 birth 80:21 26:15 105:23 boy 253:4,8 151:3,5 155:4 birthday 106:23 165:7,21 183:24 boys 100:2,4 107:5 214:12 243:11 26:17 books 183:25 184:2 111 171:3 197:10 247:11 249:7 246:15 114:18 115:8 brag 119:10 290:14,16 benchmark 122:23 253:5,16 292:11 botker 119:10 246:13 280:10 225:23 253:5,16 292:11 bother 119:10 250:21 251:19 beneficial 123:17 164:24 107:2 233:4 175:3,5,7 176: 272:8 benefit 77:23 108:4 152:6 153:5,19 232:22 229:15 belief 77:16,20 blame 23:17:16,41 176:9 196:12 177:4,20 164:16,18 77:16,20 blamks 270:21 bothers 292:15 beliefs 77:16,20 blamks 270:21 botead 172:21	203:2 253:4	, ,	135:15		137:9,10 159:19
begun 133:23 137:14 135:19 136:8 boxes 95:7 244:21 139:14 142:18 birth 80:21 26:15 105:23 141:1 253:4,8 146:11 150:24 80:21 26:15 105:23 183:24 boy behalf 151:3,5 155:4 birthday 106:23 165:7,21 boys 183:24 30:5,7 79:15,17 167:1 187:22 214:12 243:11 24:12 243:11 24:12 243:11 24:12 243:11 24:12 243:11 24:12 243:11 24:12 243:11 24:12 243:11 24:12 20:34 115:8 119:10 246:13 280:10 292:5 86:15 114:18 115:8 119:10 brag 250:21 251:19 beneficial 19:22 203:4 154:2 270:14 belabor 17:16 36:18 bother 175:3,5,7 176: 272:8 benefits 36:18 bothered 177:4,20 belief 77:16,20 136:8 270:14 175:3,5,7 176: 164:16,18 77:16,20 279:18 176:9 196:12 172:21 believed 82:8	274:4		Billy	, ,	,
95:7 244:21 139:14 142:18 birth book 141:1 253:4,8 146:11 150:24 80:21 26:15 105:23 boy 30:5,7 79:15,17 159:18 160:18 66:17 books 183:24 100:2,4 107:5 167:1 187:22 bit 26:16 183:25 184:2 11 171:3 197:10 247:11 249:7 43:4,19 55:10 boots 119:10 246:13 280:10 225:5 86:15 114:18 115:8 119:10 290:14,16 beneficial 191:22 203:4 154:2 270:14 belabor 171:16 back 107:2 233:4 Brantley 272:8 benefit BLACKLEY- 211:19 bread belief 77:23 108:4 152:6 153:5,19 232:22 292:15 beliefs benefits 279:18 177:4,20 57:18 176:9 196:12 164:16,18 271:16,20 279:18 176:9 196:12 172:21 beliefs 57:16,20 279:18 176:9 196:12 172:21 164:16,18 279:18 176:9 196:12 172:21 57:3 believed 82:8	begun		135:19		
253:4,8 146:11 150:24 80:21 26:15 105:23 boy behalf 151:3,5 155:4 birthday 106:23 165:7,21 boys 30:5,7 79:15,17 159:18 160:18 66:17 books 183:24 100:2,4 107:5 167:1 187:22 214:12 243:11 43:4,19 55:10 books 183:25 184:2 11 171:3 197:10 247:11 249:7 86:15 114:18 115:8 183:25 184:2 246:13 280:10 292:5 86:15 114:18 115:8 brag 290:14,16 benchmark 191:22 203:4 154:2 brand 250:21 251:19 beneficial 191:22 203:4 154:2 270:14 belabor 171:16 black 107:2 233:4 Brantley 187:15 195:8 benefit 36:18 bothered 211:19 bread beliefs 77:23 108:4 152:6 153:5,19 232:22 bread 292:15 bame blame bottom 176:9 196:12 270:21 177:4,20 164:16,18 279:18 176:9 196:12 279:13 131:21 150:23 131:21 150:23 151:8 203:15 bet	95:7 244:21		birth		141:1
behalf151:5.5 153:4birthday106:23 165:7,21183:2430:5,7 79:15,17167:1 187:2266:17books183:25 184:2100:2,4 107:5214:12 243:11bit26:16183:25 184:211 171:3 197:10247:11 249:786:15 114:18115:8113:8246:13 280:10292:586:15 114:18115:8brag290:14,16benchmark191:22 203:4154:2brand290:14,16beneftical191:22 203:4154:2270:14behavior225:23 253:5,16292:11bother270:14250:21 251:19beneftical107:2 233:4175:3,5,7 176:272:8benefit36:18bother177:4,20belief77:23 108:4152:6 153:5,19232:22292:15187:15 195:8216:25 217:1,11blamebothers292:15beliefs77:16,20blanks270:2190:25 91:3164:16,18279:18176:9 196:12172:21164:16,1882:8Bleecker12:10 133:3131:21 150:23151:8 203:15bet25:22165:7,9262:2,5 263:18210:5 268:1212:11 155:625:22165:7,9262:2,5 263:18151:8 203:1512:11 155:625:22165:7,9262:2,5 263:18151:8 203:1512:11 155:629:7,7929:7,79:19:9:9264:4 293:4210:5 268:1212:11 155:629:7,7929:7,79:19:9:9264:4 293:4216:1810as196:7,929:7,79:19:9:9264:4 293:4	253:4,8		80:21		•
30:5,7 79:15,17 159:18 160:18 66:17 books 183:25 184:2 100:2,4 107:5 214:12 243:11 43:4,19 55:10 86:15 114:18 26:16 183:25 184:2 11 171:3 197:10 247:11 249:7 86:15 114:18 115:8 brag 119:10 246:13 280:10 292:5 123:17 164:24 191:22 203:4 154:2 270:14 290:14,16 benchmark 191:22 203:4 154:2 270:14 Brantley belabor 171:16 36:18 bothered 175:3,5,7 176: 177:4,20 belief 77:23 108:4 152:6 153:5,19 botheres 292:15 bread 34:25 37:1 182:19 196:14 152:6 153:5,19 232:22 bread 270:21 166:18 benefits 279:18 176:9 196:12 172:21 bread 164:16,18 77:16,20 blanks 270:21 90:25 91:3 131:21 150:23 151:8 203:15 bet 25:22 12:10 133:3 131:21 150:23 131:21 150:23 151:8 203:15 bet 25:22 165:7,9 262:2,5 263:18 264:4 293:4 believes	behalf	,	birthday		183:24
100:2,4 107:5107.1 137.22bit214:12 243:11bit26:16183:25 184:2121:2,4 140:9,247:11 249:7247:11 249:743:4,19 55:10boots115:8248:21246:13 280:10292:5292:586:15 114:18115:8115:8119:10290:14,16benchmark225:23 253:5,16292:11bother119:10belabor171:16back292:11bother270:14272:8benefit36:18BLACKLEY-211:19bread34:25 37:1182:19 196:14216:25 217:1,11bothers292:15164:16,1877:16,20bame279:18176:9 196:12292:15beliefs77:16,20blanks270:21bread164:16,1877:16,20Blanks270:21bread151:8 203:15bet25:22165:7,9131:21 150:23151:8 203:15bet25:22165:7,9262:2,5 263:18151:8 203:15bet25:22165:7,9264:4 293:4166:18bias196:7,929:7 79:1 99:9264:4 293:4 </td <td>30:5,7 79:15,17</td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td>boys</td>	30:5,7 79:15,17		•		boys
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	100:2,4 107:5		bit		183:25 184:2
11 171:3 197:10 247:11 249:7 86:15 114:18 115:8 115:8 246:13 280:10 292:5 benchmark 115:8 115:8 119:10 290:14,16 benchmark 225:23 253:5,16 292:11 borrows 154:2 brand 290:14,16 beneficial 123:17 164:24 191:22 203:4 154:2 brand 250:21 251:19 beneficial 191:22 203:4 154:2 bother 270:14 belabor 171:16 back 36:18 bothered 270:14 belief 77:23 108:4 BLACKLEY- 211:19 bothered 177:4,20 beliefs 182:19 196:14 152:6 153:5,19 bothers 292:15 bread 164:16,18 77:16,20 banks 270:21 bread 292:15 balame 279:18 176:9 196:12 270:21 break 151:8 203:15 12:1 155:6 274:24 bought 131:21 150:23 151:8 203:15 12:1 155:6 248:17 25:22 165:7,9 187:24 240:16 166:18 bias 196:7,9 29:7 79:1 99:9 262:2,5	121:2,4 140:9,				248:21
246:13 280:10 290:14,16Denchmark 225:23 253:5,16123:17 164:24 191:22 203:4borrows 154:2119:10behavior 250:21 251:19beneficial 171:16191:22 203:4 292:11borrows 154:2119:10belabor 272:8171:16 benefitblack 36:18107:2 233:4brand 270:14belief 34:25 37:1 187:15 195:877:23 108:4 182:19 196:14BLACKLEY- LOGAN211:19bread 279:18beliefs 164:16,18 242:13Pread Berkeley279:18 279:18bothers 279:18232:22bread 176:9 196:12believed 166:18Berkeley 248:17274:24 196:7,9bought 25:22131:21 150:23 165:7,9131:21 150:23 262:2,5 263:18 264:4 293:4believes 166:18120.10 1010 101 101 7blessed196:7,9297.79:1 99:9 120:10 139:17breaking	11 171:3 197:10		· · ·		brag
290:14,16betterminark 225:23 253:5,16191:22 203:4 292:11154:2brand 270:14behavior 250:21 251:19225:23 253:5,16 beneficial 171:16191:22 203:4 292:11154:2brand 270:14belabor 272:8171:16black 36:18107:2 233:4 107:2 233:4Brantley 107:2 233:4belief 34:25 37:1 187:15 195:877:23 108:4 182:19 196:14 216:25 217:1,111BLACKLEY- LOGAN 152:6 153:5,19bothered 211:19217:4,20beliefs 164:16,18 242:13benefits 77:16,2077:16,20blame 279:18bottom172:21believed 151:8 203:15Berkeley 82:8274:24bought 12:10 133:3131:21 150:23 165:7,9bound 262:2,5 263:18break 264:4 293:4believes 166:18248:17 bias196:7,929:7 79:1 99:9 196:7,9262:2,5 263:18 29:7 79:1 99:9264:4 293:4	246:13 280:10		123:17 164:24		119:10
behavior223.23 23.3,10292:11bother270:14250:21 251:19beneficial171:16black107:2 233:4Brantleybelabor171:1636:18bothered175:3,5,7 176:272:8benefit77:23 108:4BLACKLEY-211:19177:4,20belief77:23 108:4152:6 153:5,19bothers292:15182:19 196:14216:25 217:1,11152:6 153:5,19232:22bread187:15 195:8benefits279:18176:9 196:12292:15beliefs77:16,20blanks270:21break164:16,1877:16,20blanks270:21break242:13Berkeley274:24bought131:21 150:23151:8 203:15bet25:22165:7,9262:2,5 263:18210:5 268:1212:1 155:625:22165:7,9262:2,5 263:18believes248:17196:7,929:7 79:1 99:9264:4 293:4166:18bias196:7,929:7 79:1 99:9264:4 293:4	290:14,16		191:22 203:4		brand
250:21 251:19 benefit black 107:2 233:4 Brantley belabor 171:16 36:18 bothered 175:3,5,7 176: 272:8 77:23 108:4 182:19 196:14 211:19 bread 34:25 37:1 182:19 196:14 152:6 153:5,19 232:22 bread 187:15 195:8 benefits 152:6 153:5,19 232:22 bread beliefs benefits 279:18 176:9 196:12 break 164:16,18 77:16,20 blanks 270:21 break believed 82:8 Bleecker 270:21 break 151:8 203:15 bet 25:22 165:7,9 262:2,5 263:18 1210:5 268:12 12:1 155:6 25:22 165:7,9 262:2,5 263:18 believes 248:17 bleeding 29:7 79:1 99:9 264:4 293:4 166:18 bias 196:7,9 29:7 79:1 99:9 264:4 293:4	behavior	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	292:11		270:14
belabor171.1636:18bothered175:3,5,7 176:272:8benefit77:23 108:4BLACKLEY-211:19177:4,20belief182:19 196:14152:6 153:5,19bothers232:22bread187:15 195:8benefits152:6 153:5,19232:22bread292:15beliefs77:16,20blame279:18176:9 196:12172:21164:16,1877:16,20blanks270:21break242:13Berkeley274:24bought131:21 150:23believed82:8Bleecker12:10 133:3131:21 150:23151:8 203:1512:1 155:625:22165:7,9262:2,5 263:18210:5 268:12248:17bleeding196:7,929:7 79:1 99:9166:18180 10.191.7blessed120:10 139:17breaking	250:21 251:19		black		Brantley
272:8 belief 77:23 108:4 177:4,20 belief 182:19 196:14 1060AN 152:6 153:5,19 bothers 292:15 187:15 195:8 benefits 152:6 153:5,19 bothers 292:15 breadwinner beliefs 164:16,18 77:16,20 blame 279:18 176:9 196:12 break believed 82:8 Berkeley 274:24 bought 131:21 150:23 believed 82:8 Bleecker 12:10 133:3 131:21 150:23 151:8 203:15 12:1 155:6 25:22 165:7,9 262:2,5 263:18 believes 248:17 bleeding 196:7,9 29:7 79:1 99:9 264:4 293:4 believes 190:10 101 101 7 blessed 120:10 139:17 breaking	belabor		36:18		175:3,5,7 176:8
belief17.25 100.4LOGANbothers232:2234:25 37:1182:19 196:14152:6 153:5,19232:22bread187:15 195:8benefits152:6 153:5,19232:22breadwinnerbeliefs77:16,20blame279:18176:9 196:12172:21believed82:8blanks270:21breakbelieved82:8Bleecker12:10 133:3131:21 150:23151:8 203:1512:1 155:6248:17bleeding166:7,9262:2,5 263:18believes248:17196:7,929:7 79:1 99:9264:4 293:4believes1400 10 101 7blessed120:10 139:17breaking	272:8		BLACKLEY-		177:4,20
34:25 37:1 216:25 217:1,11 152:6 153:5,19 232:22 292:15 187:15 195:8 benefits 77:16,20 bame 279:18 176:9 196:12 172:21 164:16,18 77:16,20 Berkeley 274:24 bought 172:21 break believed 82:8 82:8 Bleecker 270:21 90:25 91:3 131:21 150:23 151:8 203:15 bet 12:1 155:6 25:22 165:7,9 187:24 240:16 believes 248:17 bleeding 196:7,9 29:7 79:1 99:9 262:2,5 263:18 166:18 180 10 10 10 17 blessed 120:10 139:17 breaking	belief		LOGAN		bread
187:15 195:8 Droite Droit, 11 blame bottom freadwinner beliefs 77:16,20 blamks 279:18 176:9 196:12 breadwinner 164:16,18 77:16,20 blanks 270:21 break 242:13 Berkeley 274:24 bought 90:25 91:3 believed 82:8 Bleecker 12:10 133:3 131:21 150:23 151:8 203:15 bet 25:22 165:7,9 262:2,5 263:18 210:5 268:12 12:1 155:6 bleeding 29:7 79:1 99:9 264:4 293:4 166:18 bias 196:7,9 29:7 79:1 99:9 120:10 139:17 breaking	34:25 37:1		152:6 153:5,19		292:15
beliefs 279:18 176:9 196:12 172:21 164:16,18 77:16,20 blanks 270:21 break 242:13 Berkeley 274:24 bought 90:25 91:3 believed 82:8 Bleecker 12:10 133:3 131:21 150:23 151:8 203:15 12:1 155:6 25:22 165:7,9 262:2,5 263:18 210:5 268:12 248:17 bleeding 196:7,9 29:7 79:1 99:9 264:4 293:4 166:18 120 10 10 10 17 blessed 120:10 139:17 breaking	187:15 195:8	,	blame		breadwinner
164:16,18 1110,20 blanks 270:21 break 242:13 Berkeley 274:24 bought 90:25 91:3 believed 82:8 Bleecker 12:10 133:3 131:21 150:23 151:8 203:15 12:1 155:6 25:22 165:7,9 187:24 240:16 210:5 268:12 12:1 155:6 bleeding 262:2,5 263:18 believes 248:17 196:7,9 29:7 79:1 99:9 264:4 293:4 166:18 120 10 10 10 17 blessed 120:10 139:17 breaking	beliefs		279:18		172:21
242:13Berkeley274:24bought90:25 91:3believed82:8Bleecker12:10 133:3131:21 150:23151:8 203:1512:1 155:625:22165:7,9262:2,5 263:18210:5 268:1212:1 155:6bleeding262:2,5 263:18believes248:17196:7,929:7 79:1 99:9264:4 293:4166:18120 10 10 10 10 17blessed120:10 139:17breaking	164:16,18	,	blanks		break
believed 82:8 Bleecker 131:21 150:23 151:8 203:15 bet 25:22 12:10 133:3 187:24 240:16 210:5 268:12 12:1 155:6 248:17 bleeding 196:7,9 29:7 79:1 99:9 264:4 293:4 bias blessed 120:10 139:17 breaking	242:13	•			90:25 91:3
151:8 203:15 bet 25:22 12:10153.5 187:24 240:16 210:5 268:12 12:1155:6 248:17 196:7,9 29:7 79:1 99:9 264:4 293:4 166:18 180 10 101 7 blessed 120:10 139:17 breaking	believed			0	131:21 150:23
210:5 268:12 12:1 155:6 believes 248:17 bias 196:7,9 blessed 120:10 139:17	151:8 203:15				187:24 240:16
believes 248:17 196:7,9 Double 29:7 79:1 99:9 264:4 293:4 166:18 120:10 101.7 blessed 120:10 139:17 breaking	210:5 268:12			,	262:2,5 263:18
166:18 bias 29.779.199.9 breaking 120:10:10:17 blessed 120:10:139:17 breaking	believes		0		264:4 293:4
	166:18		, ,		breaking
180:19 181:7 31:18 49:4 120.10 139.17 262:5		180:19 181:7		120:10 139:17	262:5

305

breaks	brought	butt	164:1	102:1 104:17
187:2,3,17	34:10 41:5	52:5	calm	109:15 131:2
breathed	58:16 72:4	button	56:21	143:24 156:18
87:22	138:10,20	116:5	calmness	242:4 295:7
Brett	208:19	Byars	74:11	296:11
193:23 199:14	Bruton	141:15 150:22,		candidly
Brian	14:17	25	cancer 55:15	46:24 80:15
244:7 246:12	Bubba	Byers		126:18
	135:19	135:15 141:15	candidacy 29:12 33:8,21	candor
briefly 23:4 58:11	Buchanan	155.15 141.15	45:25 69:21	54:13 246:11
95:10 136:12	45:3		79:7 93:1 99:15	Canon
160:5 252:2	budget	C	116:21 120:16	279:6,22
254:23	63:21 128:18	Cabaniss	139:23 143:1	canons
	building	66:11 67:10	146:3 290:3	249:6
bright 137:12	27:10,13 30:25	calculated	candidate	
	31:2	188:9	12:17,18 15:17	capable 23:2 24:17
bring	built	calculating	12:17,18 15:17 16:10 24:22	85:21 88:14
24:4 33:22,25	87:17	61:18	29:1,6 30:15,16	113:22 141:17
45:21 62:6		call	65:21 74:8 79:1,	145:18
67:23 101:25 102:9 165:21	bulk	14:8 48:23	25 80:1 90:23,	
232:9 258:14	239:13	50:25 61:17	25 99:9 100:12,	capacity 9:20 10:3 280:2
	bunch	129:8 180:8	13 110:4 120:7,	
bringing 49:3 52:13	31:17 44:2 54:8	200:4 222:4	10 121:12,13,	capital
258:16 285:17	270:16 278:3	238:6 240:13,14	21,24 122:6,10	67:23,24
	burden	266:10,25	131:18 132:5	captioned 230:9
brings 116:20	105:25	called	139:12,17	
	burglary	15:8 24:14	140:19,20	captive
broadened	12:6	28:25 61:13	143:6,7 146:8	249:1
76:2,3 88:19,20	bus	65:16 90:11	157:9,11	car
broke	145:12	96:2 109:22	166:12,18	77:17,25
44:23 262:2,21	business	131:9 156:25	169:25 170:1,15	173:23,24
broken	27:5 28:4,6	178:5 190:3	238:11,13	197:17
143:20 199:24	31:16,23 96:13	191:10 192:8	241:7,16,20,23	card
247:21 282:13,	115:13,18	220:13 222:21	243:6 248:11	213:4
21	136:21 233:20	247:16 273:9	290:24,25	Cardinal
BROOKS	businesses	295:13	295:25	36:18
7:20 8:18,21	28:3 73:20,21	calling	candidate's	care
brother	115:16	21:6 113:11	20:9,10 71:4,5	16:13 43:25
41:1 175:1	bust	149:21 178:1	93:17,18 112:9,	48:2 84:13
brothers	52:5	221:25 222:2	10 135:5,6	97:24 103:22
27:9 31:2,11	busy	calls	candidates	108:6 145:15
53:14	11:3,5	26:10 163:21,24	15:2 65:9 90:5	162:14,16,17,18
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		164:24

cared	36:17 38:11	228:6,21 230:15	24 76:14,19	caused
154:3 161:18	39:4,5 40:11,12	232:12 233:3,8	80:20 81:18,19,	165:14 197:8
career	42:14,23 43:1,	238:20 240:8	20,21 82:1,4,22,	208:17
19:5,19 25:5	15,16 44:18,22	241:10,12	25 85:1 87:3,4,	cautioned
46:23 55:5	45:4 47:7,17	243:1,13 244:5,	8,9,11 89:12,13,	7:21 17:12 68:5
117:3 123:8	48:22,25 50:24	9 245:10,16	15,19 94:11	91:18 110:13
136:5 139:13	52:25 53:8,9,21	246:2,4,15,18,	95:21 96:2	132:21 158:12
146:9	56:25 62:6	22 248:15,18,	97:18,22 101:6,	168:8 240:24
caretakers	81:15 82:8,15,	20,23 249:16,	15 103:9	cautions
103:5	19 83:5 86:24	19,20,21,23,24,	104:18,20 106:5	281:6
caring	88:4 95:8 98:10,	25 250:1,3,6,10	113:18 115:17	celebrated
28:25 163:16	19 101:8,17	251:3 252:3,21	116:2 123:4,10,	66:13,16
Carolina	106:20 107:10	253:2,3,14	23 124:8 126:10	cell
15:11 29:8	116:6,7 123:12	254:17 255:20,	127:19 128:8,9,	98:1
33:23 65:18	124:1,2 125:9	21 257:6,8	10,13 129:19	cent
67:17 68:17	127:23 128:9	261:5 262:8	130:2 136:4,18,	173:9
70:5,13 71:1	129:9 136:23	264:15,17,18	19,24 137:1,4,6,	center
74:24 76:13	138:13,14,18,24	266:11,13,17	24 138:5	21:7 67:22
78:11 79:3,20	139:2 141:15	267:1,2,8,10	143:11,13	centers
81:1 90:14	145:4 147:9,16	268:10,15,19,22	144:21 146:19	272:9,10
91:11 99:11	149:11 151:22,	269:11,14	149:19,21	,
100:7 109:24	23 153:25 155:7	270:15 272:1,	152:1,10,11,13	certainty
112:5,23 113:14	162:23,24	20,23 273:7,9,	153:8,12 161:25	87:7
118:14 120:12	167:1,5 171:10,	13,16,17,18,19,	164:22 214:10,	certification
121:7 131:13	18 172:17	20 274:7,13	11,12 234:8	122:11
135:2 139:19	174:8,13 176:16	275:2 278:3,13,	244:3 245:2,13	certified
140:14 143:14	177:17 178:7,21	20,25 282:24	246:2 251:4	70:9 124:5
157:3 165:8	179:4,8,11,18	283:15 284:18	257:18 269:6,18	137:6
169:24 170:2,22	180:7 181:7,16	285:5 287:3,8,	270:9,14 274:2	chair
179:7,17 180:25	184:2 188:3,20	11,14	caseworkers	119:11
186:25 191:1	190:1,13	case-by-case	143:23,25 145:3	chaired
243:15 245:20	193:16,20 194:3	44:12	cash	142:15
248:5,6 263:15	195:1,15,17	cases	31:19	Chairman
264:6,21 277:18	198:3 202:14,23	19:12 23:9,13,	catch	7:1,9,12,17,23
290:19 295:17	203:7,21 204:8	15,24 24:6	117:2 177:19	8:3,6,9,13,22
Carolina's	208:7 210:14,15	25:23,25 26:11	249:15	9:12 12:15,20
70:18 83:7	211:8,9,17,25	35:6 42:12	Catholic	13:11,15,18
cars	212:1 215:1,6,	43:25 47:13,14,	67:6	14:5,16,19,23
23:15	25 216:2 219:14	16,21,23 48:7	Catone	15:15,18,21,23
case	221:25 223:20	51:13 61:13	49:19	16:1,5,7,16
14:7 22:13 34:5	225:21,22,23	62:8 63:24 70:1,	caught	17:1,7,14,18,21,
35:2,3,4,18	226:10,13,17	11,12 73:18	12:5 43:5 60:17	25 18:9 19:23
	227:13,25	74:20,21 75:1,		20:7 30:16,18,

20 32:8 46:8,10,	17,20 191:3,5,7,	4 212:13,14	90:15 93:13	269:17 271:25
11 51:6 58:12	17,18 193:3	215:2 231:10	94:4,6 110:2	288:13,17
60:3,6 65:2,5,22	199:4 201:5,8,	232:14 233:23	112:5 114:24	289:5,6 292:7,
66:1,14,23 67:2,	22,25 202:8	243:9,13 244:14	117:20 127:3	16,17,19
8,13,21 68:7,12,	206:5,13,15,18	291:23 292:23	128:3 129:1,25	child's
18,21,25 69:11	207:9,16,20,25	293:2	check	199:24 244:24
70:21 71:2 80:1,	208:5,22,25	changed	256:23	288:20
3,6 83:16,18	209:5,6,10,13,	61:6 87:25	check-boxes	children
86:9,10 89:3,4,	18 215:14,16	133:6 146:2	126:12	19:3 21:1,9,13
23 90:1,18,22,	225:4 229:22	273:24	checklists	24:5 33:10 48:9
24 91:2,13,20,	230:4,5,6 234:2,	changing	73:23	71:17,18 72:23
25 92:3,7,16	5,21,24 235:5,	144:5	checks	78:11,13 81:20
93:7,15 100:13,	11,19,24 236:5,	chaos	9:4 18:16 69:18	82:16,18,20,23
15 101:21,23	17,21,25	246:10	92:23 111:16	83:4,6,8 85:15,
102:16,17 105:4	237:10,21,25	character	134:3 159:23	21 96:1,7 103:3,
107:14,15,16	238:6,14 239:1,	28:19 78:18	cheered	5,6,8,17,21
108:23,25	3,4 240:10,12,	99:3 120:1	295:1	104:8,12 105:17
109:11 110:1,5,	13,15,18 241:1	139:9 166:7	chief	108:8 116:1
9,15,19,22	251:24 254:21	180:12 243:8	19:16 152:25	128:4,15 129:3
111:1,9,24	265:25 266:1,2	charge	19:10 132:23	134:16 138:11,
112:2,7 121:13,	269:1 270:18,19	34:19 36:3,4,19,	215:16 218:2	23 143:13
15,17 125:13,15	271:5,6,7 272:6	20 37:9,11,24	232:25 234:9,	144:16,17 145:2
129:12,16	273:18 274:17	39:3 42:3 53:2	10,17,24,25	155:19,21
130:11,15,18,	275:5 285:12,	149:20 242:6	235:13	168:22 169:21
20,23 131:15,	15,16 286:13,16	265:15,17,20	child	170:2,8 171:20,
19,24 132:1,4,	287:23,24	charged	20:23 48:1,2	25 172:2,10
11,16,23 133:2,	289:11,24	10:19 41:7,10,	61:8 73:15,17	173:4,6,10,12,
4,5,7,8,12,21	290:25 291:2	17 71:19 85:17	80:24 85:18,20	15 174:12,17,22
134:21 135:3	293:18,21	86:2 117:6	94:9 95:3,17,18	178:12 179:3
140:20,22,24	295:24 296:1,4,	242:3	96:11 97:9,11,	180:23,24
142:8,9,20,21	6,9	charges	16 101:15	181:20 183:11
145:23 152:4	challenge	35:7,8 36:2	132:10 134:18	184:19 185:8,
153:20 154:17,	117:25 118:1,23	39:13 207:14,	145:6,19 161:19	11,12 186:3,8,
20 156:3,12	171:13 222:9	16,24	172:19 173:7	10,21,22,25
157:6,11,16,20,	chambers	Charleston	188:9 195:20	188:13 193:1
22 158:5,8,14,	187:17,19,24	19:8,10 20:5	196:7 197:16	197:1 205:1,5,7
18,20,23 159:2,	chance	21:5,7,21 32:25	219:10,20,24	213:11 231:10,
14,16 160:11,15	66:21 148:25	42:16 43:22	222:24,25	24 243:3,10
167:12,15,17,25	237:13,14	44:3,14 46:20,	223:9,20,24	244:1 249:2
168:10,19	change	21 66:7,11	231:9 243:14,	271:25 272:3
181:12 182:18	40:8,10,25	69:25 71:1 77:3	18,22 244:13,25	children's
189:7,8,11,14,	68:16 143:17	81:11 82:8	246:24 247:2,5	77:3 161:11
17,21 190:12,	158:20 205:2,3,	01.11 02.0		263:15 265:9

308

China	231:10 244:13	245:25	22,24 220:12,15	29:7 30:10 79:2,
67:6	245:15 280:20,	clarification	246:16	20 99:10 100:7
choice	22	250:5	client's	120:11 121:7
243:8	circumvented	clarify	45:8 246:18	139:18 140:14
choir	215:7	209:14 225:21	clients	245:20 248:6,7
119:11	circus	285:2	22:3 96:20	263:3,16 265:9
choose	250:22	clarity	97:13,24 98:1,5,	290:19
167:20 220:16,	circus-type	149:2 163:21	6,7 101:14	coercion
17	247:13	classified	103:2 107:6	246:21 247:7
chose	Citadel	89:7	119:4 165:19	250:13
40:18 245:3,13	134:17	CLE	280:10	cohort
chosen	cite	13:6 142:16	Cliff	216:11
170:1 279:13	275:13	clean	171:9	cold
Chris	cited	28:3	clock	294:25
221:1 225:10	195:6	cleaning	151:19	colleague
Christmas	citing	75:15	close	91:10
41:1	275:7	clear	57:4 154:11	colleagues
Chuck	Citizen's	178:3 184:8	170:17 226:14	98:20 142:24
94:5	121:22,25	206:5 212:4	closed	collect
church	citizens	230:21,25 234:3	173:19 247:23	175:8
70:15 77:7	28:17 51:2 60:9	266:4,9 267:18	closely	College
80:11,13 119:9,	78:16 98:24	272:5 277:14	129:2	134:20
12,15	109:2,4 119:24	279:19	closer	Columbia
circles	139:5 146:8	clerk	186:13	19:9 49:19
51:25	160:7,8,9,10	44:16 147:2	closing	169:14 173:3
circuit	166:1,2 170:22	152:7 153:17	77:10 185:20,23	177:4 181:21
9:18 13:1 14:6	242:5	226:11	186:6,7 204:12,	197:20
19:16 20:3,6,23	city	clerk's	14,16,17	combination
53:23 54:18	20:3 70:24	162:7,25	co-	74:11
66:8 70:24 71:1	93:11 112:4	clerked	288:13	comfortable
93:11,14 112:4,	134:18,25	21:20	co-owned	23:18 62:12
6,25 122:17	civil	clerks	27:6	162:22 167:20
130:13 134:25	19:7 21:18	153:1	co-parenting	193:6 220:20
135:2 169:25	22:23 25:6,17,	CLES	289:4	248:14,18
170:11 233:1	20,21 26:5,6,11,	62:16,17,19	co-sign	commend
292:3	25 27:2 47:15	63:10,13,18	31:11	51:2 60:8,14
circumstance	56:15 76:6	64:3,8 72:25	co-signer	80:10,13 108:5
35:7 243:13	124:14 293:24	84:19,21 165:9	27:17	141:1 154:24
circumstances	civility	client	cocaine	155:23 163:8
74:10 161:2	248:3	74:24 75:10,13	115:5	commended
194:4 195:5	claim	86:18 115:4	code	152:21 204:8
203:19,20	74:25 123:24	138:12 148:20,		

	i	I		1
comment	11,23 92:11,14,	77:4 78:16	compared	273:22 274:1
11:23 12:22	17 93:3 96:14	98:24 99:1,5	83:9	284:25 291:3
13:12 33:1,3,19	99:14 100:7	109:2,4 119:24	compassion	293:2
34:4 51:1 52:19,	103:3 109:15	120:5 121:22,25	25:8 170:23	complaints
24 54:6,16	110:17 111:5,8,	139:5,7,11	292:11	153:8 170:15
59:14 81:10	10,21 116:16	146:8 153:1,6,7	compassionate	173:19 193:21
104:17 107:18	120:15 131:2	166:1,2,5,9,18	74:6 163:17	236:19 240:9
108:6 109:1	133:2,16,19,22	241:6,24 242:1,	compatible	244:2 260:16
129:15,19	134:8 137:8	3,11,19 249:11	247:10	291:18 294:9
137:16 162:15	139:22 143:2,3	287:17 291:7	competence	complete
166:21 211:16	156:18 158:16	committee's	170:9,24	277:1
238:23	159:7,10,17	241:5,20 249:3	competency	completed
commentary	160:3,5 161:9	283:7	166:16	152:2 161:4
258:10	163:11 169:11,	committees		197:21
comments	23 178:23	161:14	competent 169:25 187:21	completely
11:19,25 12:23	181:10,13	committing	249:5	22:5 35:25 86:6
24:19,21 25:9,	186:21 201:6	23:25		152:7
10,15 26:2	202:5,9 203:11	common	competition	completion
35:11 73:25	204:22 206:3	14:1,3 70:2	108:16	116:8 238:12
74:2,13,14	238:1,5,8,9,10,	74:22 75:20	complain	complex
80:14 81:7,9	11,21 249:12	76:12,15,18	273:3 287:22	23:5 73:4 87:3
88:7 96:15,17	287:17 288:2	98:10 207:3	293:3	95:11,16 105:8
97:5,6,21	289:10 290:2	270:9	complainant	113:18 114:19
100:24 101:24	294:24 295:6,19	communicate	229:23	116:7,10 117:5,
109:3,8 116:18,	Commission's	98:2 177:9	Complainant's	16 123:11
19 117:9,13	15:1 30:10 65:8	197:15	182:20	136:13,19 137:1
137:9,11,15	79:20 90:4	communication	complainants	206:20
141:23 142:24	109:14 121:7	49:11 50:20	167:13,14,21	
143:9 152:21	131:1 140:14		169:3 238:16	complexity 115:15
163:12,14,18,19	156:17 182:19	community	294:2	
267:4 293:19	290:19 295:6	19:21 20:21	complained	compliance
commercial	commitment	21:2,7,10,13,15 22:2 24:24	291:9,20,21	9:2 18:14 69:16
56:15	74:12	26:22 36:7 44:9	292:7	92:21 111:14
commission	commitments	53:10,11 56:1	complaining	134:1 159:21
7:25 8:6,17,20,	44:6	70:17 85:18,20	200:6,7	complicated
23 9:9,10 11:16	committed	94:2,3 161:22,	complains	147:9,17
15:2 16:18	45:6 80:12	23 166:10	292:22	221:15,17,19
17:16 18:4,7,10,	257:14 263:12		complaint	222:1
21,25 24:18	276:2	company	172:6 181:6	complications
29:11 55:2 65:9	committee	139:1	229:25 239:7,	248:22
66:3 68:10 69:4,	28:17,21,24	comparative	10,16,17 259:13	compliment
7,23 73:24 77:4	29:16 51:3 60:9	96:11	260:2,20,24	146:5
79:6 90:5 91:7,	69:12 70:16		272:9,10,17	
			'	1

complimentary	concludes	confusing	constipation	9,13 251:3
141:10	65:6	57:3	208:17	274:2,8
compliments	conditions	congratulate	constituent	contention
156:9	245:16 248:16	60:8 109:8	169:19	259:11 261:11
complying	condolences	connected	constituents	contentious
210:24	286:20 287:25	249:20 250:6	170:22	172:14 176:15
concentrated	condone	connection	Constitution	206:21 221:15
136:17	52:9	144:24	38:9	contested
concern	conduct	consent	constitutional	23:5 73:4,9
25:10 26:2	13:25 29:7 79:2	20:10 71:5	28:22 35:21	95:11,14,24
51:16 57:5 63:2	99:10 119:11	93:18 112:10	38:3 41:19 42:2,	114:19 115:2
74:17 97:8,20,	120:11 139:18	135:6 212:1	9 78:20 98:25	116:5 117:16
23 137:16,18	242:23 248:7,8	consented	120:3 135:7	124:2 128:9
155:9 163:22,23	275:24 280:2	244:1 253:24	166:3	136:13 149:23
164:15 165:3	294:18	consequences	consult	228:20
188:4 197:19	conducted	57:16 248:20	213:19 246:16,	contesting
210:20 216:8	76:23	conservators	19	185:5
224:25 228:17	conducting	76:1	consumer	continually
251:18,20 273:1	152:18 293:24	considerable	88:21	104:5
concerned	conference	120:6 122:4	contact	continuance
37:1 169:20	63:19 161:14	considerate	30:4 52:16 54:2	178:16 203:21,
170:5 224:14	176:19,23	94:20	79:14 98:6	23 209:25 210:6
234:11 237:7	177:11,12	consideration	100:1 121:1	211:3 216:15
concerns	confident	93:6 95:17	140:8 290:13	217:5 218:1
11:22 12:16	24:16 162:22	96:12 164:8	contacted	220:24 221:10,
25:9 30:14	181:1	238:12	29:11,19 49:19	11 226:7,22,24
74:13,14 79:24	confidential	considered	79:6 99:14	234:18 235:9,12
97:6 100:11	283:22	107:8 118:21	120:15 139:22	236:4
117:10 121:11	confirmed	270:17 287:2,4	259:19 290:2	continuances
140:18 148:16	232:25	consisted	contacting	233:3,19 235:4
163:19 165:6,14	conflict	241:21	29:21 99:18	continue
206:19 234:15	116:2 123:11		120:19 140:1	9:19 101:16,17
242:12 250:14,	conflicting	consistency	162:22 248:14	108:21 113:9,10
20 272:22,24,25	246:23	163:21	290:6	124:17 151:22
285:20 290:23		consistent	contained	160:9,18,20
conclude	conflicts 9:5 18:17 69:19	185:18 186:4,17	20:8 24:20 71:3	177:25 180:19
14:24 84:16	92:24 111:17	consistently	74:1 93:16	181:3 187:10
90:2 91:3	134:4 159:24	187:5	96:16 112:8	216:2 226:6
109:12 130:24		conspiracy	116:18 135:4	257:8,13 275:13
156:14 295:3	confused	138:20	137:10 163:13	283:8 295:22
concluded	32:6 204:22	constantly	contempt	continued
172:23	225:16	98:6 103:19	50:17 245:2,5,8,	13:8 87:2,4

153:18 178:21	243:23	11 273:15	counties	33:15 34:16
189:23 194:3	cope	274:12 277:24	42:15 106:17	35:19 36:9,16,
245:8,18 257:5	119:4	282:16	country	21 38:8,10 39:2,
261:23	copies	correction	24:3 78:16	3,12,22,24 40:1,
continuing	32:4 168:13,14	278:17	98:24 119:24	2,4 41:7,15,17,
46:17 234:8	сору	correctly	county	22 42:3,9,10
245:9 280:23	201:12 225:15	45:7 221:1	21:5,21 42:16	43:11 46:4,17,
continuous	289:15	267:22	43:14,22 44:3,	20 48:18,19
215:8	corporations	council	14 46:20 58:20	49:23,24 50:17,
continuously	73:22	142:15,16	59:8,9 82:8	23 51:23 54:15,
180:22 187:16	correct	counsel	113:6 117:20	18,25 55:5,17,
contract	8:1,7 52:10	9:13 19:24 40:6	127:3 129:2,25	18 56:15,17,21
136:5 137:2,21,	60:21 64:3	43:23 70:5,8,22	136:23 138:2	61:1,15,22,24
25 138:1,3	68:12,13 89:17	74:25 82:11	145:1,9 153:23	62:4,15 63:8,14,
154:22 155:2	123:8 126:20,21	91:11 93:8	155:5,15 176:2,	15,16,17,24
contracts	133:3,4 146:17	111:25 116:25	6 177:2 193:18	64:10 70:1,2,3,
42:17 123:6	149:7 158:18	126:19 134:22	196:3 205:12	9,13,14 71:10,
contradictions	167:3 170:18	160:12 171:13,	214:6,8,13	14,24 72:2,13,
172:25	181:22 182:2,8,	15 178:17,22	226:10	16,25 73:4,6,8,
	21 183:5	179:5 190:16,19	couple	15 74:16,19,21,
contrary	184:12,20 188:8	192:6 194:2	12:4 18:24	22 75:4,12,18,
52:7	189:23,24 191:6	200:12 202:4	23:10 29:3 32:3,	19,21 76:4,9,16,
control	193:25 195:24	210:1 216:25	14 43:25 51:11	20,22,25 78:3
275:2	199:25 200:10	217:2,11 218:5	60:7 62:15 87:8,	79:3 80:9,15,18,
controversy	203:13,14 204:3	238:9 245:7	9 121:20 122:13	20,22,23,25
179:24 183:19	215:17,18 217:2	256:12	143:17 144:6	81:1,2,4,6,11,
conversation	218:18,19	counsel's	154:18 219:6	14,16,23 82:14,
166:17 219:6	224:22 225:24	157:25 188:17	230:1 234:12	22 83:24 84:1,6,
converse	227:11 229:7,11	counseling	coupled	16,23 86:17,23
187:23	231:16 236:10	34:20 37:20	94:14	87:3,5,14,19,20
convey	250:14 252:5,	53:9	Courier	88:7,10,23,24
210:13	13,17,18 254:8,	counselor	118:9	89:8 93:23 94:1,
convicted	9,17,18 255:8,	246:13 251:11	court	11,12,16,20,25
34:6 35:13 37:2,	15,16,19,23	counselor's	9:18 10:11	95:7,11,13,15
5 38:12 180:10	256:12,13	245:25	11:13 19:6,18	96:24 97:1,2,7,
convoluted	258:6,11 260:9,		20:15,18,24	15,18 98:10
57:2	10,19 261:19	counselors	21:5,11,18,21,	99:11 100:23
cool	262:15,18,19	72:20	24,25 22:4,5,8,	102:5,20,23
117:6 119:6	264:9,19 265:13	count	21,23,25,7,9	104:24 105:14
coordinate	266:12,14,15,	80:4 195:4	24:12 25:11,16,	106:14,23
269:23	17,18,20,21	counted	18 26:3,5,19,22	112:15,25
coordinated	267:5 268:4,24	214:4	29:8 32:19	113:22 114:1,
	269:15 271:10,			10,20,21 115:3
	Í			

116:8,9,21	241:14 242:16	113:16 247:24	criminal	curiosity
117:2,21,25	243:25 245:19	cousin	19:14 21:19	130:11
118:2,3,5	246:9 247:1,22	27:11,15 31:3	22:24 25:6	curious
120:12 122:15,	248:5 249:7	cousin's	26:24 27:2	209:22
17,18,19,23,24,	250:1,2,11,13	31:5	51:24 55:11	current
25 124:9,11,16,	264:4 267:3,4,	cover	104:24 117:11	10:25
20 125:18,21	21 268:3,5,7	180:11	138:24 180:11	custodial
126:9,20,23	275:11 277:18	covered	189:2 207:14,	115:23
128:13 129:24	280:3 285:4	126:13	16,24 208:3	custody
130:2,3,13	292:1,3,4,10,12	covering	210:15	61:8 64:12
135:11,14,22,25	courteous	172:9	crisis	80:23 82:17
136:3,13,15,17	163:7 279:24	CPAS	75:11	83:9 95:18,24
137:3,7,14,24	courtesy	73:11,14	criteria	96:8 104:14
138:5,8 139:13,	107:5	cracks	8:25 15:1 18:12	105:8 113:19
19 142:1,16	courthouse	49:3	28:18,22 65:9	116:2,6 123:12
143:7 145:20	129:20		69:14 78:17,19	124:2 126:15
146:10 147:19	courtroom	craft	90:4 92:19	128:9 137:5
149:18 151:7,	13:4,5,6 94:11	154:10	98:25 99:2	145:2 146:22
11,13,18 152:7,	105:16 127:1,17	CRAWFORD	109:14 111:12	164:11 172:18
17,18,25	129:20 147:7	7:7 9:14,16	119:25 120:3	173:11 175:4
160:18,19	151:8,16 154:3	12:15 238:4	131:1 133:24	176:16 178:11
161:15 162:3,4,	163:8 169:10	crazy	139:6,8 156:17	180:5 181:20
11 166:15,19	178:13,14 179:5	21:23 23:9 35:6	159:19 166:3,6,	193:1 198:16
167:4,8 169:10	183:23 191:11,	44:1 56:23	13 181:14	204:19,23
170:11 178:3,6	14 194:9,21	create	249:13 295:6	205:2,3,4,14
180:7 181:8	246:10 247:8,13	21:6 104:6	critical	208:21 212:6,8,
182:23 183:9	248:16 250:21	243:24	166:14	14,18 213:8
184:13,23	251:22 257:25	created	criticized	215:1,2,3 231:7,
185:20 186:5,7	258:8 259:11	49:20 103:17	141:20	8,20,21 232:1,
188:22 190:7,10	260:19 261:12,	creating	cross	15 233:22
191:10 193:10	19 265:9,15,17,	84:14	208:9	243:16 244:10,
194:22 195:9,12	21,22 267:12	creature	crossover	14 245:10,12,14
197:5,11	273:3 274:19,25	123:18	48:11	287:12,13,14
199:14,18 204:3	275:14,18	credit		customer
209:23 211:25	276:12 278:5,8	41:22,23 70:5	crush 146:19	173:23,25
215:6,9,17	281:4,17 283:24	74:24 75:5,7		cut
216:9,12,14,15,	291:22 292:16	crime	culmination	43:20 55:16
22 217:1,23,25	294:18	105:1 180:10	154:10	45.20 55.10 75:18
218:1 220:11,25	courtrooms	195:23 263:13	cure	
230:13 231:8,23	280:25	276:2	180:16 278:11	cute 272:18
232:10,11,18	courts		282:9,14	
234:3,6,10,22	76:18 103:8,10	crimes 33:13	curfews	cutting
240:18,19	/0.10/103.0,10	33.13	84:9	280:8 293:13
	1	1	1	'

cycles	dating	189:1 190:2	22 185:1,2,17,	208:12 209:24
10:4	108:14 190:4	192:15,16,21,	23 186:4 187:1	249:1 272:13
Cynthia	daughter	22,23 205:23	188:1 189:6	287:3,11,14
167:13 240:23	66:22 67:4	215:6,8 225:22	201:11,15,18,22	decision-
285:23	77:22 168:24	226:3 227:6	236:8,12,17	213:13,21
	176:4	228:13 231:1	240:12 249:9,	decision-making
D	daunting	234:8 270:3	19,24 250:4,8,	204:25 205:11,
	169:7	days'	16,19 251:13,18	15 213:11,17
dad	day	233:21	285:16 286:5,9,	decisions
47:25 48:2	28:8 43:14 59:8	DDSN	13 289:14,17,	46:6 55:25 56:2
172:1,19 175:9	67:25 77:19,24	49:7	22,25 290:22	163:24 167:4
176:8 177:8	84:23 88:3,15,	dead	dearly	180:22 181:8
185:7 196:11	16 115:4 119:16	43:17 64:17	188:12	188:2 204:25
Dad's	122:24 124:22	deadline	death	declaration
177:7 197:23	135:22 147:6	238:7	138:20	73:7 188:6
daily	148:22 149:17,	deadlines	Debra	declarations
123:20	20 150:7,10,17	129:6	174:6,8 175:25	73:12
dangerous	152:2 153:16	deal	203:15 219:7	decorum
85:22	163:4 164:13	31:16 52:4	debts	152:14 250:12
dare	169:8 170:15	96:10 101:3	115:10	251:22 273:3
146:2	187:20 190:15	103:24 115:14	decade	275:13 276:14,
darned	194:10 200:20	123:21 153:3	115:7	15 279:23
125:5	206:20 225:20	164:10 216:7	December	281:17,19 285:7
data	226:10,17,20	293:6	178:4 181:19	291:21
8:17 18:4 46:22	229:15 230:20	dealing	190:1,2 209:16	decree
68:8 69:4,9 77:1	234:7 253:16	84:1 85:15 86:4	220:4 230:24,25	230:14
91:21 92:11	281:4	95:25 97:1	decide	dedicated
102:22 110:16	day's	119:3 195:10	141:15 213:20	94:8 99:6 109:6
111:5 125:19	152:2	232:23	232:19 277:7	dedication
132:24 133:16	daycare	deals	decided	25:5
158:15 159:7,12	172:21 213:11	280:1	16:9 154:15	Dee
date	days	dealt	222:9 245:11	139:4 146:7
30:1 44:16	10:22 40:19,20	95:16 123:9	247:2 251:6	deem
64:24 76:7	41:14,21 42:11,	137:20 138:4	decides	248:10
79:11 99:23	12,24 45:1 46:3	167:2 188:19	279:10	deemed
120:24 140:6	63:17 82:15,19	Dean	deciding	116:7 197:22
219:15,16	85:24 114:8	160:15,16	209:24 213:5,17	241:25
227:8,9 228:4	127:18 138:15	166:1,20 167:12	decision	
290:11	144:3 147:13	181:4,12,18,24	59:1,5,10 106:4	deep 210:19
dates	163:3 164:14	182:4,9,15,18,	146:21 163:25	
229:11 230:6	167:6 175:10	23 183:3,7	180:22 205:13	deeply
	188:18,19,24	184:9,13,15,17,	206:12,16	97:24 170:5

defamation	delinquents	depositions	determination	difficult
27:6,24 28:1	84:1	115:2 198:4,7	75:23 171:17	74:10 77:11
defendant	delivery	Deputy	177:13 178:9	78:5 85:10,15,
27:4 38:3 41:11	75:1	91:10	192:5 204:24	24 105:14
82:14 95:21	demand	derived	determine	124:19 151:23
104:21 127:23,	170:23	234:21	183:18 184:4	169:22 202:25
24 230:11	demanded	describe	205:7	221:20 274:19
defendants	246:15,17	23:4 73:3 95:10	determined	276:20 295:20
43:13 117:12	demanding	114:19 136:12	241:13	difficulties
128:8 136:7	119:3 169:6	250:19	developed	78:7 85:13,14
defender	demands	deserve	151:1 153:24	dig
33:6,20 114:5	119:5	13:6 249:2	development	51:14 146:6
190:4	demeanor	deserved	77:2 154:5,6,7	dignified
defender's	11:20 13:22	60:10	devoted	279:24 294:21
40:7 49:6	94:19 99:6	deserving	19:1,5,19 55:5	diligence
defenders	109:6 164:1	15:5 25:4 65:12	diagnosed	97:21,23 112:22
39:17 45:25	165:13 193:9	90:8 109:18	175:2 179:25	241:5
53:23 64:21	denied	131:5 156:21	180:1 223:24	diligent
defense	203:10 207:7	295:10	diagnoses	163:16
39:17 40:6	244:18 250:24	desire	183:20	diligently
43:23 66:12	252:10	113:7	diagnosis	279:8
91:12 103:4	dentists	detail	183:8 205:22	dime
177:7	136:20	125:21	224:15	173:7
deference	denying	detailed	dialog	direct
207:5	34:5	102:24	184:11	83:23
defined	departed	details	dice	directed
88:9	86:16	268:7 279:17	124:23	57:14 267:7
degree	department	detainable	dictates	direction
37:15 39:23	49:6,7 72:23	41:10	57:7	113:12,13
42:2 123:6	82:17 83:11	detained	died	127:11 197:6
delay	84:7,25 113:4	40:21 41:14,18,	160:25 248:22	directly
7:14	123:3 128:18,19	19,21 42:3	differ	37:8 62:22
delayed	155:9	43:10,15,16	267:11	123:14 152:10
91:4	depend	48:16	difference	director
deliberations	36:1 106:20	detainer	20:20 35:20	70:16 91:10
15:5 65:13 90:8	depending	41:11		143:16 144:5
109:19 131:6	52:21 57:22	detention	36:7 37:16,17, 21 39:11 43:7	155:11
156:22 295:10	85:16	40:12 42:17	55:23 114:14	disagree
delighted	depends	40.12 42.17 44:25 50:14	144:20	12:3 186:19,25
108:7 154:14	35:4,16 38:15	deter	differences	disagreed
delinquency	39:9 86:1,2	294:7	36:6 254:12,16	109:5 284:10
21:3 95:7	223:2,4	274.1	50.0 234.12,10	107.5 207.10
	223.2, T			
	I	l	I	I

disagreeing	240:3	diverse	200:13,14	Dorchester
228:15	disorders	87:15,18	226:1,5 227:19	58:20 82:8
disagreement	182:14	diversion	228:1,13 235:15	226:9
284:11 294:18	displayed	72:19 84:6	245:3,4 248:15	doubled
disappointed	170:10	dividing	251:3 252:11	196:9 197:17
66:24 148:23	disposed	136:25	docketing	doubt
disaster	227:13	divine	152:25	38:18 53:1
233:4	disposition	193:9	dockets	196:14 248:23
discarded	27:7 98:11	division	43:2 49:14	Doug's
242:8	138:9	24:12 36:13	127:4	177:15
discern	dispute	75:23 96:12	doctor	Douglas
230:6	27:5	136:18 137:5	138:10,17	230:11
Disciplinary	disputes	divorce	175:24 224:12	downtown
70:8 82:10	76:19	73:8 80:24	doctors	27:10
discipline	disqualification	126:15 172:4,	136:19	DR-23-0184
248:9	191:12 206:6	13,15,23 176:16	document	286:1
discovery	217:6	204:23 212:7,	73:8 198:24	dramatic
62:11 198:4,8	disqualified	21,22 230:14	201:11 285:17	124:13
210:24	178:20 190:18	241:10 244:9,11	289:14	drew
discretion	191:23 209:4	divorce/child	documents	14:5 62:10
200:4 203:24	228:20,22	64:12	7:25 17:16 68:9	drink
233:2	234:14	divorced	73:11 91:22	119:18
discuss	disqualify	102:11	110:17 132:25	drive-by
23:6 73:5 95:12	53:6 209:15	divorces	147:12 148:12	44:19
114:20 136:14	disqualifying	23:19 61:9	158:16 159:3	driven
discussing	177:15,24	71:16 96:6,8	188:25 192:14	47:6,11 126:11
105:7 184:19	195:17	137:5	194:25 230:22	160:21
244:5	disruption	DJJ	dollar	drug
discussion	173:21	45:6 48:12	31:24 115:11	13:12 21:4
55:8 60:24	disservice	72:19 84:10	dollars	33:15 75:23
105:13 184:23	57:25	95:3,4 114:4,9	188:13	96:5
195:5 208:16	dissimilar	117:12 153:2	domestic	drug-addicted
211:4 229:14	244:4	182:12	20:22 26:9,11	244:6
discussions	distributed	docket	55:6 57:6 61:8,	drugging
155:2	168:14	22:13 42:25	17 62:1,19 63:3,	24:1
dismissal	distribution	43:1,2,3,24	7 64:9,23 71:20,	drugs
250:24	23:21 24:9,14	44:16,24 48:18	21 101:4	12:9,10 119:19
dismissed	49:21 167:2	124:14 127:17	door	DSM5
27:20,21,23	district	129:25 149:17,	50:16 58:25	182:14
28:1 167:9	113:6 138:8	19,20 152:1	77:21 292:6	DSS
disobey	171:22	153:7,10 178:3, 4 190:7 193:21	doors	47:16,17 48:12,
		4 190:7 193:21	247:23	,,,

15,18,19 49:18,	171:11 178:15	245:3,8,11,13,		161:2,22 162:17
20,23,25 50:3,	179:12 180:4	23 246:3,14,20,	E	165:2
21,22 61:2	181:6,25	21,22 247:14		effectively
82:12 83:8	184:11,18	248:1,23 249:4	e-mail	103:24 146:12
103:12,15 104:6	185:12 186:23	251:14 252:20	29:14 40:5,6	effort
113:17 116:7	187:2 188:5,15	254:7 261:12,	earlier	243:23
123:11,13,14,	200:16 201:9,23	18,24 262:1,2,	86:13 128:20	ego
16,21,24 124:2	202:1,3,7	21 264:4,9,12,	182:25 189:19,	56:2
128:1,5,8,10	206:10,14,17	19 265:13,19	22 204:12	eighth
136:5 137:2,3,	207:8,10,18,22	267:7 268:14	260:16	67:5
20,21,22,25	208:1,19,24	277:8 286:14,	early	elaborate
138:1,11,13,19,	209:5,8 210:2,8,	16,19 289:8,12,	7:13 123:24	125:25 127:20
25 149:16,25	11,16,21 211:7,	16,19,21 290:1	127:21 135:17	125.25 127.20
150:4 152:10	13,18,22 212:7,	293:16,21	145:14 151:1	
153:2 154:21	11,14,16,18,25	295:18	164:2 174:21	elderly 75:25
155:2 173:18	213:3,6 214:2,4,	Dunbar's	earned	
214:7,11	16,19,22,25	179:21 188:2	143:5	elected
due	215:18,22	242:13 245:17	easier	9:25 26:9 59:4
166:16 172:13,	216:4,18	247:12,20	149:22 293:25	126:23 145:20
20,24 174:3,10	217:13,22	248:12	easy	171:2,4 291:16
177:5 180:3,6	218:3,6,9,12,19	Dunham	164:1 294:1,2	294:7
194:10 202:10	219:1,4,8,13,17	244:12 246:14,	eat	electing
217:5	220:2,9,22	17 258:7 261:21	87:21	171:1 180:15
dues	221:2,6,9,16,23	268:12 269:10,	echo	election
141:12 142:2	222:4,7,12,15,	19 270:4,14,22	13:19 129:21	9:7 18:19
duly	22 223:2,7,12,	271:4,13,14	142:23	111:19 134:6
7:21 17:11	14,18,22 224:1,	274:21 280:21,	economic	160:1
48:12 68:4	10,17,20,23,25	23	9:4 18:16 69:18	electronic
91:17 95:5	225:6,7,12,25	duties	92:23 111:16	42:17
110:12 132:20	226:4,12,15,21,	279:7 294:6	134:3 159:23	elementary
158:11 168:7	25 227:3,8,11,	duty		173:12
240:23	14,17,20 228:2,	21:14 33:12	educated 61:23	eleven
Dunbar	5,10,12,16,25	39:12 101:25		98:3 153:9
157:12,13,15,	229:5,12,17,19,	duty-bound	education	eloquently
18,19,21 158:4,	25 230:3 231:2,	291:23	130:4 244:24	170:5
7,11,17,19,22	6,12,16,18,22	dying	effect	embarrass
159:1,5,8,11,13,	232:3,6,8 233:7,	138:22	50:18 61:9	165:19
14,15 160:4,14,	10,14,18 234:4,	dynamic	124:13 177:5	embarrassing
17 161:3 162:1	19,23,25 235:6,	39:14	188:19	241:17
163:11 165:3,25	14,22,25 236:8,		effective	emergency
166:2,11	11,14,16,19		20:17 71:12,24	195:11 203:9
167:15,17,24	237:3,4 241:6,		93:25 112:17	219:14
169:16 170:4,10	21,25 242:16		135:13 148:19	

67:5 285:15150:7,10 152:2enjoyingErnieevacuationsemotional153:16 164:987:18134:9 137:12174:1971:15 75:19189:25 194:4enlightening154:2 156:4,9evaluated119:3 170:7204:11 244:22171:16Ernie's85:23 86:4emotionally253:5 288:9enormous153:22evaluation117:6 288:21end-all75:14erroneous40:14,21 41emotionally-54:16ensure189:585:7 86:5,7chargedended76:6 103:21errorevaluative71:2224:2 42:23 58:4104:8257:15 294:128:25 15:1 1emotions138:22 139:1enterederrors28:18,22 6556:4174:5,9 175:24217:9 254:17294:13,1569:14 78:17empathetic178:7enteringescalated90:4 92:19200:2ending72:18246:10 247:1398:25 109:11empathize177:1 254:17entusiasmessence111:12 119162:19 209:21ends60:15 94:15245:13120:3 131:1empathy41:18 177:11,23enthusiasticessentially133:24 139	1:24 8:12 5:8
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	8:12 5:8
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	8:12 5:8
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8:12 5:8
chronomicallyend-all75:14erroneous40:14,21 41emotionally-54:16ensure189:585:7 86:5,7chargedended76:6 103:21errorevaluative71:2224:2 42:23 58:4104:8257:15 294:128:25 15:1 1emotions138:22 139:1enterederrors28:18,22 6556:4174:5,9 175:24217:9 254:17294:13,1569:14 78:17empathetic178:7enteringescalated90:4 92:19200:2ending72:18246:10 247:1398:25 109:11162:19 209:21ends60:15 94:15245:13111:12 119132:24 130120:3 131:1133:24 130	8:12 5:8
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8:12 5:8
emotionally- charged54:16 endedensure189:585:7 86:5,7 evaluative71:2224:2 42:23 58:4104:8257:15 294:128:25 15:1 1emotions138:22 139:1 138:22 139:1enterederrors28:18,22 6556:4174:5,9 175:24217:9 254:17294:13,1569:14 78:17empathetic178:7 enteringenteringescalated90:4 92:19200:2ending 177:1 254:1772:18246:10 247:1398:25 109:11162:19 209:21ends60:15 94:15245:13111:12 119	8:12 5:8
charged 71:22ended 24:2 42:23 58:476:6 103:21 104:8error 257:15 294:12evaluative 8:25 15:1 1emotions 56:4138:22 139:1 	8:12 5:8
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5:8
emotions138:22 139:1enterederrors28:18,22 6556:4174:5,9 175:24217:9 254:17294:13,1569:14 78:17empathetic178:7enteringescalated90:4 92:19200:2ending72:18246:10 247:1398:25 109:14empathize177:1 254:17enthusiasmessence111:12 119162:19 209:21ends60:15 94:15245:13120:3 131:12	5:8
56:4 174:5,9 175:24 217:9 254:17 294:13,15 69:14 78:17 empathetic 178:7 entering escalated 90:4 92:19 200:2 ending 72:18 246:10 247:13 98:25 109:11 empathize 177:1 254:17 enthusiasm essence 111:12 119 162:19 209:21 ends 60:15 94:15 245:13 120:3 131:1	
empathetic178:7enteringescalated90:4 92:19200:2ending72:18246:10 247:1398:25 109:1empathize177:1 254:17enthusiasmessence111:12 119162:19 209:21ends60:15 94:15245:13120:3 131:1	,
200:2 empathize 162:19 209:21ending 177:1 254:17 	
empathize177:1 254:17enthusiasmessence111:12 119162:19 209:21ends60:15 94:15245:13120:3 131:141:18 177:11 23133:24 130	14
162:19 209:21 ends 60:15 94:15 245:13 120:3 131:1 133:24 130	:25
	1
145:8 151:17 endured 139.12 146.8 126:12 230:12 156:17 159	:19
employ 170:9 177:20 enticing establish 166:3,5,13	
242.25 enduring 247.5 105.16 181.14 249	:13
employed 174:4 entire established 295:6	
95.22.203.16 enema 19.5.19.36.13 135.21.238.7 even-temper	red
employee 177:8 15:5,17 50:15 15:5,27 250:7 74:6 6 55:5 139:12 estates 74:6	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
employer 88:17 94:15 211:8 281:21 96:9 115:11 175:11 266	:3
203.16 enforce entrusted estimate 296:10	
employment 145:20 169.24 87:10 evenings	
ImploymentImploymentImploymentImploymentImployment173:20Enforcementenvironmentethic145:14	
enacted 95:18 173:21 60:15 96:23 events	
103:21 engaged Ennes othical	14
isos isos <th< td=""><td></td></th<>	
150:16 enhances equal 78:17 99:2 eventually	
$88:25\ 89:1$ 22.16 $119.25\ 139.6\ 8$ $82:9\ 123:25$	
enjoy equitable 105:25 135:0,8 138:22 287 103:12 289:3 enjoy equitable 166:6 169:15 138:22 287	:10
ever-changi 9:21,22 76:10 23:21 24:9 14 170:9 172:25 ever-changi	ng
25:23 35:8 90:18 94:10,11 96:12 136:18 ethics 172:20 123:18	
25.25 35.8 [107:23 108:17] 137.5 167.2 9.2 15.3 18.14 everybody's	
18,20 55:15 110:2 113:10 110:2 113:10 110:2 113:10 110:2 113:10 110:2 113:10	l
57:13 61:20 116:11 142:14 70:3 74:20 90:6 92:21 everything's	3
123:25 124:3 160:7 ERNEST 109:16 111:14 57:20	
125:6 128:10 enjoyed Exites 1 131:3 134:1 evidence	
113:2,9 114:15 152:20 155:10, 156:19 159:21 37:25 38:1	

45:11 56:22	exceeds	exhibit	20:16 21:17	avmant
57:16 107:3	96:25	8:15,16,19		expert 183:7 205:22
165:5 179:9			23:4,6 25:3,11 26:7 28:19	
186:12 202:18	excelled	17:22 18:2,3,6 68:22 69:1,3,6,9	58:18 62:19	221:25 222:2,
	121:24			10,18,21
245:22,24	excellent	92:10,13 111:4,	63:3 64:1 71:11,	223:11,16,19
262:12,23,25	24:25 74:2	7 133:9,15,18	15,23 72:16,25	expertise
263:11 264:20	102:2 142:14,16	159:6,9,12	73:3,5,20 74:15	115:14 183:4
277:4,6 278:9,	163:16 166:19	201:20 236:15	75:20 76:3 78:6,	184:4
12 282:3,13,18	204:8	285:23,25	21 84:3,5 87:21	experts
285:4,6 287:7	exception	289:20	89:1 93:24	73:12 95:23
evident	43:12	exhibits	94:13,17 95:11,	96:3 205:23
80:11	exchange	8:10 57:20 92:4,	12 96:19 97:1,7	explain
ex-husband	200:16	8 110:23 133:13	99:4,6 100:25	27:7 98:11
102:10 176:14	exchanged	147:15 158:24	101:7,13 102:23	138:9 161:3
181:21 196:14	58:23	168:13 185:25	103:15 104:18	188:3 202:19
199:7	excited	201:19 230:1	105:7 106:16	205:20
ex-husband's	143:15	236:9 285:20	107:20,24	explained
183:1 184:20	exclusive	286:3	108:2,10 109:4,	172:15 182:24
ex-wife	26:7	existed	6 112:16 113:21	187:6
243:23		239:21	114:4,7,19,21	explains
exact	exclusively	existence	115:8 117:10,19	194:16
45:18 52:22	101:4	103:16	119:3 120:2,6	explanation
276:16	excruciating	existing	122:4,15	205:19
EXAMINATIO	175:13,20	138:11	123:14,16 124:7	
N	excused	exists	125:21 126:1,22	express 272:22
9:16 20:13	15:17 65:21	193:14 240:5	127:2,20,21	
30:20 32:10	90:23 110:4	expand	128:7,12 135:12	expressed
46:13 51:8 60:6	131:18 157:9	27:13 33:2,6	136:13,14	11:22 25:9,10
71:8 80:7 83:19	207:11 210:23	expanded	137:17 139:7,9	74:13,14 97:5
86:11 93:21	254:3 295:25	-	166:7 170:24	117:9 137:15,16
100:18 102:18	executive	74:19	181:25 184:18	163:18
105:6 112:13	15:19,24 16:2,8	expect	199:13,16	expressing
121:17 125:16	131:20 132:2	145:14 170:17	219:22 243:4,7	287:25 288:2,5
135:9 142:22	296:2,7,10	expectation	271:21 287:20	expression
145:25 154:20	exemplary	170:21	295:20	288:7
145:25 154:20	141:23 143:1	expects	experienced	extend
	exercise	15:2 65:9 90:5	100:23 105:10	51:10 286:20
examining	35:21 39:15	109:15 131:2	203:1	extended
239:19	119:18 294:11	156:18 295:6	experiences	27:11 31:3,5
examples	exercised	expenses	105:19	253:16
241:11	37:6 244:7	172:21	experiencing	extensive
exceeded	exercises	experience	78:6	25:2 95:14
226:3	38:3	11:19 12:25		199:15
	50.5			
	I	I	l	I

		• • • • •		
extensively	39:7 75:21	289:7	36:21 39:13	130:2,3 135:10,
73:14	164:5 185:5	fairly	41:6,15,17 42:2,	14,16,20,21,22,
extent	195:9 210:22	83:9 124:8	9,10 45:8,9,15	25 136:3,13,15,
45:14 128:23,24	215:2,23 216:3	213:20	46:4,17,20	17 137:3,7,14,
269:18	217:5 223:8,25	fairminded	51:23 54:15,23,	17,23 138:5
extenuating	227:5 230:8	117:8	25 55:5,17,18	139:13 142:1,16
245:15	231:3 233:4	fairness	56:17 61:1,22	143:7 144:24
extra	239:20 241:17	74:12 245:18	62:15 63:14	146:10,15
292:11	242:17 246:24	246:11 248:3	66:9,25 70:1,9,	151:6,13 152:25
extracurricular	261:17 271:13,	faith	20 71:9,14,24	160:18,19
173:14	14 280:15,16	78:9,10,11	72:1,13,16 73:4,	161:15 166:15,
extremely	291:10,20,21	112:18 119:8,17	6,8,19,21 74:16,	19 170:11 178:6
20:24 120:7	fact-	fall	19 75:4,12,18,	190:9 193:10
122:5 291:8	223:23	119:20	19 76:4,7,9,16,	197:11 199:18
exudes	factor	fallen	22,25 78:3 80:9,	216:12 217:25
24:25	213:5,17 224:4,	49:3	11,13,15,18,20,	218:1 220:25
	11	falls	22,23,25 81:4,	234:3,6 241:8
eye 60:17 139:13	factors	47:7	11 82:14,21	242:16 247:22
	38:25 223:4		83:24 84:1,6,16,	249:7 275:11
146:9	facts	false	23 86:17,22	292:1,3,5,10,12
eyewitness	53:16 118:21	246:12	87:2,4,14,19,20	fancy
117:19	193:16 208:7	familiar	88:7,10,24 89:8	118:22
	246:22,23 247:7	29:20 99:17	93:23 94:1,3,8,	farm
F	fail	120:18 139:25	10,15,16,19,25	172:10
	96:22 145:5	140:3 290:5	95:6,11,13,15	fast
f/k/a	failed	familiarize	96:21,24 97:1,2,	59:21 274:16
230:10	170:1 178:8	114:9	7,11 100:23	fate
fabricated	180:24,25	families	101:4 102:4,19,	243:9
197:9,10	250:12	23:13 76:1 78:3,	23 103:8,10,20	father
Facebook	fails	4,9 80:21 82:24	104:3,7,23	27:12 47:25
147:15	177:8	103:8,19,25	106:23 112:14,	94:5 171:20
faced		104:2,7 128:15	24 113:16,17,	172:4 174:16
86:19	fair	155:14 160:24	18,22 114:1,10,	180:1,6 196:14
facets	22:16 28:5,6,7	243:3,10	20,21 115:9,17	203:7 205:8
115:9	31:25 32:1	family	116:21 117:1,	207:18 208:21
facie	33:11 37:10	11:13 19:6,11,	11,20,25 118:1,	219:11 243:14,
106:1	75:7 85:5 94:22	18,20 20:15,18	3,5 119:2,5,15	16,19 245:1
facilitate	97:3 116:20	21:11,18,21,24	122:15,17,19,	246:4 248:21
50:25	117:14 118:12,	22:20 23:5,7,8	22,24,25 124:9,	father's
facing	19,24 146:25	24:11,12 25:7,	10,16,20	194:1,2 249:1
117:25	150:9 151:10	11,16,18 26:3,	125:18,21	· ·
fact	202:22 210:25	15,18,19,22	126:9,20,23	fatherless
iaci	269:11 270:10	32:19 34:15	128:13 129:24	248:24
	1	1		1

FAULK	fell	figured	11 163:25	finding
20:2,7,13 28:16	21:22,24 28:12	138:3	178:11 180:4,6	24:2 105:20
29:2 30:13	29:17 135:16	file	188:18 190:13	208:20
fault	fellow	48:22 49:1	192:15,24,25	findings
197:22	187:23 190:3	142:25 178:16	195:18 204:24	231:3 246:8
faulting	193:18	203:3,5 207:24	205:6,11,15	finds
171:5	felt	211:10,22,23	213:21 227:22,	53:5
favor	78:1 150:8	217:4 235:23	23,24 230:14,	fine
15:19 16:2	151:10 164:3	236:1,3 259:13	17,23 231:7,11,	11:7 55:16 85:5
131:21 296:2	246:10 278:25	260:1,13,20	12,14 232:5	190:15 197:24
favorable	Ferderigos	272:1 287:8	233:24 244:22	237:19 242:6
141:7	16:11,12,24	filed	248:20 253:14,	264:24
federal	17:5,6,8,11,17,	9:6 18:18 27:4,	15,22 254:16	finish
38:7 70:5 74:24	20,24 18:5,8,22,	16,19,24 57:18,	274:6,14	10:23 274:23
138:8 139:3	23 19:2 20:1,2,	19 80:12 92:25	278:19,22	finished
220:11	5,10,14 28:17,	98:10 111:18	287:14	153:10 270:22
feed	21,24 59:23	130:2 134:5	finalized	finishing
54:23	65:5,19 84:24	138:8 173:2,18	172:15 195:17	274:1
feedback	Ferri	177:15 187:7	finally	fire
161:16 164:24	65:22,24 66:4,6,	192:1,10 193:21	117:4 181:2	115:1
feel	9,16,25 67:4,12,	205:2 212:11	finance	firm
20:15 64:11	19 68:1,4,11,15,	228:23 230:7,16	88:22	19:8,10,11
71:10,22 77:19	20,24 69:5,8,10,	231:23,25	finances	47:19 66:12
80:15 81:24	11,24 70:23,25	232:1,12 235:21	23:23 24:8	67:15,16 96:20
87:23 93:23	71:5,9 72:11	236:3 242:18	56:19	132:15 137:25
112:15 117:22	73:3,24 76:5	244:2 256:2	financial	138:12 151:8,15
123:15 135:11	77:1 78:16,25	260:23,25	23:6 73:5,7,9,	241:9
145:20 151:14	79:5 80:4,8	files	11,12 75:11	firsthand
161:4 162:21	83:20 90:1,16,	45:23 153:17	95:13 113:18	64:10
164:24 170:6	21	177:25 231:19	114:21 136:15	fiscal
179:11 180:25	fiber	filing	165:5 170:7	200:14
185:10 196:20	53:3	212:20 227:8,10 260:19	173:3,9 188:6,	fit
220:20 291:19	field		25	175:3
293:13	61:22	filings	financially	fitness
feeling	fifteen	57:24	54:21	25:1 28:18
59:20 125:11	87:11,22 89:12	filled	find	78:18 95:22
150:10 210:4	94:9 106:5	249:5	53:7 74:5 85:13	99:2 119:25
feels	fifty	filming	124:18 169:24	139:6,8 166:6
113:11,12	147:14 170:25	193:17	171:16 176:24	169:15 170:10
167:15	180:5,14	final	186:12,14 206:8	FITSNEWS
fees	fight	51:1 72:3	225:19 251:5 283:8	118:11
188:17	257:5,8	105:18 126:10,	203.0	

five-acre	follow	forgotten	139:7,18 148:19	77:23
172:10	15:2 65:9 89:6	88:1	166:2,5 172:17	front
five-minute	90:5 103:13	form	177:4 207:21	36:23,24 56:9
90:24	109:15 129:7	42:19 201:13,18	208:22	57:13 71:14
fix	131:2 156:18	282:8 284:8	frame	84:24 108:11
49:16 104:1	177:19 191:21	formal	152:12 171:15	118:18 123:25
flag	196:22 197:3	15:7 65:15	174:14 177:14	124:2,19,22,24
197:18	241:3 243:1	90:10 109:21	178:1	125:1 128:10
flat	249:6 250:12	131:8 156:24	Frances	132:23 153:18
40:23	251:21 252:2	172:6 174:2	25:22	163:1,15 165:19
flaw	255:4,7 275:15	178:16 187:7	FRANKLIN	167:19 192:14
180:12 243:8	283:9 292:24	236:15 289:20	112:2,7,13	216:15 221:12
flaws	295:7	295:12	119:23 120:8	235:10,18 236:9
257:10,12	football	formally	121:10	240:4 269:3
flipping	66:24,25	200:19	frankly	291:11 292:17
183:16	for-fall	formed	154:11 247:25	frustrating
floor	250:23	175:25	free	22:7 56:9
28:5 29:18	force	formulate	240:2	frustration
31:22,23 32:1	27:16	73:22	free-	225:1
59:17 172:2	forced	fortunate	250:22	fulfilling
185:8 186:1,2	179:16 198:18	59:2,12 61:19	freed	94:17
Florence	200:12 216:8	117:2 295:21	71:20 83:9	full
58:21	forceful	forum	frequent	149:5 175:4
fly	210:4 211:2	293:7	115:24 123:10	245:12,14
23:15	forcefully	forward	frequently	248:19
flying	210:14	62:7 142:17	74:9 115:12	full-size
25:24	foreign	187:10 190:16,	123:21 128:10	185:13,14
focus	234:6	21 216:8 233:21	fresh	fullest
36:11 64:2	foremost	236:22 240:14,	27:22	45:14
288:14	19:1	20 286:17 294:9	Friday	fun
focused	forensic	295:22	10:23 153:9	151:14
8:24 18:11	96:3,5,10	foster	Fridays	function
36:14 64:6	115:12 197:21	70:23 71:2,8	163:2	247:24
69:13 76:5	forensics	78:15,22 79:23	friend	fund
92:18 111:11	177:3	103:22	59:21 91:10	128:23
133:23 159:18	forget	found	193:17	funded
181:13 249:12	115:3 206:1	28:17,21 29:7	friends	13:16
Fokas	forgets	36:2 41:13,14,	50:22 76:8	funny
31:10	103:15	15,21 45:5	friendship	77:6
folks	forgot	78:16 79:2	175:25	furthering
70:4 115:9	204:12	98:24 99:1,10	fringe	75:2
		119:24 120:11	111150	

future	generation	Glenn	12,14,20 288:3	112:3 113:7
55:4	94:4 144:18	167:14 236:20,	Glenn's	117:22 125:5,11
	genuinely	21 240:14,19,23	236:18	132:6 139:1
G	169:4	241:4 249:9,18,	glowing	141:18,22
	Georgetown	21 250:3,7,15,	60:9	145:1,2,3 146:3
gain	138:1 144:8,12	18,22 251:16,20	go-round	150:17 154:6,7
62:19 78:2	get along	252:5,8,14,18,	124:16	157:21,22
98:22 115:8	54:4 176:15	23 253:3,11,15,	go-to	160:23 162:8
243:24	288:18	20,24 254:2,9,	26:16	164:23 176:14
gained	ghost	13,18 255:3,8,	goal	189:3 193:17
74:24 75:10	176:5	11,16,20,23	54:24 63:13	204:7,9 266:3
78:1 87:20	gifts	256:1,5,8,13,16,	103:18 104:4	292:20
94:14	112:19,23	19,22 257:1,5,9,	130:9 161:5	goodness
Gamecocks	girls	14,24 258:7,12,	243:25	49:16
295:1	183:24	20,24 259:3,8,	goals	gosh
gap	give	14,17,20,23	161:21	29:17
132:14 134:19	11:24 12:8,12	260:3,6,10,15,	God	government
247:23	20:20 33:4	20 261:1,13,20	112:19 193:13	66:17,19
Garfinkel	35:17 42:22	262:3,6,12,15,	196:5	governor
49:2,5	52:15 53:10	19,23 263:1,3,6,	Goldsmith	138:25 291:15
gave	56:1 64:4 94:7	15,19,23 264:1,	7:3,5,10,11,15,	GPS
51:3 77:21	107:5,22 108:17	5,10,14 265:1,5,	20,23 8:1,5,8,	173:22
102:24 109:3	107:3,22 100:17	8,14,20 266:3,	12,18,21 9:10,	grad
125:9 170:14	148:25 151:10	12,15,18,21	15,17 11:8	132:11,13
189:22 208:21	152:16 164:12	267:5,7,23	12:21,24 13:10	grade
213:18 246:12	168:18 185:20	268:4,6,11,16,	14:3,8,14,18,21,	67:5 119:12
287:12,13	189:12 196:14	24 269:12,15,21 270:1,6,11,13	23 15:13,16	180:3
gears	202:2 205:21	270.1,0,11,13	Goldsmith's	graduate
104:11	213:15,16 216:1	272:14,20,24	11:19	134:17
general	219:16 222:18	273:4,8,13,16,	good	graduated
14:1 29:21 30:5	223:16 237:13	19,23 274:13,18	7:9,11 9:12,14,	114:22 132:14
42:13 75:3	268:7 277:15	275:8,17 276:7,	15 21:12 24:23	134:13
79:15 91:11	279:4,18 282:1	13 277:4,10,18,	32:11 44:15	graduating
99:18 100:2	292:18	24 278:7,14	46:14,15 47:10,	288:22
120:19 121:2	giving	279:3,6,20,22	25 48:14 53:14,	grandfather
140:1,9 221:4	57:15 77:15	280:19 281:11,	16 56:6 57:3	112:25 130:12
242:1 264:21	93:5 108:5	16,21,25	64:5 65:24 66:5	grandmother
290:6,14	146:25 184:11	282:12,17,21	67:2 83:20,21	222:18,20,24
generally	glad	283:1,7,13,18,	86:15 91:5,8	grant
60:13 106:15	17:2	21 284:1,4,7,12,	93:10 94:3 99:6	gran 146:21 194:1
181:18 214:1	glance	17,21,24 285:8,	100:19,20,21	203:23 209:25
	106:1,2	17,22,24 286:2,	108:3 109:6	226:21,23 233:3
				220.21,23 233.3

234:18 235:2,8,	greeting	263:1,20,25	Gwendolyn	114:19 126:1
12 286:22	196:8	264:2,7,9,10,13,	232:2,3	136:13 175:18
granted	grievances	15,17 265:11		hands
58:4 139:2	291:11 293:8	270:2 271:4,24	H	19:11
173:5 210:6	grilled	272:11,18,21		hands-on
227:22 229:3,16	59:16	273:2,6,7,8,21,	half	83:3
231:23 233:18	Grimball	24	128:21 130:17	handwritten
272:11	66:11 67:10	guardian's	169:14 178:10	245:23 282:4
grants	ground	273:1	253:16	hanging
178:15 232:11	64:20 115:8	guardians	hand	50:17
graphic	grounded	76:1 264:18,22	7:18 8:13 17:9,	
196:8	119:17	guess	25 19:17 27:25	happen 44:10 53:7
grateful		9:22 11:4 21:6	68:2 87:12,14	76:22 116:15
25:14 72:7 83:7	grounds 80:25 248:9	57:4 63:1 88:6	91:15 110:10	176:25 180:20
gravel		155:4 165:1	132:18 133:8	196:24 209:23
171:7	group	193:21 234:7	158:9 159:3	251:17,23 274:6
great	53:13	267:17 269:10	168:5 239:24	happened
9:25 13:23 25:2	groups	270:8	240:20	47:20 52:2,16
31:16 55:20,21	70:18	guest	handed	53:5 150:23
58:3 66:6,18	grow	17:3,4	96:8	175:7,9 198:16
67:8 74:2 75:16	186:13	guests	handing	219:21 253:1
90:14 96:10	growing	66:2 91:6 132:7	201:12	258:10 261:10
99:5 101:3	61:2 144:18	guidelines	handle	267:1,2 278:3,5
102:2 109:5	grown	30:10 73:15,17	22:21 72:14	279:2
141:14 152:14	61:22 153:24	79:20 100:7	73:23 84:25	happening
160:10 161:9,20	288:17	121:7 140:14	94:25 105:21	49:9 50:13
163:16 216:7	growth	290:19	114:1,11 118:25	63:25 76:25
293:6	154:5,6,7	guilty	127:14 135:25	175:16
great-	guardian	36:2 37:13,14	137:1 138:4,12,	happy
grandfather's	74:9 81:17,18,	40:16,17,20	14 141:10	27:19 28:13
67:15	19 83:4 86:23	41:12,14,15	handled	39:20 45:20
greatest	95:21 103:1	45:5 197:14	95:14,19,24	66:4 70:20
21:1 292:15	104:21 115:24,	Guion	96:4,6,8 102:25	76:13 77:8 78:8,
Greenville	25 117:17	243:19 248:21	104:19 105:8	14 96:22 111:23
171:21 173:1	123:15,23 124:1	249:16 250:7,10	126:2 136:4,18	125:6,8 149:24,
175:23 176:6,20	126:4 137:5	gun	151:5 179:12	25 168:16
177:2 181:21	169:20 184:1	40:12 43:5	241:10 294:19,	199:20,21,24
196:3 205:8	186:1 232:12,17	guy	21	206:3 291:25
214:6,13 243:17	233:24 244:16,	62:5	handling	harassed
244:25	19,21 245:1,21 251:4,7 252:12,	guys	23:5 48:7 73:4	172:5
Greenwood	13,14 253:6	90:25 91:14	86:24 88:15	hard
10:18,20	254:3,7 262:8		95:11 113:17	52:18 58:5
	257.5,7 202.0			

	1	1	1	1
74:11 87:6	152:8 158:1	192:15,24,25	232:11 244:22	high
101:6 145:10	161:16 162:24	194:12,20	245:19 246:5	37:15 39:24
152:1 162:20	167:21,25	195:18 201:7	247:21 248:21	51:4 62:8 88:16
166:17 276:18	168:16 170:6	205:6 209:3,8,	272:10 275:7,12	107:21 108:9
295:1	184:3 201:9	10,16 220:4	277:19 280:5	116:2 117:5
hardest	202:4 208:7,11	221:15 227:23,	294:1	123:11 142:5
48:17	216:8 229:24	24 228:20	hears	143:23 156:4
harm	266:5 281:1	230:23 231:24	56:10	242:23 288:22
59:5,9 186:9	heard	232:5,10,14,16	hearsay	higher
harmed	33:3 54:6 74:20,	234:16 236:7	246:9	25:23 36:4 37:3,
186:13	21,22 104:16	238:8 241:19	heart	5 166:16
harsh	105:13 118:20	242:14 244:15,	29:17 47:24	highest
11:24 12:3	123:2 150:6,8,9	17,20 245:2,4,5,	48:1 164:21	24:25
13:21	151:10,11	8,15,17 246:24	186:25 248:22	highly
harsher	169:11 170:14	247:14 250:9,	heavily	71:15,22 97:3
34:10 35:1,14	175:19 203:10	10,11,16,20	119:19 164:3	102:8,12 119:3
39:8	206:7 214:2,10,	251:5,13,19	heavy	hip
	13 219:10,19,	252:4,9,10,22	15:5 65:12 90:8	22:10 56:12
harshly	23,24 227:22	253:1,2,7,13,14,	109:18 131:5	59:1
33:1	237:20 240:9	15,22,23 254:6	156:21 295:10	hire
hat	245:13 251:4,10	255:1,12 256:12		83:13 189:12
117:18 171:15	282:10 288:6	259:6,25 261:22	held	
hate	289:2 294:17,22	262:9 264:19	49:24 62:9	hired
83:2	hearing	266:20 271:21,	180:3 188:14	103:3 199:14
hated	14:22 15:22	23 272:13	192:5 196:25	hiring
292:8	16:6,17 30:19	273:10,12,24	209:16 218:15	155:8
head	40:12 41:11	274:2,3 275:3,4,	220:5	history
37:3 49:20	42:10 44:25	20 277:21	hell	171:18
50:17 288:12	57:7,11 58:18,	278:15,21,22	188:14	hit
health	21 59:7 65:4	280:5 284:13	helped	148:18 253:5
28:23 49:7	72:3 89:25	285:14 286:23,	73:22 78:2	288:12
78:21 86:3 99:1	105:11,12,15,	24 288:9 289:13	helpful	hitting
120:4 166:4	18,20 107:4	293:20 296:5	50:20	57:5 64:19
182:7	108:24 119:15	hearings	helping	Hoisington
healthcare	126:11 130:22	33:11 44:10	77:13 127:15	55:12
172:21 182:10	131:25 146:20,	50:14 57:9 59:7	helps	hold
healthy	25 148:10 149:1	61:11 70:4	60:10	10:11 46:3
288:21 295:21	169:9 173:11	105:10,25	Hervery	50:21 176:24
hear	176:22 178:11	106:15 114:9	91:10	holding
51:22,23 64:5	181:19 182:1	115:3 117:22	Hey	48:25 50:21
101:11 106:6,9,	187:16 189:25	126:10 127:18	17:7 52:4 65:24	286:10
24 107:7 108:7	190:13,21,24	136:6 149:5	hide	holds
149:15 151:17	191:10,11,12,23	164:16 169:6	165:14	55:4
	1	1	1	I

Г

hole	hoping	huge	ignoring	205:20 229:14
48:1	98:8 134:19	113:18 114:14	240:1 280:14	287:21
home	135:21 143:16	human	imagine	importantly
42:16 68:16	144:4	78:9	25:16 26:2	38:20 61:4
119:14 131:16	Horry	humble	32:24 239:6	impressed
150:10 153:10	138:2 144:8	122:9 162:6	immediately	122:14
157:7 171:25	193:18	humbled	21:22 29:16	impression
172:25 173:15	horse	25:13 54:2	40:16 115:2	101:1 122:2
175:8,14 199:24	172:10	130:7	126:25 138:12	141:8
247:5	hospitality	humbling	147:23 173:6,	impressive
homeless	145:13	51:5 122:8,9	19,25 180:17	109:7
12:4	hot	287:20 295:19	244:10	improper
homes	62:19 64:3 82:6	hundred	impact	219:20
136:25	116:5 235:15	186:19 244:24	108:3 114:14	impropriety
honest	hour	hurricane	impacted	15:4 65:11 90:7
9:21 206:10	77:18 150:2	174:15 175:7	124:9	109:17 131:4
honestly	hours	191:1	impacting	156:20 295:9
185:3	36:7 42:11	husband	240:4	improve
honesty	50:15 63:19	19:1 66:9 91:9	impaction	49:10 82:23
24:23	77:24 147:24	108:15 115:18	177:6 197:7	103:7 128:15
honor	148:1 149:18	157:14,18	impartial	143:12
68:11,20 130:7	169:14 190:10	167:18 186:9	94:22 116:12	improved
160:6,7 161:9	house	196:1,2 252:17	118:24	48:8 193:14,15
173:13 183:4	42:19,20 44:23	253:18 256:9	impartiality	inability
216:21 242:4	59:17 66:20	270:10 286:21	11:23	210:14,17
270:23 274:23	90:19 113:1	288:3	impartially	251:20 255:4,6
283:3	130:12 176:1	husband's	279:7,10	inadequate
honor-bound	185:15 186:1,12	256:15 257:21	impasse	241:7 242:7
264:20 283:16	196:5 197:23	271:10	180:6	
291:23	household			inadmissible
honorable	179:23	I	impeccable 142:13	107:12
7:20 8:18,20	housekeeping			inappropriate
74:6 158:11	16:13 29:3	I-26	implore	219:11
159:8,10 202:8	78:23 99:8	90:15 110:2	293:4	incarcerated
230:13	120:9 139:16	ID	importance	52:15 53:2
hope	289:23	130:1	73:13	84:12
10:22 14:16	Howard	idea	important	incarceration
66:5,23 113:23	288:11,12	51:22 115:4,6	20:24 73:8	53:20
152:16,17 160:9	Howe	206:9	85:19 115:14,20	incident
205:25 275:3	94:5	identify	117:18 118:15	219:24
291:7,8	hub	150:12	128:25 129:5	inclined
	49:22	100.12	149:3 151:15	243:7
			164:20 174:23	

include	indeterminate	inherited	119:11	127:15 143:9
74:20 95:16,25	44:6	27:9,10 28:10	integrity	154:5
214:7,8,11	indeterminately	31:3 87:16	24:23 96:19	interesting
included	45:6	initial	103:20 170:24	41:4 114:10
8:25 18:12 20:9	Indian	41:11 57:7	242:24 243:5,8	121:21 122:1
69:14 71:4	95:17	157:25 232:6,13	247:9 248:1,2	283:11
73:17 92:19	indicating	244:14 247:18	249:8	interject
93:17 111:12	194:3 219:20	initialed	intelligence	164:19
112:9 133:24	indicted	230:22	170:23	interjected
135:5 159:19	172:8	initially	intelligent	181:6
194:16	Indigent	103:17 202:14	25:7 74:5 97:2	interjecting
including	91:12 103:3	initiative	intend	164:16
29:20 89:14	individual	25:7	47:3 126:22	interned
99:17 120:18	33:17 38:9	inject	intense	135:15 150:22
139:25 263:7	183:17,18	291:12	118:8	interrupt
290:5	individuals	innocent	intensive	37:22 218:22
income	24:1 48:13	41:20,21	182:12	247:15 281:9
73:16	75:22 161:16	inquiry	intent	interrupted
inconsequential	239:23	8:24 18:11	29:10,24 79:5,9	275:1
247:25	industry	69:13 92:18	99:14,21	interrupting
incorporate	145:13	111:11 133:23	120:14,22	210:10
62:21	inexperienced	159:18 268:20	139:22 140:4	interrupts
incorporated	101:2 243:6	insane	290:2,9	274:21
12:17 30:15	infancy	40:2	intention	intersection
79:25 100:12	243:14	inside	54:19	76:17
121:12 140:19	infant	44:23	intently	interstate
290:24	244:6	insight	171:2	80:23
incorrect	influence	88:18 104:16	interacting	intervene
188:8,9 264:24	160:23	instance	155:13	30:6 79:16
incorrectly	information	29:13	intercepting	100:3 121:3
241:13	34:8 58:23	instances	174:6	140:10 290:15
increased	106:13 150:11	106:22	interest	interview
161:1	222:20 282:23	instilled	9:5 18:17 20:23	197:24
incredible	informative	113:7	28:11 53:25	interviewed
107:20	90:20	institution	69:19 80:8	166:11 173:21
incredibly	infractions	78:10	92:24 111:17	interviewing
117:5	285:9	institutional	125:17 134:4	172:24
indebtedness	inherent	155:14	159:24 185:11	intestine
243:3	119:5	insurance	186:24 271:25	197:8 198:1
independence	inheritance	66:11	272:3	introduce
247:10 248:2	24:10 28:13	integral	interested	17:4 66:3 91:7
	24.10 20.13	micgrai	31:18 122:19	17.4 00.3 91.7

132:8 157:16	involvement	68:19	jealous	judge
243:12	83:4 123:11		142:12	7:3,5,9,11,15,23
introspectively	124:2 244:7	J	Jenkinson	8:1,5,8,12,22
125:4	269:10		134:14 135:19	9:10,12,14,15,
investigate	involves	Jack	138:16	17,18 10:3 11:8,
128:11 172:6	75:23 81:13	91:9 108:15	jeopardize	13,16,19 12:21,
investigated	115:17 123:24	Jacobson	218:20	23,24 13:10,14,
8:23 18:10	143:11	91:5,8,15,17,24	jerk	18,23 14:3,8,14,
69:12 92:17	involving	92:2,6,12,15,16	46:1	18,19,20,23
111:10 118:7	27:8 73:9,18,19	93:4,10,13,18,	jets	15:13,16 20:15,
133:22 159:17	76:15,18 80:23	22 94:5,23	23:16 25:24	17,19 21:11
172:7 173:19	96:4	95:10 96:14,21,	job	22:8,18,21
investigation	Island	24 97:20 98:9,	9:21,23,25 19:8	24:25 25:4,11
12:17 30:14	68:17	16,24 99:8,13	26:12 37:9,12	28:14 36:23,25
79:24 100:11	issue	101:23 102:15	55:17,21 72:9	46:5 48:23 49:2,
121:11 140:18	10:21 34:3 38:2	107:17 108:8,	81:22,24 98:7	5 50:25 54:21,
177:3 195:25	49:18 61:3 88:5	20,22,25	148:2 153:16	22 56:9,20,21
197:22 244:21	116:4,5,10	109:10,25 110:3	155:25 160:10	57:24 58:3,20,
290:23	119:8 167:6,7	jades	162:16,17	25 62:15 63:14
investigator	208:16,18	78:5	179:10 204:7	64:13 71:10,12,
173:20	213:2,20 216:21	jail	232:21 276:3	15,25 72:6,13
invite	220:11 222:1	42:24 84:11	283:7 294:5,6	88:24 93:23,25
52:16 167:16	224:13 230:19	189:4	jobs	94:1,16,20,25 95:7 96:18 97:1
171:9 236:19	231:8 255:4	January	145:9	102:3,5 106:23
involve	268:18 271:1,16	220:14	Johnson	112:15,17,25
116:1 136:24	issued	Jarrett	244:7 246:12	112:13,17,25
involved	225:19 230:17	132:5,6,9,13,17,	joint	118:2,21 119:1
10:14 27:3,5	issues	20 133:1,4,7,11,	213:8 287:13	122:20 124:20,
28:3 38:17	16:13 29:4	17,20,21 134:9,	jokes	21,24 126:11
48:12 51:13	60:11 78:23	14,23,24 135:1,	11:15	127:8,9 128:4
71:21 76:14	86:3,4 95:16,25	6,10,23 136:12	Jones	129:6,8 130:13
77:6,7,13 82:3,	96:21 115:15,23	137:8 138:6,16	232:2,3	135:11,13,14,
24 97:9 115:15	116:1,13,14	139:5,11,16,21	JOSEPH	22,25 136:3
116:7,13 123:7,	120:9 136:17	140:25 141:3	132:20 133:17,	141:15 142:1
13 126:5 128:10	144:11 148:15,	142:7,19,23 146:1 152:24	19	148:16,18
138:7 173:14	18 150:9 153:3	140:1 132:24	Josette	150:11,14,17,
189:3 211:7,9	164:12 176:17		132:9	19,22,23,25
219:24 243:10	187:2 198:1	157:4,8	journey	151:2,15,16,24
266:16 267:13	202:9 221:21	Jarrett's 154:7	153:22	153:24 154:1,2
268:23 285:5	255:3 283:5 288:23 292:9		jovial	157:11,12,13,
288:20 289:2		Jay 130:14	13:7	15,18,21 158:4,
	items	130:14		7,17,19,22

159:1,5,14,15	224:1,10,17,20,	judgement	242:1,4,10	85:1 95:9 113:4
160:4,14,17,19	23,25 225:6,7,	27:20 28:14	248:7,11 249:6	114:4 117:11,19
161:3 162:1,9,	12,25 226:4,6,7,	31:8 58:4	276:13,15 279:7	126:17 128:18
23 163:11,16	12,15,19,21,25	116:25 139:2	judiciary	136:3,8 161:14
164:6 165:3,25	227:3,8,11,14,	180:8	118:5,24 248:2	juvenile's
166:2,11,19	17,20 228:2,5,	judges	juggling	40:14
167:15,17,24	10,11,12,15,16,	9:25 10:11	150:4	juveniles
168:4 170:11,17	23,25 229:5,12,	11:12,14 21:21	Julianne	32:25 33:2,16
176:21,22	17,19,24 230:3	26:19 44:14	110:12 111:6,8	36:16 42:2,9,18
177:11,23	231:2,6,12,16,	57:1 58:19	112:10 116:20,	43:10,15 45:5
178:6,8,20,25	18,22 232:2,3,6,	61:16,25 64:21	24 117:1 119:24	50:2,3 60:25
179:21 180:4,19	8,25 233:7,10,	76:24 107:4	June	83:24 84:5,16
181:6,25	12,14,18 234:4,	114:11 118:10,	114:4 230:13,17	85:6 95:5
184:11,17	10,17,19,23,25	12 124:25	jurisdiction	101:10,13
185:19 187:2,	235:1,2,3,6,10,	127:2,9,14	76:20 80:23	104:23 114:11,
14,21 188:2,5,	14,18,22,25	129:1 136:10	95:18	17 126:19
15 189:12	236:8,11,14,19	148:5,6,14	jurist	127:6,11,12,16
190:10 191:16	237:3,14 241:6	149:13,24	11:21	161:24 164:19,
192:3 193:22	244:18 246:1,	151:19,22 153:2		22 214:7
194:1,16 200:4,	15,18 249:7	161:15 171:1	jurors	
16 201:9,23	251:14 252:20	180:15 187:3,23	279:25	K
202:1,3,7	254:7 256:25	211:13,14	jury	
203:10,14	258:23,24	238:16 292:4,	10:22	Karen
206:10,14,17,22	259:1,5 261:6,	12,24 293:4,9	justice	182:20 183:5
207:3,6,8,10,18,	12,16,17 262:1,	judgeship	24:4 45:23	202:13
22 208:1,19,24	21 263:12	152:9	56:12 72:17,23	KATHLEEEN
209:3,5,8,19	264:4,9,12,19	judicial	84:7 85:1 113:4	69:10
210:2,8,11,16,	265:12,19	8:16,19,22	126:18 128:19	Kathleen
21 211:7,11,13,	268:14,21	11:23 18:3,6,9	136:3 152:25	66:6 68:4 69:5,7
18,22,23 212:7,	275:21 277:7,8	19:16 20:5 25:2	161:8 234:9,24,	74:4
11,14,16,18,25	278:9 279:6,22,	28:20 29:6,7	25 279:1	Katie
213:3,6 214:2,4,	24 280:1,7,18,	66:8 69:3,6,11	Justice's	132:10 134:18
16,19,22,25	21 281:6,8	70:25 78:18,25	152:25 235:13	keenly
215:16,17,18,	282:10,15 283:5	79:1,2 92:10,13,	justify	143:8
22,23,24 216:1,	284:18 285:3	16 93:14 99:4,9,	202:19	
4,5,18 217:13,	286:14,16,19,23	10 111:4,7,9	juvenile	keeping
17,22 218:2,3,6,	287:25 288:25	112:6 120:2,10,	21:3 34:5,23	61:7
9,12,19 219:1,4,	289:8,12,16,19,	11 133:15,18,21	35:19 36:9,15	Kellahan
8,13,17 220:2,9,	22 290:1 291:5,	135:2 139:10,	37:2 38:10 43:5,	134:14 138:17
22 221:2,6,9,12,	20,22 292:3,13	17,18 159:6,9,	11 44:22 48:16	key
16,23 222:4,7,	293:16,21 294:1	16 161:8 166:7	50:10 52:8	24:11 52:12
12,15,22 223:2,	295:18,22,23	169:25 170:3,11	53:25 63:8	77:21
7,12,14,18,22		238:5 241:16	72:17,23 84:7	
	1	1		

kicked	Kings	Kyle	206:6 233:5	12,18,22 276:1
218:17	27:10 31:1	134:17	270:10	292:25 293:4
kid	Kingstree		laugh	294:11 295:8
36:17 38:24	134:10,12		11:14	laws
39:4,5,8 40:19	135:1,18		laughing	9:2 13:12 18:14
42:23 44:19,20	Kinlaw	lack	241:18	27:1 69:16
48:18	176:21 177:11,	25:10 74:15	Laura	70:18 92:21
kid's	23 178:8,20,25	97:21 100:24	17:6,7 19:2	111:14 134:1
52:3 77:17	189:12 191:16	101:7 117:10	Laurens	159:21 242:16,
kids	192:3 194:1,16	169:15 170:9	113:6	22 245:18,20
21:8 23:16	203:14 206:23	184:19 243:2,4,	law	247:21 248:6
33:10 50:3,7	209:3 215:23,24	5,8 245:17	15:3 19:6,11,20	249:6 251:21
54:4 56:16,18	228:23 232:25	247:9,12 260:18	21:20 22:17,18,	262:3 264:1,6,
58:8 108:1,3	233:12 235:2	lacked	19 25:3,7 28:7	21
145:15 183:11,	Kinlaw's	250:12	45:14 46:23	lawsuit
14 292:13	187:14 211:11	lacking	47:7,19 52:1	27:4,7,16,19,25
Kimberly	kitchen	47:1 242:15	53:4 55:11 62:1,	31:7,21,25
244:12 246:14,	176:5	lady	14,24 64:22	138:7,9,10
17 258:7 261:21	knew	12:8 204:9	65:10 67:16	lawsuits
kind	11:14 64:25	lady's	72:11 75:2 76:3,	27:3,8 28:2,8
13:7 25:13	117:17 135:17	292:7	6,7,12,15,18	30:22
28:12 33:25	177:5 178:18,19	Lake	83:8 88:19,20,	lawyer
51:14 53:12	190:5 261:6	134:18	23 90:6 94:8,10,	22:7 24:22
56:3,25 86:16	274:5 288:6	Landis	14,15,23 95:8,	42:24 49:20
87:16,17,23	knowing	91:9 108:15	15 96:20,21	56:10,24 58:1
88:14,25 94:20	174:1 220:6,7	lane	97:9,11,14 98:1,	63:7 82:5 89:16
115:8 116:4	273:4 282:6	174:19 175:9	17,19 101:4,18	100:24 102:2
121:19 123:19	knowledge	language	103:13 109:16	118:22 128:14
125:4 126:14	12:25 13:22	194:2 203:20	113:17,18,24	137:13 153:22
127:3,5,16	26:3,8 48:21	languish	114:22 115:9,17	154:10 207:11
135:19 136:22,	74:12 75:2	103:22	117:11 119:2,5	211:24 216:9
24 137:4,23	88:20 94:13	laptop	130:17 131:3	217:19 219:7,
138:20 143:15	98:22 141:11	168:24	132:15 134:12,	19,22 220:1,8,
144:15,18,20	155:14 165:4,15	large	13,19 135:14,	17,21,23 222:8
147:16,21,25	166:25 242:14	24:9 96:9	16,20,21,23	233:5 234:14
148:1,23 149:21	245:12,14	Lastly	137:17 146:15	246:5 259:12,19
150:4,5 151:4,6,	knowledgeable	188:1	154:13 156:6,19	260:9,12 261:7,
7 211:18 229:1	28:25 120:6	late	180:21 207:3	9,16 266:16,19, 22 267:3,19,20
249:10 271:1	122:5	114:3 135:15	209:24 241:9	22 267:3,19,20 268:1,3,9
kinds	Krell	154:25 174:16	262:2,5,21,22,	269:14 277:21,
84:2 108:1	94:6	175:18 191:9	24,25 263:2,3, 12,16,17 264:4,	209.14 277.21, 22 278:23,24
183:22		194:10,12 195:9	12,16,17 264:4, 21,24,25 265:6,	280:12 281:15,
			21,24,23 203.0,	200.12 201.13,
	I			1

20 284:10	114:15	120:14,22 131:3	limit	listening
294:14	leave	139:21 140:4	239:23 240:8	100:22 122:14
lawyers	55:17 141:7	156:19 290:1,9	limitations	161:17 240:9
10:20 11:14	145:13 147:7	295:7	29:21 99:18	litem
12:1 13:7 25:17,	174:2,5	letterhead	120:19 140:1	74:10 81:17,19
23 26:4,10	leaving	282:4	290:6	83:4 95:21
32:17 47:16	174:10	letters	limited	103:1 104:21
57:15,21 61:16,	lecture	45:2 102:7	57:9 84:17,18	137:6 169:20
17 105:15	292:19	letting	97:6 137:16	184:1 186:2
106:24 107:19	left	195:12 261:12,	147:13,14	232:12 233:24
108:1 116:23	24:2 168:23	19 280:8	limiting	244:16,19,21
117:2 118:22	170:25 208:18	level	240:1	245:1 251:8
122:3 136:19	243:22	115:13 147:1	limits	254:3 262:8
154:4,5 155:2,8	legal	166:16 195:22	147:4	263:1,20,25
162:12,18,22	19:5 20:15	Lexington	Lindi	264:2,7,9,11,13,
165:18 220:20	24:24 71:10	173:6,13 186:15	8:14 18:1 68:25	15,17,18 270:2
224:7 255:2	93:23 94:2,3	197:21 205:5,8	159:4 168:22	271:4,24 273:25
266:5 278:13,	96:21,23 112:15	231:17,19,24	201:12 285:17	litem's
23,25 279:12,25	135:11 139:13	232:18	286:5	232:17 251:4
280:2,7,9	146:9 161:11	liaison	Lindi's	litems
282:25 283:11,	165:4 166:14,24	171:3	289:14	81:18 245:21
15 285:5 287:3	171:13,15 180:5	lies	Lindsay	264:22
289:2 291:24	188:17 200:12	39:11 124:18	168:7 175:20	literally
lay	242:14 243:2	life	201:21 230:9,10	61:20 88:15
169:19 183:18	legislation	12:7 19:4	lines	276:19
lay-people	48:14	114:15 119:11	83:23 84:3 85:9	litigant
224:15 266:6	legislative	244:7 249:1	103:21,23	22:4 56:8 58:2
291:10	60:4	288:20 292:6,8	129:4,7	86:25 169:18,19
lay-person	legislator	lifestyle	list	178:7 179:10,15
205:21 223:16	29:25 79:10	243:8	29:14 49:21	187:8 188:7,10
278:18	99:22 120:23	lifetime	82:7,12 126:12	190:11 193:10
lead	140:5 290:10	134:10	170:8	197:11 203:1
45:11 271:22	lend	lightly	listed	205:19 212:2
leading	148:4	164:4	57:20 81:21	218:14 221:19
174:13	Leslie	likewise	109:5 229:9	222:2,3,11
learn	292:13	156:3 193:4	listen	232:23 233:16
40:9 64:16	letter	200:3 272:7	40:13 45:10	234:11,13
87:24 243:7	15:3 29:10,24	liking	163:6 171:9,13	litigants
learned	45:7,17,18	278:4	237:16 277:7	96:9 118:18
142:2	65:10 79:5,9	limbo	279:19	124:22 146:25
learning	90:6 99:13,21	178:10 229:2	listened	162:19 163:7
64:17 75:16	102:12 109:16		165:17 294:23	170:22 171:8

221:20 279:25	locked	39:25 43:10	lunch	18 243:14
287:21 291:25	40:19 42:13	48:12,19 51:9	16:21 49:8	maintaining
litigated	50:6,13	59:16 60:24	131:21	104:11
198:2 212:5	Logan	61:6,12 67:3		major
213:2	152:4	75:1,17 88:21	M	246:24 285:9
litigating	long	115:15,17		majority
114:24	23:20,22 32:21	116:11,14 119:2	Madam	12:2 42:18
litigation	52:1 107:25	123:1,14,23	240:18	71:13 128:2
19:7,8 94:10	108:19 154:22,	126:10 136:5,6	made	171:3
96:4 97:10	25 164:8 179:24	137:6 142:12	17:19 34:10,23	make
113:20 117:16	189:12 190:13	144:10,15,21	35:12 37:11	8:7 9:8 11:20
137:3 141:6	192:13 273:25	145:3,9 148:14	49:25 52:24	13:23 18:20
172:14 267:13	280:13 293:14	152:16,19	59:14 61:23	20:19 24:24
litigators	long-serving	153:11 161:2	75:9 92:1,8	25:3 27:14
114:24	292:3	164:19 165:16,	110:20 137:12	30:22 31:17
live	long-term	17 223:4 233:21	155:3 163:25	35:21 36:22
63:25 70:25	54:19	293:25	177:16 181:8	39:1,7,12 40:3,4
93:13	longer	lots	182:24 184:18	46:5 47:7,8 49:4
lived	51:9 72:6 91:4	75:6,7	186:6 191:13	52:9,19 54:15
172:10 181:20,	124:11 128:24	love	201:15 202:19	56:11,20 62:13
21 197:21	247:19 281:3	21:22,24 33:10	203:6,9 204:13,	64:24 67:24
243:16,17	longest	34:8 55:21	17,18,19,24	69:1,22 72:7,9
lives	67:16	75:19 87:19	205:13,17	93:2 94:16
103:13	looked	122:22 135:16	206:12 208:20	101:23 106:3
living	42:24 84:20	160:22 162:5	211:15 212:3	107:18 109:1
104:14 171:5	86:15 113:1	165:8 178:23	215:24 216:5	111:20 114:14
186:3 246:25	144:2 239:15	248:13,17	218:2 228:21	121:19 122:2
247:2	270:19 275:10	267:13	236:13 239:2	124:15 129:3,14
loaded	289:1	loved	249:1 252:20	133:13 134:7
143:15	lose	45:21 289:5	267:3 272:13 274:9 281:7	145:10 147:5
loan	35:14 41:10	loves	285:21 286:3,11	150:3,5,8 159:3
27:17,18,25	251:14	19:3	285.21 280.5,11 287:11 289:18	160:2,23
31:12	losing	Low	292:20 294:15	163:20,24,25
loans	295:1	78:15,16 98:23		164:12 174:10
75:15	loss	119:23	magistrate 118:10 139:3	177:13 180:8
local	286:21 288:3	Lowcountry		181:2 184:10
85:8 138:10	lost	28:16 51:2	maintain	185:18 194:7
171:21	33:18 77:19	lower	63:25 103:20 173:3 242:23	200:4 204:12,
localized	282:1	36:3 222:3	281:17,19	14,16 208:8,11
172:13	lot	lowered	maintained	217:19 221:10,
locally	20:25 24:11	197:19	64:9 88:4	11 224:13 230:1
86:5	31:13 35:5 36:1		64:9 88:4 172:11 173:13,	234:2 235:7,9, 17 237:2,4
00.3			1/2.11 1/3.13,	1/23/.2,4

238:22 241:2	mandatory	239:11,14	media	meetings
245:11 256:4	12:4,6 124:10	materials	118:7	155:11
258:18 266:8	manner	9:1 18:13 69:15	mediated	meets
267:17 269:5	148:3 171:1	80:12 92:20	70:10 73:18	20:10 71:5
270:20 271:18	293:25	102:20 111:13	146:23 172:17	93:18 112:10
272:5 276:21,	March	133:25 159:20	212:5 253:24	135:6 166:12
22,24 277:1	108:16	matter	260:7 274:15	Meetze
287:3,6 291:24	Marcus	39:15 59:6,10	mediating	177:15 182:24,
292:24 293:9,	202:24	73:9 86:22	89:19	25 183:3 199:11
12,15 294:10,13	Marissa	97:17 106:10	mediation	202:23,24
makes		149:8 164:5	70:12 73:20	210:22 216:20
26:19 55:24	91:17 92:11,14 96:18	167:8 187:4	80:25 81:13	222:9 224:19
78:5 107:1		189:23 207:14	89:8,14 124:10,	member
153:13 288:4	marital	208:4 210:21	16 125:3,7	25:1 130:12
293:25	24:10 56:16	215:2,22 257:20	198:8,11 254:8,	168:13 225:16
making	94:10 96:4,9,12	matters	11,14	members
8:10 39:12 46:5	97:10	16:9 23:5 26:9	mediations	15:20 16:4,19
48:23 55:23	mark	73:4,9 76:7 81:4	116:12,14	29:11,21 30:5
56:2 61:25	8:14 18:1	95:12,15,20,25	117:17 124:4	55:2 79:6,15
68:22 92:4	226:10 243:19,	96:9 97:12 99:8		99:14,18 100:2
110:23 126:12	20,23 248:21,24	101:4 102:23,25	mediator	101:2,3 120:15,
133:9 158:24	market	101:4 102:23,23	70:10 124:5,23	19 121:2 131:23
165:2 171:5	28:5,6,7 31:25	104:22,24 105:0	137:6	139:22 140:1,9
180:22 183:20	32:1 96:11	117:5 126:1	mediators	166:9 181:10
189:5 200:13	marketing	128:1 136:14	81:14	186:21 201:6
206:23 213:14,	77:15	139:16 165:5	medical	202:5,8 228:18
22 250:13	marriage	mattress	96:3 197:6	238:1,10 241:25
male	76:12 78:10	186:9,23	205:22	238.1,10 241.25 287:17 290:2,6,
53:17	199:23 243:21	maximum	medication	14 295:19 296:3
mall	marriages		195:21 223:25	
10:19	23:20 71:21	34:6	medicine	memo 147:18
	73:19 76:15	Mciver	174:24 175:3,5,	
man 12:4 125:10	married	16:1 105:4,5,6	8,10 177:5	memoranda
12:4 125:10	66:10 108:15	Mcmanaway	197:25	22:9 56:13
	134:16,18	202:13	Medlin	MEMORANDU
management	171:18 250:7	meaning	271:9 274:21	M
171:23	master	261:15 283:3	281:25	236:16 289:21
manager	70:3 74:20	means	meet	memory
171:22	98:16	22:15 262:17	38:19 145:10	51:15 242:18
managing	match	263:10 291:22	223:6 280:17	men
66:10 134:14	74:11	293:2	meeting	10:19
mandates	material	meant	49:12 62:16	mental
275:14 276:10	106:20 231:10	176:11	169:12 174:6	28:23 49:7
	100.20 231.10			
	I	l		I

78:21 86:3 99:1	middle	misery	moments	204:9 231:17
120:4 166:4	7:3 39:19	67:3	288:5	243:15,16,18
182:14	107:21 108:9,12	misinformed	Monday	244:6,18 247:4
mention	132:10 134:18	170:13	175:12	287:12,13
102:6 105:11	177:18 190:1	missed	money	mothers
202:12,20	196:3	184:14	20:25 27:16	80:22 244:2
203:11	million	missing	31:13,17 83:2,	256:2
mentioned	27:17,18 31:12,	47:6 276:21	11 128:17	motion
33:24 44:18	13	mistake	148:23 165:9	14:17 36:22
82:1 89:13	mind	188:10	monitoring	147:1 173:2,5
128:20 130:12	9:23 38:7 59:11	mistrial	42:17	177:14,25
153:24 155:10	73:23 147:24	149:14	month	178:9,16 187:7
182:4 195:20	189:9 224:10	mixed	10:2,5,6 11:6	191:24 192:10,
213:23 233:12	267:16	66:14	43:1 44:17.24	19 194:1 203:9
260:16	mindful	mobile	49:5 87:9	207:6 209:15
mentor	294:9 295:23	136:25	130:16 188:13	216:4 217:4
53:17 151:4	minds		228:8 239:11	219:14 221:12
mentors	56:18 267:11	Mobley	244:16	228:23,24 229:3
150:21	mine	184:1	month-to-month	231:23 232:13,
Merit	57:5 151:4	mock	49:12	17 235:7,9,21,
8:16,19,22 18:3,	188:21	107:19 108:9,	months	22,25 236:3
6,9 69:3,6,12	minimal	14,16	10:8,9,12 11:5	motions
92:10,13,17	96:7 244:7	moderately	22:15 32:3	70:1 146:20
111:4,7,10	minimum	119:18	82:18 128:25	164:7,10 211:5,
133:15,18,22	12:4	moderator	228:7 243:20	8 232:1,9
159:6,9,16	minor	115:25	moral	233:19 235:17
238:5 242:1,10	81:20 103:5,6	modification	24:25	250:24 272:1
merits	minorities	212:21 231:5,6,	MORASKA	motivated
209:16 269:6	33:7 45:4	19,21 232:5	68:4 69:5,7,10	25:7
mess	minute	modify	morning	motivation
28:4	84:25 162:9	231:25	7:9,11 9:14,15	97:22,23
met		modifying	46:14,15 65:24	mountain
45:8 55:12	minutes 57:21,22 58:24	212:18 215:3	66:5 83:20,21	191:5
103:24 113:5	77:18 105:18,	Moise	93:6,10 98:2	mouth
116:23 129:4	20,23 106:2,6,8	67:10,18	100:19,20	148:24
223:3	149:14 154:15	mom	168:23 175:18	move
Michael	149:14 134:13	172:18 177:18	251:10	29:3 43:25
66:9	191:9 194:10,12	185:6,10 196:4	mother	59:12 67:24
microphone	247:1 249:1	Mom's	66:14 85:11	104:11,12
168:20	mischaracterize	197:22	176:11 177:21	164:20 172:16
mid-	d	moment	182:6,9,11,15,	173:1,2,5
209:2	u 202:11,17	141:8	20 183:5 184:6	186:15 187:10
	202.11,17			

190:16 205:5	229:1,6,10,13,	23 88:9 149:5	news	nonstop
231:18,24	18,20 251:25	needed	118:11	24:6 61:21 62:4
233:21 236:18	252:1,6,12,16,	31:19 48:4 96:6	newspaper	normal
moved	19,25 253:9,12,	105:23 150:11	9:3 18:15 69:17	78:2,8 271:21,
68:16 83:6	17,21 254:1,4,	175:5 205:22	92:22 111:15	23 275:3,7
104:5 243:15	10,15,19 271:5,	268:10 271:3	134:2 159:22	normalcy
247:6 261:9	6,7,12 274:15	272:2,12	Nexsen	105:17
296:9	296:1	negative	19:9	notable
moves	mutual	11:18,22 74:14	nice	241:12,13,16
15:18 16:2	243:25	101:24 109:3	127:13 291:21	notate
131:20 231:17	mutually-agreed	150:16	Nichols	226:11
291:2 296:1	244:10	neglect	173:10,17,22	note
moving	Myrtle	47:12,14,23	174:16,20 175:2	12:15 20:7
7:4 62:6 113:5	144:13,25	82:1,4,22,24	185:5 196:20	28:16 30:13
231:19 232:18,	171:19 243:16	102:25 103:9	197:14 203:7	60:4 71:2 78:15
20	247:6	104:22 123:4	207:18 230:10,	79:23 90:9
multi-million-	mystery	127:19,21,23	11	93:15 98:23
115:10	246:22	128:12 143:11,	Nicholson	100:10 112:7
multiple		13 144:11	28:14 58:3	119:23 121:10
126:7 179:9	N	161:25 164:22	night	135:3 139:4
272:10		214:7	98:3 169:1	140:17 168:23
Munchausen	nail	neglected	nobody's	181:13 245:25
96:1	288:12	104:9	51:14 87:7	290:22
murder	named	neglecting	125:8	notebook
7:3 10:19 14:7	98:9	103:2,6	nominated	251:11
43:13 45:4,5,6	nasty	negligent	59:3	notebooks
261:5	162:24	177:21 196:25	nomination	106:11
murders	National	neighbor	25:4	noted
35:4 44:11	161:14	12:9 193:18	non-	28:24 46:24
Murphy	natural	nervous	96:11 258:4	74:4,8 119:7
58:11,12,13	233:4	165:12	non-criminal	141:25
60:4 101:21,22	nature	nervousness	32:19	notes
129:14,16,17	27:7 37:16	164:2 165:13	non-	171:9 282:2,7
131:20 191:17,	39:24 78:9	neutral	incarceration	notice
19,20 192:1,9,	98:11 138:9	125:5	51:20 52:14	49:23 189:18
18,21,23 193:2	172:14	newer	53:2,19	192:16 203:3
209:12,13	navigating	25:17 26:4	non-jailable	220:13,16
225:5,6,8,18	90:15	newly	34:5	232:24 233:21
226:2,9,13,16,	nearing	9:25	non-lawyers	234:12
23 227:1,6,9,12,	288:9	Newman	291:10	noticed
15,18,21 228:3,	necessarily	36:18	non-violent	102:10 124:4
6,9,11,14,17	35:2,16 38:15,		51:18	174:24 242:11
			51.10	
	1	1	1	1

notices	168:3 202:4	observation	offence	official
226:8	242:22 262:14,	109:1 121:19	12:12	280:1
notified	17,21 263:9,10	observations	offense	officials
173:25 195:12	266:23 275:21	82:21	37:3 41:8,10,17	171:4 280:3
notifies	279:15 286:18	observe	offer	oftentimes
176:21	294:8	63:9,15 101:16	25:12 34:14,24	266:6
notify	obey	117:21	35:12,14 36:3	older
176:6	240:3	observed	39:18 40:13	225:21,22
noting	object	63:15 103:9	52:14 58:14	oldest
146:7	107:11 256:15	114:8 127:7	59:14 74:16	66:12
November	257:13 261:18	283:15	97:7,22 117:12	Omni
189:25 209:2,3,	271:13,14	observing	122:17 137:17	31:2
4,7,15 220:14	273:12 283:1	95:7 126:9	154:15 163:22	on/week
250:17 251:14	objected	127:2	164:17 165:6	212:9
nuances	218:16 257:24	obtain	offered	One's
115:19	258:5,10,17	122:11 192:6	53:1 103:24	30:23
number	261:16 273:11	195:2 198:18	104:2	one-
25:20 26:12	280:14 281:11	obtained	offering	185:5
32:24 33:5 43:2	282:25 283:2	161:16	65:17 83:22	one-bedroom
47:14,22 76:8	objecting	obtaining	90:12 107:17	172:1
84:25 87:8	257:18 261:7	104:12	109:23 131:11	one-l
89:18 97:25	281:25 282:2,6	occasional	156:13 157:1	21:20
102:1,8 119:20	objection	149:11	295:16	
130:2 188:9	8:9 17:21 68:22,	occasions	offers	one-sixteenth
230:15 244:9	24 92:3,8	117:21 126:7	26:12 38:21	28:11 31:20
246:15,18	110:22,25 111:2	247:16	office	one-size-fits-all
249:21 255:20,	133:9,13 158:24	occur	19:15 23:14	104:1
21 267:8 268:14	159:2 182:24	244:22 267:12	26:1 32:16,18	open
277:16 281:13,	183:3 205:18	occurred	36:12 40:7	15:6 45:22,23
24	236:12 256:21,	184:23 204:22	44:15 47:18	65:14 90:9
numbers	24 257:3,12,22	244:3,25	49:5,6,25 55:7,	109:20 131:7
44:3	258:3,4,13,18	October	20 60:19,20	148:24 156:23
numerous	259:6 261:6,8,	116:6 123:12	62:5,18 70:7	293:7 295:12
24:8 70:17	17 267:19 268:8	174:15 175:6	82:10 162:7,25	opened
71:16,23 73:18	objections	219:18 220:4	172:25 192:4	49:11
75:22,25 117:21	257:19	ODC	242:23 279:7	opening
nuts	obligated	198:24	officer	9:8 18:20 69:22
126:6	32:2 283:11		171:19,21	93:2,4 111:20
	291:23	odd 33:25	174:17 182:13	134:7 160:2
0	obligations		officers	248:12
	145:11 257:20	oddly	72:21	operates
oath	294:8	33:22		247:22 291:10

operating	69:20 92:25	145:20 211:12	overhaul	panel
115:18	111:19 134:6	218:1 245:19	143:19	98:4 239:13
opinion	160:1	248:5	overhauled	263:8,13 275:25
13:23 167:7	option	organizations	144:1,3	291:12
183:8 194:7,8	59:15,18	129:2	overhearing	panic
195:3 205:21	oral	original	195:21	174:3
210:12 211:2	81:2 148:4,5,8	213:7 229:4,7	overlap	pans
215:1,5 239:21	179:19 224:3	originally	101:15 269:17	186:2
261:18	239:9	31:7 114:22	overlaps	paper
opinions	order	115:6 126:24	95:4	245:24 251:11
125:2 194:15	22:20 34:22	outcome	overnight	282:4
215:10,11	64:12 72:13	30:1 57:8 79:11	169:1	papers
opportunities	94:24 105:15	98:7 99:23	overrule	153:18
172:24	113:25 135:24	120:24 127:8	207:3	paperwork
opportunity	145:21 162:17	140:6 147:8	overruled	148:22
14:25 21:14	165:20 178:20	280:16 290:11	258:23,25	paralegal
26:4 51:19	180:4 187:14,15	outcome's	overworked	138:19
57:15 65:7	188:5,16,18	124:21	128:19	paramours
66:18 90:3 94:1	189:18,22	outcomes		73:19
97:13 98:4	192:10 194:2	56:23 57:3	owners	
101:9 108:17	203:3,4,18	outlawed	28:6 31:23	parent 127:22,23
109:13 113:23	206:20,23 207:5	76:13	owns	146:22 169:20
118:20 130:25	208:25 209:9,11	outlets	31:22	188:24 189:3
143:3 150:9	211:23 212:1	118:11		196:25 197:15
151:10 156:16	213:7,19 215:8,	outliers	Р	205:10 213:15,
158:2 161:1	24 224:2 225:19	60:13	n m	21 246:25
202:2 295:5	230:14,17	outline	p.m. 91:1 132:3	288:14
opposed	231:3,11,13,14	62:10	157:10 296:8,12	parental
15:21 16:5	234:9,10,24	outlook	packet	82:16 95:19,22
34:11 36:3	235:13 247:17	152:18	58:22,23	96:1 104:19
113:13 119:18	250:12 252:3		,	116:4 128:6
131:24 296:4	253:2 254:16	outrageous 37:19	pages 23:22 147:14	parents
opposing	258:13 277:19		23:22 147:14 241:21,22	23:15 27:11
116:25 183:1	278:19 279:23	outset	,	71:17,18 82:20
188:17 199:12	ordered	37:4 123:13	paid	84:13 85:12,14
245:7 246:3,7	53:8 213:7	outstanding	141:12 142:2 148:23 172:21	98:13 103:4
255:11,14,18	286:24	12:24 13:3	148:23 172:21 188:12 293:5	104:4,25 105:2
261:16,22	ordering	96:18 142:10		113:3 128:5
opposite	194:1	overallness	pain	145:5 155:19
52:22 142:3	orderly	151:9	175:13,20 196:9 197:12,17	161:24 176:14
opposition	154:3	overarching	,	180:5 196:18
9:7 13:16 18:19	orders	103:18	Pamlico	205:12 288:13,
			191:5	

17 289:3	partnerships	pattern	pending	292:2,6 293:3,7,
parents'	73:21	151:6	30:1,22 79:11	8,9,12,13 294:6,
128:6	parts	Paul	82:19 96:21	7
parole	73:16	47:19 60:19	99:23 120:24	people's
72:21	party	61:20 62:4	140:6 167:8	58:7
part	98:9 173:4	pavement	190:13 207:15,	peoples'
27:24 34:16	212:22,24	171:6	24 215:9 220:11	103:13
47:6,9 53:14	241:15 249:22	pay	246:8 290:11	percent
57:8 74:18	270:9 273:13	32:1 55:16	pennies	27:10,12 31:3,4
78:14 81:13,22	274:11	129:6 145:5,18,	31:24	33:7 70:12
102:24 111:2	pass	19 155:25	people	97:11,12 146:15
118:15 119:11,	168:21 226:17	188:16	9:22 21:23	186:19 244:24
16 130:8 154:6,	288:19	paying	22:12,14 36:18	percentage
7 180:21 186:8	passed	28:5 31:24,25	44:2 47:20	28:11 31:20
201:16 215:4,5	55:13 130:16	57:15 150:2	49:13 50:22,23	89:7
220:11 236:13	227:4 292:4	188:12	52:19,22,23	perception
242:14 261:4	passes	PDQ	54:14 56:14,17	165:10 171:7
267:21 268:10	235:1	7:24 17:15 20:9	59:16 60:14	perfect
281:6 285:21	passing	23:10 71:4	62:6 63:5 64:4	104:6,7 202:21
286:4,11 289:18	168:25	72:15 80:19	81:23 88:12	perfecting
partially	passion	83:25 93:17	96:7 97:15	154:12
76:16	19:7,20 122:22	112:9 113:15	101:5,7 108:11	perfection
participate	141:13 160:21,	135:5	112:23 113:8,14	170:18,20
108:9	25	pediatrician	116:12 117:15	perform
participating	passionate	175:4	118:13,16,17	73:1 279:7
89:16	28:25 160:9,21	Pee	119:20 122:16	294:6
parties	past	139:4 146:7	123:18 125:6	period
30:4 41:1 58:24	10:7 22:25 70:6,	peer	128:24 136:20	98:17 106:13
71:23 72:17,18,	7,10,17 84:20	53:13	137:22 141:5	209:17 217:6
22 79:14 80:22	87:4 101:14	peers	142:3,12 143:25	220:5
87:2 100:1	102:9 126:20	97:4 98:20	144:10,15,16,17 146:3 147:6	permanency
105:22 106:11	176:14 181:14	142:11 146:7,13	140.3 147.0	104:12
121:1 140:8	249:13	242:12	13,14 152:17,19	permanent
212:5,8 228:4	patience	peers'	153:11 155:16	104:13,14
230:13,16	112:21 132:7	141:23	157:24 160:21,	180:22 284:15
251:15 254:8	293:22,23	penalty	22,24 183:20	permanently
267:11 287:10,	patient	38:5 293:5	186:13 187:20,	186:22
13 290:13	94:20 162:19	pendency	23,24 216:9	permission
partner	279:24	105:17 205:4	239:13 240:4	215:25 216:2
55:10 66:10	patiently	219:13 231:22	242:10 274:20	
134:14	169:8	232:8	279:18 280:25	permitted
			281:7 291:12,17	267:21
	I	1	I	1

person	pharmacists	placement	pleas	253:17,19
12:24 13:3	136:20	48:16 172:18	14:2,3 70:2	259:11 267:17,
24:23 55:24	phone	205:9,10	72:19 74:22	18 268:17
81:9 145:4	48:23 50:25	213:15,16,21	75:21 84:6,14	270:13 280:5,6,
150:12 205:20	98:1 123:20	270:24	98:10 221:20	12,19,21 283:11
213:16 243:11	128:22 192:7	placements	pleasant	288:25 289:9
249:5 276:3	physical	50:2.19	11:20	pointed
person's	120:4 166:4	places	pleased	257:9,12 274:22
114:15	170:7	13:7	45:21 152:22	pointing
personable	physician	plaintiff	pleasure	270:14
120:7 122:5	28:23 78:21	27:4 95:20	18:25 117:4	police
personal	99:1	104:20 216:24	169:12	171:19,21 172:5
8:17 18:4 46:22	pick	230:9,10,12	pled	174:17
68:8 69:4,9 77:1	10:22	, ,	40:20 84:8	polled
91:21 92:11		plan 27:5 49:3 76:6	292:17	12:1
102:21 110:16	picked	104:14 181:8		
111:5 125:19	118:12 175:11,		pledge	poor
129:19 132:24	13,22	planet	29:25 79:10	24:4
133:16 158:15	picks	78:13	99:22 120:23	popped
159:7,12	291:15	plans	140:5 290:10	252:11
164:16,18	pickup	186:1,2	pledging	portion
179:21 180:19	136:25	plate	30:10 79:20	14:24 31:19
181:7,25 184:18	picture	10:10	100:7 121:7	42:5 60:25 65:6
194:8 242:13	168:22	play	140:14 290:19	90:2 109:12
247:9	pictures	39:14 183:14	Pleicones	130:24 156:14
	186:1	233:24	161:8	157:25 182:6
personally 170:19 172:7	piece	plea	plenty	206:19 235:20
	27:9 28:10	34:11,14,24	123:16 235:17	280:11 295:4
personnel	245:24 251:11	35:6 36:2,3	podium	portrayal
162:3,5,12	282:4	38:21 39:7,18	59:18,20 157:13	184:9,15
persons	piggyback	40:13 52:14	167:16 201:24	posed
103:4	58:15	plead	236:20 286:15	254:24 293:8
perspective	pitched	38:12 40:17,18	point	position
34:2 54:5 76:2	175:2	41:12 241:12	36:9 41:18 43:8	20:11 25:16
78:2 88:19	pitfalls	pleading	44:12 64:14	59:4 65:17 71:6
perspectives	49:9	35:8 170:6	87:16 89:10	82:9 83:22
22:6	place	241:14	101:23 113:23	90:12 93:19
pertaining	•	pleadings	118:4 121:24	109:23 112:11
27:5	85:19 145:2 168:3 173:20	58:22 138:17	178:6 182:1	126:25 129:22
peruse	179:14 239:6	173:8 230:7,8	196:11 198:15	131:11 135:7
239:12	243:10 249:7	pleads	200:8,16 212:4	156:13 157:1,2
pharmacies	243:10 249:7 257:22 269:20	35:12,20	218:14 220:1	169:22 172:12,
136:21		55.12,20	228:5 234:18	16 173:1 295:16
	273:25			

positions	74:19 76:5,8	pre-trial	197:2 222:19	principles
10:21 63:6	80:15 81:10,13,	176:19	272:18 287:9	166:15 242:15
143:25	17 82:6 87:15,	precise	presenting	prior
positive	18,22 88:18	87:7	246:23	30:1 32:18
11:18 24:20	93:20 94:8,14	preferable	presently	79:11 86:17
53:13 74:2	95:3,4 97:9,11	163:4,5	146:16	99:23 120:23
96:17 115:5	98:1 101:4	premise	pressed	140:5 178:11
116:19 137:11	112:12 113:9,10	41:16 42:5,6	279:17	192:23 195:21
150:15 163:14	114:23 115:22	premiums	pressing	202:12 209:9
166:10	116:11 119:7	172:22	277:1	211:11 212:20
possibility	124:16 125:3	preparation	prestige	231:19 238:8
35:4	126:15 135:8,21	22:20,22 72:12,	56:3	244:16 284:23
possibly	136:9,16 137:23	14 73:10 94:24		290:10
98:22 220:7	143:5,10	95:1 113:25	presume 13:25 123:2	prison
243:7	146:15,24	114:2 135:24	155:16 207:4	292:6
Post	147:13,25	136:1		private
118:9	154:12 162:2		pretrial	23:12,16 25:24
post-trial	234:3,6 241:9	prepare 240:8	176:23 177:10, 12 216:21	40:11 54:22
34:11	practiced			55:6 57:7 61:4
postpone	61:5,7 67:14	prepared 62:10,11 163:6	pretty	62:3 63:18
16:17	69:25 71:13	168:25 187:9	80:11 117:22	97:12,17 101:14
posts	80:17 130:17	190:16 199:6	125:5,11 127:8	104:22 113:9,
147:15	practices	211:1 233:16	130:19 144:9 166:25 234:19	10,19 114:23
potentially	94:5 136:21	preparing		123:1 126:4
15:4 65:12 90:7	153:4 161:11	73:12,15,17	previous	128:9 136:16
109:18 131:5	practicing		9:4 18:16 69:18 92:23 111:16	137:23 138:5
156:21 172:8	52:1 62:24	prepped 178:6 190:10	134:3 159:23	171:4,8 197:10
189:4 240:4	102:11 113:15		176:2 231:12,14	245:21
295:9	115:7 119:5	prescription 223:25	,	privately
poverty	124:10 125:21		previously	113:16
144:10,17	134:13 135:19	present	143:2 187:6 245:4	privilege
power	281:1,3 294:11	9:7 18:20 69:21		96:20 130:8
46:2	practitioner	105:2 111:20	priest	160:6,8
	86:20	134:7 148:22 151:18 160:2	77:8,12	pro
practical 62:24 86:22	practitioners	179:6 182:15	prima	174:13 178:7
	23:8 24:12	190:24 236:1	106:1	179:5,10,15
practice	praise	246:13 256:11	primarily	182:4 187:8
11:10 19:6,10 20:12 23:12	142:5	264:11 277:22	101:14 270:22	188:7,10 190:11
26:4 47:15	pray	presentation	primary	198:15 206:22
54:10,22 55:6	193:10 288:15	100:22 237:2,4	205:9,10 210:20	218:14 220:17
61:22 62:3 63:8	prayer	,	213:15,16,21	221:19,20
67:10 71:7	119:17	presented 107:3,9 154:4	246:25	222:1,3,10
07.1071.7		107.3,9 134:4		

232:23 233:16	249:14 279:23	program	45:23 48:11	psychiatrist
234:12 241:15	proceeds	21:5 33:15	61:12 63:7	23:25 222:24
pro-child	220:15	53:14 83:5	114:6	223:9
83:8	process	programs	prosecutor's	psychologists
proactive	14:25 21:24	48:4	19:15	96:3 150:1
129:8	30:6 34:13 48:8,	progress	prosecutors	public
proactively	10 65:7 73:20	21:7	64:21	19:15 33:6,20
114:8	79:16 90:3	prohibited	protect	39:17 40:7
probate	100:3 102:14	235:12	24:5 103:17	45:25 49:6
70:2 72:20	109:13 114:16	prohibits	243:4	53:22 54:23
74:21 75:21	118:8,16 121:3	239:19	protected	64:21 94:2
76:16 88:23	126:6 127:7	prominent	190:6	112:24 113:3
probation	130:8,25 140:10	114:23 161:13	protection	114:5 169:9
21:9 44:4 72:21	156:15 158:6	promise	178:2 249:22	190:4
84:8 182:13	162:14 166:14	53:21 141:22	protections	publicly
probe	170:14 200:5,6	180:16	218:17	291:16
88:22	201:10 209:22			published
problem	290:15 293:10	promising 247:5	proud 66:8 70:11	167:6 215:1,5,
106:14,18	294:16 295:4,21		122:7 154:14	10
136:11 149:4	profession	promptly 242:7	156:8	pulled
180:17 185:16	108:4 156:1			113:12
197:16 254:25	161:9 182:10	proof	proudest 70:18	punished
257:25 258:4	professional	105:25 245:5		51:21
259:22,23	20:16 21:17	proper	prove	punishment
260:12 261:4	28:18 71:11	54:5 203:17	38:19 39:6,9	34:25 37:4,7
286:11 289:17	78:20 93:24	284:10	proven	291:12
problems	99:3 112:16	property	37:18	punitive
50:5 144:7	120:1 135:12	23:19 24:13	provide	52:6
procedural	137:12 139:8	27:6,9 28:5,10	42:1 203:5	purpose
75:6 170:13	166:6 248:7	98:10,13 284:8	provided	21:19 22:1
238:4	professionalism	proposed	171:24 172:1	26:21 34:15
procedure	96:23	238:11 239:8	185:5,7,8	36:10,11 52:8
157:23 165:5	professionally	prosecute	188:24 189:22 194:22 201:3	64:25 268:20
proceed	74:5 119:6	33:13 45:12,13		purposefully
217:1,10 218:5,	proffer	prosecuting	providing	26:23
16 219:2 233:17	220:12	42:1	81:10 282:24	purposely
252:21	proficiency	prosecution	proving	245:11
proceeding	88:5	32:21	197:7	
220:20 267:21	profile	prosecutor	Proxy	purposes 227:24 244:20
	62:9	19:16 25:18	96:1	
proceedings 95:7,9 114:5	profitable	26:6,8 33:12	Pruet	pursuant 15:1 65:8 90:4
117:19 181:15	27:15	37:23 38:11,13	19:9	109:14 131:1
11/.17 101.13				107.14 131.1

156:17 295:5	208:8 222:10	225:22 226:16,	181:7,9,10	121:11 140:18
push	223:3,6 241:20	18 227:1 238:10	201:6 202:5	164:15 165:3
40:22 197:11	247:19 295:13,	246:24 254:24	206:3 209:12	197:19 202:10
pushed	14	264:6 269:2	225:3,4 229:23,	243:19,23 283:6
274:8	qualified	272:15 289:6	24 236:6	284:4,7 290:23
pushing	25:3 28:17,21,	questioned	238:11,20,23	raising
34:6	25 59:3 78:17,	80:14	239:8 241:3	77:14
put	19 98:24 99:2	questioning	249:11 251:24	Ralph
23:10 45:17	102:4 119:25	12:18 30:15	254:22 265:24	55:12
51:18 83:2 95:6	120:2,7 122:5	80:1 100:12	285:11,13	ramifications
117:17 122:6	129:22 139:5,7	121:13 125:20	287:17 289:12,	170:7
126:8,17,24	166:3,5 241:23,	140:19 182:6	23 291:1,3	ran
129:25 138:17	25 242:20 249:4	184:6 290:24	293:8,19	46:18,19 62:4
141:12 147:14	283:8	questionnaire	quick	142:16 151:8
148:11 174:24	qualify	8:17 18:4 46:23,	269:2	251:23
176:9,24 178:20	20:16 71:11	24 68:8 69:4,10	quickly	range
188:16 194:2	93:24 112:16	77:2 91:21	83:9 147:18	190:2
196:11 200:19	135:12	92:11 102:22	quid	Rankin
226:1 235:14	qualifying	110:16 111:5	174:13	145:24,25 152:3
245:3 252:11	241:6	125:19 132:25	quiet	193:3,4,8,13,25
273:25 274:2	qualities	133:16 158:15	98:14	195.5,4,8,15,25
285:17	247:10	159:7,13	quo	195:3,19,25
PUTNAM	quality	questions	174:13	196:13 197:18
286:8	143:24	9:13 12:19,20	quote	198:2,6,10,13,
puts	quantify	13:11 14:20	120:5 194:4	19,25 199:3,5,9,
57:19	89:18	19:24 30:17,18	quote/unquote	17,21,23 200:1,
putting	quantifying	32:8,15 46:9	267:20	10,15,22,25
149:8,21,23	89:11	51:6 59:24 60:7	207.20	201:4 209:18,19
177:2	queen-size	65:3 70:20,22		210:7,10,13,18
177.2	186:11	80:2,4,10 83:16,	R	211:5,11,15,20
		23 89:24 93:8	race	212:3,10,13,15,
Q	quest 46:17 102:19	98:21 100:14,16	33:24	17,23 213:1,4,
qualifications		101:20 108:23	raise	23 214:3,14,17,
8:24 15:7 18:11	question 30:21 32:13	109:12 111:23,	7:17 17:8 68:1	21,24 215:13
28:23 65:15	35:25 36:1	25 121:14,16,20	91:15 104:15	216:12 217:14
69:13 78:20	58:16,17 81:25	122:13 125:13	110:9 132:18	240:17 272:6,7,
90:10 92:18	85:5 86:13	129:13 130:21	158:8 168:5	16,21 273:2,6,
96:25 98:25	88:13 102:21,22	134:22 140:21,	195:22 216:21	11,14,20 274:10
109:21 111:11	121:18 125:19,	23 145:23	240:20 283:24	ransom
120:4 131:8	20,22 143:15	146:18 148:16	raised	188:14
133:23 156:24	162:10 188:1	149:2 154:19	12:16 30:14	rapes
159:18 166:4		156:14 158:1		35:5
157.10 100.4	189.9 214.15		/9:24 100:11	55.5
137.18 100.4	189:9 214:15	160:12 171:11	79:24 100:11	55.5

rapid	ready	79:10 96:14	55:23	197:6 231:1
128:22	42:25 64:20	99:22 111:18	reconsider	recount
rare	127:16 240:16	116:16 120:23	178:10 207:6	216:16
34:2 44:9	288:25	134:5 137:8	reconsideration	recruited
rarely	real	140:5 152:22	191:24 192:10,	171:22
33:24 41:15	11:4 98:9 292:9	159:25 163:11	19 228:23	rectum
62:4 199:18	reality	168:12,15	reconvene	196:9
rate	171:7	239:6,7,8	296:11	recuse
70:11 213:24	realize	290:10	record	211:24
ratings	57:13 154:21	recent	12:16 15:6,25	recused
51:4	realized	26:7 74:15,18	17:1,22 20:3,8,9	207:11 246:1
reach	197:25	76:5,7,12 95:8	30:13 65:14	red
98:20	reappointment	116:5 123:11	70:24 71:3,4	173:9 197:18
reached	9:18	128:3	79:24 90:9 91:1,	redact
190:3,5,9	reason	recently	3 93:11,16,17	244:11
225:23 226:19	10:1,17 20:18	49:18 66:21	100:10 109:20	reduced
227:2 229:15	21:11,15 24:14	68:16 76:10	111:2 112:3,8,9	35:7
reaching	58:7 74:18	84:23 118:10	121:10 131:7	
192:4	82:18 86:15	126:8 215:1	132:3 134:25	reelected 161:6
read	103:15,25 104:3	234:14 243:15	135:4,5 140:17	
12:23 22:8,9	178:19 186:15	recognize	149:9 156:23	reelection
26:19 45:17	190:19 295:13	57:11	157:10 166:24	291:7
56:10,13 57:24	reasonable	recognized	178:16 179:22	REEXAMINAT
58:6,8 62:22	38:18 116:24	126:25 150:20	180:12 181:12	ION
76:9 102:20	267:11	260:18 261:17	187:7 194:15	89:5
107:18 147:5	reasons	recognizing	195:4 200:20	refamiliarize
148:11,15 149:2	54:20 56:3	73:16	201:1,2,16	101:17 126:14
206:20 217:14	72:18 123:23	recollection	202:18 204:10,	refamiliarized
226:18 237:25	208:21	185:19 186:5,17	19 208:20 210:3	126:16
238:1 239:14	rebuttal	recommend	230:1 233:13	refamiliarizing
251:12 257:10	238:16,19	48:15 82:23	236:13 237:7	95:8
258:12,20,22	recall	128:14 143:12	238:1 240:13	refer
264:6 293:1	45:3,7,16 143:3	201:23	257:22 258:19	41:2
reading	146:18 206:11	recommendatio	261:8 267:18	reference
23:2 46:22	219:6 258:1	n	271:18 281:7	98:15 184:18
57:18 76:22	259:3 288:10	34:11 37:17	285:21,25	280:23
125:18,24	receive	39:8,21 40:24	286:3,4,11	referenced
136:10 148:17	173:7,9 189:18	45:2 102:12	287:15 289:18 290:22 296:8,12	176:10 185:25
207:23 209:14	received	recommendatio	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	187:25 188:18
246:16 269:24	9:6 11:16 18:18	ns	record's	references
reads	24:18 29:25	39:1,2,12,13	295:11	102:7
217:18	69:20 73:24	40:3,5 46:5	records	

referencing	related	relive	remodeling	reporter
187:10 188:21	37:24	288:4	186:11	240:18,19
referred	relates	remain	remove	Reporting
122:10	83:25 84:22	15:6 65:14 90:9	243:25 276:2	75:7
referring	85:6 122:25	109:20 119:6	279:1,16 280:17	reports
34:12 35:10,11	222:16 229:25	131:7 156:23	281:8,13	121:23
96:20 249:17	239:9 265:12	205:7,8 295:12	282:10,15 283:5	repossession
270:1 275:8	relating	remaining	285:3	75:1
286:6	118:10 268:22	78:19 120:3	renders	represent
reform	relation	remarkable	113:21	87:2 97:13
193:19	61:17	108:10	renew	103:4 127:24
refresh	relations	Remarkably	14:17	208:3
26:8	20:22 55:7 62:1,	241:24	renewed	representation
refreshing	19 63:3 64:9,23	remarried	78:9,10	101:10 217:5
54:13 55:21	relationship	243:20 244:11	renewing	representative
152:8	151:1	remedy	257:19	13:13,17 14:12
refusing	relationships	284:11	rent	15:13 32:9,10
200:17	144:23	remember	28:6,7 31:25	51:12 58:11,12,
regard	relative	37:23 55:1,8	32:1	13 59:15,17,24
13:16 109:9	98:17 104:13	59:4 62:16	rentals	60:2,3 61:1 91:4
115:23 116:1	145:2	125:22 146:1	27:14	100:16,18
190:12 244:13	relayed	148:9 151:12	repeat	101:19,21,22
246:7	72:15	185:3 190:25	169:18	129:14,16,17
regularly	release	203:4,5 211:3,4	repeatedly	130:13 131:19
81:11,17 101:3	15:7 65:15	219:12 256:1	172:5	191:17,18,20,22
106:17	90:10 109:21	257:21,24	rephrase	192:1,9,18,21,
rehabilitate	131:8 156:24	269:24 277:20	261:13	23 193:2
21:9	295:12,14	remembers	replied	209:12,13
rehabilitated	released	248:23	199:7	215:15,20
38:24	42:11,18 43:12	remind	reply	216:3,6,19
rehabilitating	50:14,15 192:6	14:25 65:8 90:3	186:5	217:16,23
33:16	relevant	109:13 130:25	report	218:4,7,10,13, 21 219:2,5,9,15,
rehabilitation	175:6 177:10	156:16 202:3	15:7 28:24 51:3	18 220:3,10,23
33:14 34:16	relieved	249:11 286:18	60:9 65:15	221:3,7,13,18,
35:19 36:10	202:15 203:14,	295:5	90:10 109:2,21	24 222:6,8,13,
44:5,8,13	16	reminded	131:8 156:24	16,23 223:5,8,
rehabilitation-	relitigate	153:25	241:21 251:8,10	13,15,19,23
based	208:6	remiss	262:8 283:12,17	224:6,16,18,21,
21:4	relitigating	153:21 288:2	295:12	24 225:2,5,6,8,
reiterate	181:14 188:3	remodeled	reported	9,14,18 226:2,9,
187:8	249:13	185:15	77:1 139:5	13,16,23 227:1,
			283:20	

6,9,12,15,18,21	84:5 101:11,13	required	13:6 103:8	responsibility
228:3,6,9,11,14,	104:23 136:6,19	96:25 254:7,8	170:23 202:10	34:17,18 242:4,
17 229:1,6,10,	202:13 252:17		204:4 206:18	6,21 245:21
17 229.1,0,10, 13,18,20	253:10,18	requirement	204.4 200.18	249:3 271:24
237:10,16,22	256:11	280:17	247:12 248:3	
237.10,10,22		requirements		responsible 149:16
259.3,4,5	representing 22:3 71:23	20:11 24:16	respected	
12,16,19,25		71:6 93:19	129:23 164:6	rest
253:9,12,17,21	72:17 75:5	112:11 135:7	respectful	44:5 292:8
253.9,12,17,21	81:19 84:16 95:20 103:1	requires	97:3 119:1	result
19,22,23 255:6,		73:10	163:7 204:5	57:10 118:19
	104:20 128:8	requiring	293:24	retired
9,13,17,21,24	196:23 198:20	96:10	respectfully	9:18,24 10:3,11
256:3,6,10,14,	207:12 278:23	requisite	42:4,8	122:24 178:6
17,20,23 257:2,	represents	25:11	respond	190:9
7,11,17 258:2,9,	33:6	reschedule	34:1 81:12	return
16,22 259:1,5,	reproach	152:13	101:6 158:3	201:24 286:15
10,15,18,21	242:10	reschedules	167:22,23 192:4	returned
260:1,4,8,11,17,	reputation	177:12	202:3 237:14	82:20 128:4
22 261:3,15,25	11:9 24:23	research	238:13 268:18	134:12 153:17
262:4,10,13,16,	28:19 78:18	187:19	274:15 276:25	reunification
20,24 263:2,4,7,	99:3 120:1	reside	294:12	104:10
17,21,24 264:3,	139:6,9 142:14	20:3 70:24	responded	reunify
8,12,16 265:3,6,	143:4 162:2	93:12 112:4	29:16 46:24	48:5 103:19
10,16,23 271:5,	165:20 166:7	134:25 135:1	185:20 186:7	reunited
6,7,12 275:5,6,	request		199:8	71:18
9,19 276:9,15	11:2 149:12	residence	response	
277:5,13,20,25	151:21 178:15	20:11 71:6	11:24 25:12	reversals
278:11,16	226:7 252:9	93:19 112:12	29:15 32:16	174:19 175:9
279:4,9,21	254:6	135:8	51:1 58:17	reversed
280:4,24	requested	resident	74:16 88:16	167:5 215:4,6
281:12,18,23	16:17 105:22	134:10	89:12 97:7,22	review
282:9,14,19,22	149:14 220:24	resolution	102:24 104:15	98:19 106:7,12
283:2,10,14,19,	227:23 244:16,	226:14	105:3 117:12	182:19 209:1
23 284:2,5,9,14,	17,19 245:2,7	resolved	137:17 163:21	248:15,18 267:8
19,22,25 285:10	252:13,14	127:24	164:17 165:2,6	285:18 294:4
291:4,5 293:17	requesting	resolving	178:18 200:19	reviewed
294:3 296:1	170:19	124:8	204:17 209:20	30:9 79:19
representatives	requests	resource	216:20 236:9,15	100:6 121:6
48:19 113:2	9:11	98:21	239:8 289:15,20	140:13 168:15
represented		resources	responses	290:18
45:4 48:2 70:4	require 279:23 280:2	161:23	11:17,22 23:11	reviewing
72:18 75:22		respect	83:15 119:7	101:25 106:19
80:21,22 82:14	292:11		00.10 117.1	170:3 185:17
L				

271:8	role	245:10,14 252:3	207:1 221:11	Rutherford
reviews	104:6 273:21	253:5 261:18,24	223:16 245:12	13:12,13,17
142:10,13,25	roll	263:1,2,8 275:6,	246:16,19	14:12 32:9,10
rewarding	173:13	14,20,22 276:4,	252:19,20,23	51:12 59:15,17,
94:16	rolling	6,9,16,24 277:2,	253:22 254:16	24 60:2 91:4
Richland	124:23	5,8,11,16 278:1,	256:18,21	100:17,18
43:14 176:2	room	5 279:5,16,21	257:22 258:5	101:19 154:17
Rick	49:8 183:2	280:9 283:3	267:8 274:9,11,	215:14,15,20
45:3	281:2	284:6 285:7	13,14	216:3,6,19
Riddle	roots	ruled	rulings	217:16,23
292:13	144:14	185:19 186:6	165:18 204:4	218:4,7,10,13,
ridiculous	Rosen	215:7 245:15	224:3 270:16	21 219:2,5,9,15,
13:14	25:22	254:7 284:10	run	18 220:3,10,23
	roughly	rules	19:12 21:4	221:3,7,13,18,
riding 87:23	87:9	22:17 29:8	44:16 46:20,21	24 222:6,8,13,
	round	56:22 79:3	47:22 50:15	16,23 223:5,8,
rightly 200:4	137:20	99:11 120:12	55:20 62:15	13,15,19,23
		139:19 147:3	95:6 154:2	224:6,16,18,21,
rights	rounded 25:6	151:9 165:5	235:16 247:8	24 225:2,9,14
36:16,22 38:9		237:11,12,17,18	255:2 261:12,19	237:10,16,22
41:6,19 42:2,9	route	238:4,19 240:2	293:9	239:3,4,5
82:16 95:19	195:13	242:16,22	runaway	240:11 254:22,
104:20 128:6 138:23 146:23	row	245:19,22	50:7	23 255:6,9,13,
240:2	167:19	246:11 247:24	runaways	17,21,24 256:3,
	Roy	248:4,7 249:6	50:5,11	6,10,14,17,20,
ring	26:17	250:12,23	running	23 257:2,7,11,
126:9	rudely	251:8,21 255:5,	50:12 54:18	17 258:2,9,16,
rise	274:25	7 262:12,23,25	55:14 64:20	22 259:1,5,10, 15,18,21 260:1,
195:22	rule	263:5,19,21	66:7 113:13	4,8,11,17,22
rising	29:7 47:16 79:2	264:7,18,20	126:25 149:20	261:3,15,25
117:1	99:10 106:24	265:12 275:24	151:16 152:8	261:3,13,23 262:4,10,13,16,
road	107:3 120:11	276:8 277:4,6	155:6	20,24,10,13,10, 20,24,263:2,4,7,
34:19 39:19	139:18 165:22	282:12,18	runs	17,21,24 264:3,
57:17 171:7	190:15 192:2	283:9,12,16	41:1 50:10	8,12,16 265:3,6,
robberies	216:13 220:25	285:4,6 291:8 292:24 293:5,14	rush	10,16,23 275:5,
35:5	221:2,8 225:20	,	58:21,25 59:5	6,9,19 276:9,15
robbery	226:18 228:24	ruling	151:20	277:5,13,20,25
43:13	230:20 233:2	56:12 147:6,19,	Russell	278:11,16
robe	234:7,22,25	20,22 163:20 164:12 187:2	174:7,8 175:17	279:4,9,21
13:4	237:1,2,13,18,	189:5 192:19	177:1,24 178:21	280:4,24
Robert	19,23,24 238:1,		203:15 219:7	281:12,18,23
25:22	15 239:2,19,21, 22,24 242:23	203:17 204:11, 13,19 205:17		282:9,14,19,22
	22,27 272.23	13,17 203.17		

283:2,10,14,19,	Safran's	152:9,11 272:3	screenings	144:11 263:16
23 284:2,5,9,14,	58:17 89:10	school	9:4 18:16 69:18	290:5,20
19,22,25 285:10	salary	21:20 67:6 77:3,	92:23 111:16	seek
291:4,5 293:17	188:14	9,10,14,15,16,	134:3 159:23	270:23,24
294:3	samples	17,22,25 107:21	scrutiny	276:11
	81:5	108:9,10,12	118:8	selecting
<u> </u>	sanctions	113:5 114:22	seal	170:4
<u> </u>	248:10	119:12 134:12,	181:16 203:6	selection
S.C.		13,19 135:15,16	249:17,20,21	8:16,19,23 18:3,
30:10	sat	156:4,6 175:12,	250:1 266:14	6,10 69:3,6,12
Sabb	45:9 77:16	19 180:21	268:19 269:7	92:10,13,17
15:18 83:17,18,	136:5 150:24 251:16	183:12 196:3	sealed	111:4,7,10
19 86:9 89:3,4,5		244:20,23 247:5	194:23 195:2	133:15,18,22
107:14,15,16	satisfaction	253:4,6 272:2,4		159:6,9,17
108:18,21	161:15	274:4,5,7	search	162:13 238:5
153:20,21 155:5	satisfactory	288:22	9:3 18:15 69:17 92:22 111:15	242:11
229:8 230:4,5	16:18	schools	92:22 111:15 134:2 159:22	self-
265:25 266:1,2,	save	173:13		
13,16,19,22	67:3	science	searching	representatives 233:19
267:6,9,25	saved	183:16	275:23	
268:5,9,13,17,	77:24	scientists	season	self-represented
25 287:23,24	scared	183:19	174:16	202:25 205:19
289:9	170:13 176:11		seat	Seller's
Sacrament	Scarlett	scorned	26:9 46:21 66:7	246:2
67:6 77:5	19:17 32:22	169:18,19	139:13 146:10	Sellers
sad	55:13,15	screaming	167:18 238:2	167:13,16
50:5	scary	175:20	241:23 249:4	168:1,2,7,11,17,
sadly	48:10	screened	seated	21 181:4,8,11,
241:7 248:23	scenario	9:17 16:15	167:16 201:23	16,17,23,24
safe	35:17 41:13	screening	202:1 236:19	182:3,8,11,17,
	schedule	7:5 14:24 15:5	286:14	22,25 183:6
15:15 44:9 104:8 110:2	10:25 11:1	17:2 29:22 30:2	seats	184:8,10,14,16,
131:15 157:6	149:18 153:12	34:10 65:7,13	7:2 16:15 46:20,	21,25 185:3,22,
246:10	174:11	79:12 90:2,8	21	24 186:18
	scheduled	99:19,24	second-guess	187:1,5 188:1,5
safety	10:5,9 16:15	109:12,18	217:18,24	189:6,10,13,15,
85:17,19	106:16 153:9,12	120:20,24	seconds	20,24 190:14,
Safran	174:11 176:20	130:24 131:5	219:7	18,23 191:4,6,8,
51:7,8 86:10,11	227:25 244:22	140:2,6 146:1	section	16,20,25 192:2,
140:23,24 141:4	245:4	156:15,21	29:20 79:21	12,20,22,25
142:10 146:12	scheduling	290:7,11 295:4,	99:17 100:8	193:7,12,24
269:1,2,13,16,	146:19 147:2	10	120:18 121:8	194:6,13,18,25
22 270:5,8,12	140.19 147.2		139:25 140:15	195:7,24 196:2,
	147.4 130.4			
	I	1		1

	1	1	1	1
16 197:20	196:13 197:18	separate	103:24 104:2	sexual
198:5,7,12,14,	198:2,6,10,13,	247:15,16	107:19 123:3,15	24:1
21 199:1,8,11,	19,25 199:3,5,9,	separately	155:10 203:17	sexually
20,22,25 200:9,	17,21,23 200:1,	244:4	servicing	203:8
11,18,20,23	10,15,22,25	sequestered	202:15	shake
201:2,8,11,14,	201:4 209:18,19	178:14	serving	118:19
17,21,23,25	210:7,10,13,18	servants	46:17 80:9	share
202:11,21	211:5,11,15,20	113:3	94:16 95:21	153:22 169:7
203:7,14,15	212:3,10,13,15,	serve	104:21 118:13	249:22
204:6,15 205:4	17,23 213:1,4,	9:19 20:14	125:18 160:7,	sheets
206:7 207:12	23 214:3,14,17,	22:20 59:22	17,19 246:2	26:20 27:1
209:21 212:11	21,24 215:13	71:9 72:13	session	61:10 62:21,22,
213:10 216:23	216:12 217:14	93:22 94:2,25	15:19,24 16:2,8	23 63:24 64:2,4,
217:2,3,10	229:8 230:4,5	102:14,19	94:12 131:20	7,10 76:23
229:9 230:10	240:17 265:25	112:14,23	132:2 296:2,7,	shelter
232:18,20	266:1,2,13,16,	113:14,22,25	10	50:10
235:20 236:23	19,22 267:6,9,	129:18 130:5,9	Sessions	shelters
237:1,6,15	25 268:5,9,13,	135:10,25 143:3	14:1 42:13	50:7
238:2,14,18	17,25 272:6,7,	160:8,18,20	221:4 242:2	shocked
Sellers'	16,21 273:2,6,	161:10,13 242:5	set	54:8
229:25	11,14,20 274:10 287:23,24 289:9	serve-oriented	147:4 163:1	shoot
selling	,	112:24	170:20 228:7,	22:10 36:17
12:9	send	served	10,19 230:23	59:1 151:22,24
semester	40:5 49:14 175:8 212:1	70:6,15 74:9	242:18 274:2	shooting
150:22	217:12	112:25 114:5,6	280:20,22	44:20 56:12
seminar		118:3	281:21 286:24,	shop
115:25	sending 177:11	Serves	25	21:8
Senator		128:19	sets	short
15:18 46:10,11,	sense	service	72:8 80:19	12:11 14:6
12,13 61:2 80:5,	107:1 210:20	13:9,24 15:10	setting	23:19 98:17
6,7 83:17,18,19	sensitive	19:15 36:7	170:21 294:22	103:12 106:13
86:9,14 89:3,4,5	292:10	53:10 54:23	settle	232:24
102:16,17,18	sentence	58:14 65:18	81:18 116:14	short-term
107:14,15,16	12:7 35:15	80:10 82:11	128:2 254:11	27:14
108:18,21	sentenced	90:13 104:1	settled	show
125:14,15,16	84:7 85:23	109:24 131:12	125:8 162:23	48:19,20 49:14,
129:10 142:20,	292:5	142:17 155:24	254:15 284:17	23 55:14 106:11
21,22 145:24,25	sentences	156:1 157:2	settling	115:3 230:9,12
152:3 153:20,21 155:1 191:15	12:3,4 13:21	295:17	70:12 81:15	231:9 233:25
	sentencing	services	severely	250:25 275:20
193:3,4,8,13,25 194:11,14,23	11:24 36:24	21:8 34:20 49:7	170:5	showed
194:11,14,25	85:6	50:11,16 83:12		14:12,14 105:23
175.5,17,25				11.12,17 103.23
	I	I	I	I

164:2 173:20	simply	77:25 136:9	155:15 172:10	19,24 132:1,4,
220:24	53:7 57:16 72:5	171:4 196:4	242:6	11,16,23 133:2,
showing	119:10 240:3,6	situated	smaller	5,8,12,21
49:19 251:1,2	281:6 291:15	63:6	19:10	134:21 140:22
shown	simultaneously	situation	smart	142:8,20 145:23
106:22 142:3	244:3	22:5 51:19 52:3	116:20 117:7	152:4 153:20
shows	single	116:13 198:16,	137:13 204:9	154:17,20
247:9	33:6,8,20 43:1,	18 200:12 237:8	smile	156:3,12 157:6,
shut	14 44:17 47:9,	239:23 258:15	11:14	11,16,20,22
26:24	17,18 186:20	situations	Smith	158:5,8,14,18,
sic	280:6 292:18	71:22 117:23	7:1,9,12,17,23	20,23 159:2,14,
257:15	sir	147:21 288:4	8:3,6,9,13,22	16 160:11
sick	7:18 8:14 9:13	six-day	9:12 12:20	167:17,25
72:3	12:14 16:14	116:6	13:11,15,18	168:10,19
side	17:5,9,17,20,24	sixty-five	14:5,16,19,23	189:8,11,14,17,
21:4,18,19	18:1 19:25 29:4	226:6	15:14,15,18,21,	21 190:12,17,20
21:4,18,19 22:24 25:17	30:25 32:5,12,	skewed	23 16:1,5,7	191:3,5,7,18,22
26:3,6,24,25	20 47:2 48:6	38:8	17:1,7,14,18,21,	193:3 199:4
27:2 32:19	57:18 58:10	skills	25 18:9 19:23	201:5,8,25
56:15 57:6 61:8	60:22 61:10	60:5	30:18,20 32:8	202:8 206:5,13,
128:7 142:4	65:19 92:2,6	slated	46:8,11 51:6	15,18 207:9,16,
177:5 222:9	133:1,7,11	190:7	58:12 60:3,6	20,25 208:5,22,
224:16 255:19	134:22,23 141:3		65:2,5,22 66:1,	25 209:5,6,10,
278:24 279:10,	142:19 155:23	sleep	14,23 67:2,8,13,	18 215:14 225:4
11,13	157:8 158:4,17,	172:2 186:22	21 68:7,12,18,	229:22 230:4
sides	19,22 159:1	sleeping	21,25 69:11	234:2,5,21,24
21:18 106:6	160:14 168:17	44:24 185:8	70:21 80:3	235:5,11,19,24
	191:18 193:7,24	sleeve	83:16 86:10	236:5,21,23,25
sift 23:22	194:13 216:22	56:5	89:3,23 90:1,18,	237:21,25
	217:22 220:2,9	slept	22,24 91:2,13,	238:14 239:1,4
sign	223:18 225:7	87:21 185:13	20,25 92:3,7,16	240:10,15,18
27:18	227:20 229:17,	186:8,10	93:7 100:15	241:1 251:24
significant	19 257:1 259:17	sliced	101:21 102:16	254:21 266:1
117:24 196:18	285:9 286:19	292:15	105:4 107:15	269:1 270:18
signs	289:8	slightly	108:23,25	271:6 272:6
176:13,17	sit	125:1	109:11 110:1,5,	273:18 274:17
similar	37:10 59:19	slot	9,15,19,22	275:5 285:12,15 286:16 287:23
63:6 253:22	61:11 127:5	245:4	111:1,9,24	
280:2	167:18,19 259:7	small	121:15,17	289:11,24 291:2 293:18,21
simple	268:8 292:18	19:11 31:20	125:13 128:20	295:24 296:1,4,
96:6 106:5	sitting	60:25 113:17	129:12,16	295:24 296:1,4, 6,9
242:17 243:2,13	43:17 70:19	115:16,17	130:11,15,18,	0,7
244:9	72:25 76:23		20,23 131:15,	
<u> </u>			20,23 131.13,	

smog	sooner	179:7,16 180:25	163:20 213:18	spouses
191:9	105:16	186:25 191:1	277:6	71:19,20 136:20
smoke	sophomore	199:23 243:15	spectacular	spreadsheets
191:8	134:20	245:20 248:5,6	24:22	23:21
snowball	sort	263:15 264:6,21	spectrum	spring
50:18	73:22 78:2,5	277:18 290:19	141:4	150:23 179:19
social	155:4 196:21	295:17	speech	SRO
49:6 83:3,12,14	206:1 266:8	speak	240:2	196:3
123:3 128:19	sorts	7:21 17:12	speed	stabbed
155:10	53:17	42:15,16 68:5	62:13 73:2	39:5
sole	sought	91:18 98:4	272:12	stability
36:10,11 86:20	29:25 79:10	107:5,7 108:11	spend	28:23 78:21
287:14	99:22 120:23	110:13 115:1	10:2 63:9	99:1 120:4
solely	140:5 185:19	118:6 119:14	127:18 150:25	155:12 166:4
113:16	243:15 290:10	122:21 126:6	spending	staff
solicitor	sound	132:21 148:21	42:24	121:11 162:12
12:11 37:3,9	116:25	149:1 158:12	spent	238:9 254:24
176:2	sounded	162:6 164:21	32:15 75:2	280:3
solicitor's	100:23	166:24 168:8,19	84:23 165:9	stalked
32:16,18 36:12	soundness	171:3 187:11,20	248:25	172:5 173:18
44:15 49:5 55:7	188:2	200:18 220:11	spin	stalking
60:20 62:18	soup	228:18 237:2	56:11 197:5	174:4
63:19	126:6	240:24 261:2, 22,23 262:17	spirit	stance
solution	sour	268:11 274:21	15:2 65:10 90:5	180:13 181:2
41:25	176:9 196:11	287:15 294:23	109:15 131:2	stand
somebody's	south	speaking	156:18 295:7	152:8 206:2
16:11 44:23	15:11 29:8	277:21 280:7,21	Spiros	261:6 266:23
263:12	33:23 65:18	speaks	17:11 18:4,7,23	267:1 268:2,6
someone's	67:16 68:17	60:4 143:4	24:25 25:2,4	standard
73:16 206:21	70:5,13,18 71:1	170:15 271:19	58:13	38:18 170:21
son	74:24 76:13	special	split	218:15 222:2,4
45:11 48:5	78:11 79:2,20	66:2 96:2	24:13 212:5	242:23
66:13 174:24	81:1 83:7 90:13	specialize	spoke	standby
176:4,12 179:2,	91:11 99:11	223:9	102:8,12 181:25	178:2
23,25 183:12	100:7 109:24	specific	187:3 216:23	standing
197:7,12 203:8	112:5,23 113:14	50:11 104:3	spoken	61:24 169:23
243:18	118:13 120:12	144:4 238:20	70:17 143:18	259:8,12 269:4
son's	121:7 131:12	specifically	219:10 220:19	standpoint
182:7	135:1 139:18	23:6 73:5 95:5,	sponte	126:3
sons	140:14 143:13	12 114:20	218:24	stands
45:12 243:20	157:3 165:8	117:11 136:14	sporting	274:22
	169:23 170:2,22		156:5	

star	166:9 182:23	statutorily	stiffer	strenuously
117:1	183:3,9 202:18	47:5,10 126:11	37:7 38:5	257:19
start	203:18 241:9	statutorily-	Stokes	stress
16:10 123:23	254:25 258:3	driven	110:5,7,12,15,	119:5 174:3
141:14 144:5	262:1,20 263:11	23:1	18,21,25 111:6,	stress-related
155:6 163:2	statement	statutory	8,9,22 112:1,3,	248:22
175:12 179:8	7:24 8:20 9:8	20:10 24:16	5,10,14 116:16	stressful
189:9 274:4,6	17:15 18:7,21	71:5 93:18	117:5,24 119:24	172:13
started	68:9 69:7,22	103:21 112:11	120:9 121:16,18	strict
7:2 16:14 67:17	91:21 92:14	135:7	125:17 129:17	103:20 234:19
108:14 123:8	93:3,4 110:16	stay	130:6,14,16,19	
132:5 135:18	111:8,21,22	11:3,4 48:16	131:14,17	strides
141:18 157:23	132:24 133:19	54:22,24 55:17	stole	50:1
172:23	134:8 158:15	61:23 64:24	53:11	stringent
state	159:10 160:3	76:24 81:23	stomach	234:8
9:2 15:11 16:8	169:1 182:5	117:6 122:23	29:17	strives
18:14 20:2	186:6,7 196:10	129:3 153:13	stop	166:18
38:10 44:5	206:2 219:23,25	181:5 216:12	21:8 64:16	Strom
56:19 65:18	238:7 241:2	292:2	163:3 224:8	12:21,22 13:10,
66:20 67:16,22	264:23	stayed	239:22 255:14	19 142:8,9
69:16 70:23	statements	41:23 60:19	293:12	146:13 231:5,
76:24 80:9 86:7	202:17,19	62:13 173:10	stopped	11,14,17,21
90:13,19 92:21	204:18 248:13	staying	130:1	232:2,4,7,22
93:11 109:24	states	76:21 119:17	stopping	233:9,13,15 234:1 270:18,19
111:14 112:3	83:10 102:25	stellar	240:1	,
113:1 118:4,6	170:25 180:15	143:25	stores	strong 116:20 144:23
125:18 131:12	216:20 238:5	step	180:11	194:2 203:19
134:1,24 139:11	statewide	88:14 172:12	stories	
156:1 157:2	115:24 117:2	step-parent	64:5	structural
159:21 160:7,	142:14	126:3,5	story	75:7
10,22,24 170:2	stating	stepped	169:11 171:10	struggling
173:13 179:7,16	219:10 221:1	142:15	248:20	148:18
180:25 182:11	267:22	steps	straight	stuck
195:10,11 202:9	status	75:6 156:7	114:23	179:5
203:6,12 216:11	86:20 129:9		strategically	Stuckey
230:15 242:6	statute	stepsons 248:24	117:7	26:15,17
247:24 261:8	23:2 47:7,8			students
263:10 276:1	72:4,5,8	Stevens 193:23	strategies 119:4	77:14
286:2 287:1,4	statutes			study
295:17	95:9 98:20	stewards	straw	9:1,4 18:13,16
stated	101:17 136:10	21:10	14:6	69:15,18 92:20,
59:1 99:5 119:6		Stewardship	street	23 111:13,16
120:5 146:8		70:16	19:9 27:10 31:1	133:25 134:3

147:11 159:20,	238:22	194:7	245:19 248:5	158:12,15,24
23 166:17			250:2 277:18	159:10 168:7
stuff	success 70:11 90:14	summary 27:20 28:14		202:16 238:7
43:18 88:15	124:18 213:24	31:8 58:4 99:5	surprised 54:3 162:15	240:23 243:4
		139:2,11 166:9		285:19
sua	successful	170:4 171:13	survey 9:1 18:13 24:20	Sykes
218:24	48:5 124:8,17	250:14		182:20 183:5
subject	198:9		69:15 74:1	
40:8 223:10	succumbed	Sumter	92:20 96:16 102:1 111:13	sympathy 288:7
submissions	247:7	67:14,19,25		
168:11	sudden	Sunday	116:18 133:25	symptoms
submit	88:3 274:7	119:9,12 175:11	137:10 159:20	174:25
238:11 251:9	sued	super	163:13	synched
262:8	30:24 31:6,10,	120:7 122:6,10	surveys	271:17
submits	15 75:9 138:25	superb	11:17 24:18	syndrome
278:8	suffer	29:1	35:11 60:12	180:1
submitted	37:7	superbly	73:25 96:15	system
7:25 8:3 12:23	suffered	28:24	116:17 121:23	21:25 48:9 54:3
17:16 68:9	175:1	supervision	129:21 137:9	82:23,24 83:1,6
91:22 110:17	sufficiently	182:12	163:12	84:10 103:7
114:3 132:25	64:13,15	support	susceptible	104:1,3 128:15
158:16 171:11	suggest	33:8 53:22 54:1,	246:21 250:13	143:13,19
185:25 188:6	41:25	2 73:15,17	suspect's	144:2,19 152:17
192:3 194:19	suggested	80:24 96:11	45:9	155:12 193:14
238:7 241:22	270:2	145:6,19 164:11	sustain	243:2 291:9,14,
245:24 269:9	suggesting	172:19 173:3,7	184:4	17
275:21 277:19	88:2	188:9 231:9	sustained	systems
278:17 282:3,7	suggestion	supporting	259:2	291:15
284:8 287:2	173:2 176:19	33:21 45:25	swapped	
submitting		supportive	12:10	T
29:10,24 79:5,9	suggestions	54:24	swear	
99:13,21	48:8	supposed	238:6 266:23	tackle
120:14,22	suing	67:22 84:9	switch	151:25 152:1
139:21 140:4	30:23	153:14 178:24	104:11	tactics
278:12 290:1,9	suit	238:24 251:9	sworn	247:7
subpoenaed	27:24 28:1	265:18	7:21,24 8:10,15,	takes
238:9	118:22		20 17:11,15,22	25:7 48:23
subsequently	suited	suppress 36:22	18:7 68:4,8,23	291:7
172:11 238:25	146:14		69:2,7 91:17,21	taking
substantial	sum	suppressed 36:24	92:4,8,14	10:20 24:1
57:10 231:9	120:5		110:12,16,23	53:15 59:6,9
substantiate	summarize	Supreme	111:2,8 132:20,	63:21 66:17
196:19 207:22	181:5 185:4	70:14 81:2,6	24 133:10,13,19	75:13,16 175:24
170.17 201.22		167:8 215:9	21100.10,10,10	

187:17 293:10	164:9 236:16	60:14 74:3	77:7 119:21	134:7 160:2
	289:21	78:18 94:19	Tenex	167:14 184:1
talent 117:3	tarnish	99:4 112:22	197:25	206:7 222:25
	165:20	116:24 120:2		223:11 224:12
talents		137:14 139:10	tenure	246:3 255:12
112:19,21	task 169:5	141:11 166:12	161:5 164:2 165:12 170:10	265:11,18
talk		temperaments		testifying
43:18,19 52:21	tasks	127:10	term	252:7 267:20
57:15 61:15,16	243:2		154:12 215:17	testimony
102:2 144:3	TAVROS	temperature 166:8	termed	8:10,15 17:23
152:9 157:23	17:11 18:5,7		48:11	18:2 20:8 68:23
165:16 191:14	tax	temporary	terminated	69:2 71:3 92:4,8
206:15 268:5,7	115:15	59:7 105:10,11,	138:24	93:16 110:23
276:5,6,11	taxis	12,20,25 106:15	termination	111:3 112:8
277:11,15	23:14	107:4 146:22	82:16 95:19	123:2 133:10,14
280:8,23 281:5,	teacher	147:22 173:11	104:19 128:5	125:2 155:10,14
7,14,20	119:13 183:12	231:24 232:10,	144:21	135:4 158:25
talked	teachers	11,13,16 233:22 244:15,17,20	terminology	25 210:22
58:2 61:2	78:12	244:15,17,20 245:3,19 246:4	188:23	219:19 222:17,
175:17 196:17,	team	243:3,19 240:4	terms	18 223:17,20
18 250:23	66:24	252:3,4,9,10,21	52:7 57:9 84:15	238:12,13,25
259:24 262:7	teen	252:3,4,9,10,21	86:23 87:16	239:9,22 246:5,
talking	85:16	253.7,15,22	127:10 141:25	7,9,13
20:22 21:1,3	teenager	262:9 271:21,23	146:18,25 147:1	thankful
36:6 41:2 50:24	85:11	273:9,24 274:2,	150:14 213:24	163:9
56:16 57:6 58:7	teenagers	3 277:19	267:10,19,24	
61:8 87:8 89:15	85:12,13	278:14,21	269:17,18	thanking 169:4
154:15 176:4	teens	284:12 286:23	terrible	
224:15 228:13	72:24 85:15	287:11,12	52:2	Thanksgiving
230:20,21	teeth		terrified	66:6
247:19 249:25	75:18	ten 12:8,13 42:11	72:4	then-
256:4 259:9,12		57:22 80:17	test	256:14
261:21 266:20	telling	149:10 186:19	183:13	then-husband
268:2 273:16	42:23 151:20	192:16 214:20,	tested	255:19,25
274:18,20	186:24 209:7 288:16	21,22,24	115:5	256:11 259:13
talks		21,22,24 247:15,16	testified	278:24
13:22 40:25	tells	,	189:19,21	theoretically
45:7 67:19	196:24	ten-year 243:21	255:15	106:1
tall	temper		testifies	theory
106:12	251:15	tenant	17:13 265:17	41:6
Tarita	temperament	30:23 31:22,24	testify	Therapeutic
157:12 158:11	11:23 13:22	32:1	9:8 18:20 69:22	75:22
159:8,11,13	25:2 28:20	tend	93:2 111:20	
			75.2 111.20	
	1	Ι	Ι	1

therapist	179:9 187:19	three-day	18 92:1 93:6	17 296:3
282:2,8	203:4 230:1	178:11 181:19	97:25 98:18	timekeeper
therapist's	259:22,24	182:1 185:1	103:21,23	154:18
282:7	266:5,6 278:4,	187:16 195:18	105:14,24	timely
therapists	17 280:16 288:1	203:1 205:6	106:3,9,13	145:6 148:3
72:20	291:24	221:14 228:20	107:23 109:22	times
therapy	thinking	232:5	110:20 113:23	18:24 48:19
37:20 288:13	46:2 85:9	three-sixty	114:3 122:16	53:24 54:14
thin	208:15 266:7	227:4	128:13,21	62:15 78:5 81:2
155:4	thinks	three-week	129:4,7 131:9,	94:12 143:17
thing	117:7 188:23	14:7	14,21,23 133:6	145:3 148:9
14:15 19:13	Thirteen	threshold	145:16 146:2	163:5,15 187:20
35:13 45:19	32:23 243:22	208:9 226:17,20	147:1,3,5,10	220:19 233:21
49:2 52:2 53:12,	thirty	227:2 229:15	148:11,13	247:23 257:25
17 54:17 55:19,	22:14 59:7	threw	149:5,12,18,19	258:14
22 56:2,6 57:4	190:2	115:2	151:14,20,21	Tinkler
60:17 64:23	thought	thrilled	152:9,11,20	47:19 61:20
67:2 77:6 85:24	66:18 85:4,6,7	67:7	153:9,11 156:25	62:4
104:7 125:11	149:3 162:15	thriving	157:4 158:21	Tinkler's
129:1 154:1	165:1 173:24	173:15	165:12 167:23	60:19
155:1 162:8,13	179:1 188:7,8	thrown	169:2,8 171:14,	Tips
166:23 178:13	204:14 205:20	28:15	15 172:3,6,9	62:20 64:3
180:14 197:13	207:20 210:25	tie-breaker	173:10,17	tireless
213:9 237:6	268:13 282:1	212:24	174:4,14,23	25:5
238:18 256:4	283:16 288:1	till	175:13 176:1,22	title
263:10 266:8	292:14	45:1 98:2	177:14 178:1	98:14
267:24 292:15	thoughtful	109:21 233:23	179:24 182:5	today
things	74:6 97:3 99:6	251:5	190:4,24,25 192:13,17	8:11 9:6 12:18
9:24 20:24 22:7	109:6	time	192:13,17	13:19 15:9,12
24:2 36:1 39:25	thoughts	10:2 11:12 14:9	195:10 196:19	17:8,23 19:22
40:1,9 43:10	106:25	15:8,20 16:4,19,	201:22 203:16	30:16 66:9,20
47:10 48:3,17	thousand	23 17:19 24:11	205:6 210:12	67:7,9 68:23
51:11 52:20	188:12	27:22 32:15	211:10 217:6	69:2,21 80:1
56:11 61:6,9,11,	thousands	43:17 44:7 48:7	220:5 225:3	91:9,14 92:5,9
18 63:10 73:23	170:12	55:1,13,19	230:16 233:1,17	93:1 100:13
75:8 84:2,10	threatened	57:10,14,23	235:3,17 243:9	110:6,24 111:18
86:13 87:24	36:17	58:9 60:23 63:9,	244:3 252:17	117:25 119:16
108:1 119:19,21	three-	16,21 65:16,20	253:25 254:10	121:13 130:5
122:16 136:22	206:19	67:23 68:14	258:7 259:9,12	131:10 132:7,17
141:5 144:6,16	three-bedroom	72:1 75:1,10,14,	265:24 271:9,12	134:5 140:20
146:3 147:16	171:24 186:14,	17 77:19,24	272:12 286:13	157:5 158:25
164:3,20 166:10	171.24 180.14,	83:3 90:11,17,	291:6 292:4,7,	159:25 167:14
			· ·	

168:3 169:5,15	tougher	278:1 280:6,11	39:7,16 40:19	13 68:5,6 91:18,
179:13,20	288:4	283:25 284:15	41:13 43:1,2,3,	19 110:13,14
180:13,18 181:2	tour	285:18,25	18,23,24 44:16	132:21,22
182:16 191:21	66:21 90:19	286:10 288:8	51:21 52:14	152:21,22
202:4 240:9		transcripts	53:2 81:15	168:8,9 240:24,
241:5 242:11	tours	187:25 194:19,	87:20 107:19	25 262:18
244:5 247:20,25	77:15	21 286:6	108:9,14,16	263:10 266:24
249:25 260:16	town		127:25 128:4	Turbeville
290:25 292:25	155:15	transferred	177:25 182:6	150:21,24
294:16	toxicologists	220:1	184:22 185:1	150:21,24
token	96:6	transformed	197:11 202:21,	
45:18	TPR	220:7	22 203:1,2	Turbeville's
	82:19	transient	204:6 205:4,23	153:25
told	TPRS	144:14,25	204:0 203:4,25	turn
9:24 14:9 45:10	138:2 144:22	transmutation	208:18 210:25	75:12 86:21
55:15 115:3	215:12	23:19 167:2	217:7 220:10,13	155:19 167:12
162:5,13	track	transparent	226:19 228:4	276:6,19 294:14
190:10,20	55:9 129:3	45:22	234:13 294:11	turned
200:23 220:14,	tracker	trap	trials	12:10 19:8
15 246:20	173:22	119:21	23:20 24:8 52:8	26:12
tomorrow	trade	traveled		turning
296:11	64:4	169:14	70:2 76:23	198:23
ton	train	travels	214:6,12	turnover
51:13 144:22			trip	128:22 143:23
	282.1	15.15157.6		120.22 143.23
tons	282:1	15:15 157:6	110:2 131:16	155:2,4
	trained	treat	TRIPLETT	
tons	trained 64:13,15 135:20	treat 33:2 248:3	TRIPLETT 134:24 135:3,9	155:2,4
tons 12:24	trained 64:13,15 135:20 144:10	treat 33:2 248:3 treated	TRIPLETT 134:24 135:3,9 139:4,15 140:17	155:2,4 turns
tons 12:24 Tony	trained 64:13,15 135:20 144:10 training	treat 33:2 248:3 treated 260:13	TRIPLETT 134:24 135:3,9 139:4,15 140:17 troubled	155:2,4 turns 171:6 twelve
tons 12:24 Tony 49:19	trained 64:13,15 135:20 144:10 training 64:11 144:1	treat 33:2 248:3 treated 260:13 treatment	TRIPLETT 134:24 135:3,9 139:4,15 140:17 troubled 78:4	155:2,4 turns 171:6 twelve 74:23 82:15,19
tons 12:24 Tony 49:19 top 179:8	trained 64:13,15 135:20 144:10 training 64:11 144:1 266:6	treat 33:2 248:3 treated 260:13 treatment 48:3	TRIPLETT 134:24 135:3,9 139:4,15 140:17 troubled	155:2,4 turns 171:6 twelve 74:23 82:15,19 twenty
tons 12:24 Tony 49:19 top	trained 64:13,15 135:20 144:10 training 64:11 144:1 266:6 transcript	treat 33:2 248:3 treated 260:13 treatment 48:3 tremendous	TRIPLETT 134:24 135:3,9 139:4,15 140:17 troubled 78:4	155:2,4 turns 171:6 twelve 74:23 82:15,19 twenty 22:13 59:7
tons 12:24 Tony 49:19 top 179:8 totally 143:19	trained 64:13,15 135:20 144:10 training 64:11 144:1 266:6 transcript 182:19 185:17	treat 33:2 248:3 treated 260:13 treatment 48:3 tremendous 88:17,18	TRIPLETT 134:24 139:4,15 139:4,15 troubled 78:4 troubling	155:2,4 turns 171:6 twelve 74:23 82:15,19 twenty 22:13 59:7 163:18
tons 12:24 Tony 49:19 top 179:8 totally 143:19 touch	trained 64:13,15 135:20 144:10 training 64:11 144:1 266:6 transcript 182:19 185:17 194:23,24	treat 33:2 248:3 treated 260:13 treatment 48:3 tremendous 88:17,18 trenches	TRIPLETT 134:24 139:4,15 139:4,15 troubled 78:4 troubling 34:1	155:2,4 turns 171:6 twelve 74:23 82:15,19 twenty 22:13 59:7 163:18 twenty-five
tons 12:24 Tony 49:19 top 179:8 totally 143:19 touch 164:21	trained 64:13,15 135:20 144:10 training 64:11 144:1 266:6 transcript 182:19 185:17 194:23,24 208:14,15 209:2	treat 33:2 248:3 treated 260:13 treatment 48:3 tremendous 88:17,18 trenches 141:6 142:2	TRIPLETT 134:24 139:4,15 139:4,15 troubled 78:4 troubling 34:1 trucks	155:2,4 turns 171:6 twelve 74:23 82:15,19 twenty 22:13 59:7 163:18 twenty-five 89:21
tons 12:24 Tony 49:19 top 179:8 totally 143:19 touch 164:21 touched	trained 64:13,15 135:20 144:10 training 64:11 144:1 266:6 transcript 182:19 185:17 194:23,24 208:14,15 209:2 216:17 217:12	treat 33:2 248:3 treated 260:13 treatment 48:3 tremendous 88:17,18 trenches 141:6 142:2 trending	TRIPLETT 134:24 139:4,15 139:4,15 troubled 78:4 troubling 34:1 trucks 136:25	155:2,4 turns 171:6 twelve 74:23 82:15,19 twenty 22:13 59:7 163:18 twenty-five 89:21 twenty-some-
tons 12:24 Tony 49:19 top 179:8 totally 143:19 touch 164:21 touched 114:18 164:25	trained 64:13,15 135:20 144:10 training 64:11 144:1 266:6 transcript 182:19 185:17 194:23,24 208:14,15 209:2 216:17 217:12 220:18 225:12	treat 33:2 248:3 treated 260:13 treatment 48:3 tremendous 88:17,18 trenches 141:6 142:2 trending 116:5	TRIPLETT 134:24 139:4,15 139:4,15 troubled 78:4 troubling 34:1 trucks 136:25 true	155:2,4 turns 171:6 twelve 74:23 82:15,19 twenty 22:13 59:7 163:18 twenty-five 89:21 twenty-some- odd
tons 12:24 Tony 49:19 top 179:8 totally 143:19 touch 164:21 touched 114:18 164:25 211:10	trained 64:13,15 135:20 144:10 training 64:11 144:1 266:6 transcript 182:19 185:17 194:23,24 208:14,15 209:2 216:17 217:12 220:18 225:12 235:20 239:7	treat 33:2 248:3 treated 260:13 treatment 48:3 tremendous 88:17,18 trenches 141:6 142:2 trending 116:5 trial	TRIPLETT 134:24 139:4,15 139:4,15 troubled 78:4 troubling 34:1 trucks 136:25 true 33:20 279:3	155:2,4 turns 171:6 twelve 74:23 82:15,19 twenty 22:13 59:7 163:18 twenty-five 89:21 twenty-some- odd 281:2
tons 12:24 Tony 49:19 top 179:8 totally 143:19 touch 164:21 touched 114:18 164:25 211:10 touching	trained 64:13,15 135:20 144:10 training 64:11 144:1 266:6 transcript 182:19 185:17 194:23,24 208:14,15 209:2 216:17 217:12 220:18 225:12 235:20 239:7 245:6 256:24	treat 33:2 248:3 treated 260:13 treatment 48:3 tremendous 88:17,18 trenches 141:6 142:2 trending 116:5	TRIPLETT 134:24 139:4,15 139:4,15 troubled 78:4 troubling 34:1 trucks 136:25 true 33:20 55:4	155:2,4 turns 171:6 twelve 74:23 82:15,19 twenty 22:13 59:7 163:18 twenty-five 89:21 twenty-some- odd 281:2 twenty-
tons 12:24 Tony 49:19 top 179:8 totally 143:19 touch 164:21 touched 114:18 164:25 211:10 touching 25:14 292:10	trained 64:13,15 135:20 144:10 training 64:11 144:1 266:6 transcript 182:19 185:17 194:23,24 208:14,15 209:2 216:17 217:12 220:18 225:12 235:20 239:7 245:6 256:24 257:10,15	treat 33:2 248:3 treated 260:13 treatment 48:3 tremendous 88:17,18 trenches 141:6 142:2 trending 116:5 trial	TRIPLETT 134:24 139:4,15 139:4,15 troubled 78:4 troubling 34:1 trucks 136:25 true 33:20 279:3 trump 213:4	155:2,4 turns 171:6 twelve 74:23 82:15,19 twenty 22:13 59:7 163:18 twenty-five 89:21 twenty-some- odd 281:2 twenty- something-odd
tons 12:24 Tony 49:19 top 179:8 totally 143:19 touch 164:21 touched 114:18 164:25 211:10 touching 25:14 292:10 tough	trained 64:13,15 135:20 144:10 training 64:11 144:1 266:6 transcript 182:19 185:17 194:23,24 208:14,15 209:2 216:17 217:12 220:18 225:12 235:20 239:7 245:6 256:24 257:10,15 258:13,21	treat 33:2 248:3 treated 260:13 treatment 48:3 tremendous 88:17,18 trenches 141:6 142:2 trending 116:5 trial 7:4 22:17 33:18	TRIPLETT 134:24 139:4,15 139:4,15 troubled 78:4 troubling 34:1 trucks 136:25 true 33:20 279:3 trump 213:4	155:2,4 turns 171:6 twelve 74:23 82:15,19 twenty 22:13 59:7 163:18 twenty-five 89:21 twenty-some- odd 281:2 twenty- something-odd 278:4
tons 12:24 Tony 49:19 top 179:8 totally 143:19 touch 164:21 touched 114:18 164:25 211:10 touching 25:14 292:10 tough 141:6 163:21,	trained 64:13,15 135:20 144:10 training 64:11 144:1 266:6 transcript 182:19 185:17 194:23,24 208:14,15 209:2 216:17 217:12 220:18 225:12 235:20 239:7 245:6 256:24 257:10,15 258:13,21 269:3,8,22	treat 33:2 248:3 treated 260:13 treatment 48:3 tremendous 88:17,18 trenches 141:6 142:2 trending 116:5 trial 7:4 22:17 33:18 34:7,15,25 35:13,20,22 36:4 37:2,6	TRIPLETT 134:24 139:4,15 139:4,15 140:17 troubled 78:4 troubling 34:1 trucks 136:25 true 33:20 279:3 trump 213:4 116:12	155:2,4 turns 171:6 twelve 74:23 82:15,19 twenty 22:13 59:7 163:18 twenty-five 89:21 twenty-some- odd 281:2 twenty- something-odd 278:4 two-fold
tons 12:24 Tony 49:19 top 179:8 totally 143:19 touch 164:21 touched 114:18 164:25 211:10 touching 25:14 292:10 tough 141:6 163:21, 23,24,25 287:20	trained 64:13,15 135:20 144:10 training 64:11 144:1 266:6 transcript 182:19 185:17 194:23,24 208:14,15 209:2 216:17 217:12 220:18 225:12 235:20 239:7 245:6 256:24 257:10,15 258:13,21 269:3,8,22 270:20 271:9,19	treat 33:2 248:3 treated 260:13 treatment 48:3 tremendous 88:17,18 trenches 141:6 142:2 trending 116:5 trial 7:4 22:17 33:18 34:7,15,25 35:13,20,22	TRIPLETT 134:24 139:4,15 139:4,15 140:17 troubled 78:4 troubling 34:1 trucks 136:25 true 33:20 279:3 trump 213:4 trust 116:12 truth	155:2,4 turns 171:6 twelve 74:23 82:15,19 twenty 22:13 59:7 163:18 twenty-five 89:21 twenty-some- odd 281:2 twenty- something-odd 278:4
tons 12:24 Tony 49:19 top 179:8 totally 143:19 touch 164:21 touched 114:18 164:25 211:10 touching 25:14 292:10 tough 141:6 163:21,	trained 64:13,15 135:20 144:10 training 64:11 144:1 266:6 transcript 182:19 185:17 194:23,24 208:14,15 209:2 216:17 217:12 220:18 225:12 235:20 239:7 245:6 256:24 257:10,15 258:13,21 269:3,8,22	treat 33:2 248:3 treated 260:13 treatment 48:3 tremendous 88:17,18 trenches 141:6 142:2 trending 116:5 trial 7:4 22:17 33:18 34:7,15,25 35:13,20,22 36:4 37:2,6	TRIPLETT 134:24 139:4,15 139:4,15 140:17 troubled 78:4 troubling 34:1 trucks 136:25 true 33:20 279:3 trump 213:4 116:12	155:2,4 turns 171:6 twelve 74:23 82:15,19 twenty 22:13 59:7 163:18 twenty-five 89:21 twenty-some- odd 281:2 twenty- something-odd 278:4 two-fold

52:8 54:19 136:18 148:8 164:11 180:12 204:24 238:22 294:13	35:25 41:16 43:8,9 51:12 59:25 60:1,18 63:11 73:13	unheard 125:7	55:9 uphold	verification
164:11 180:12 204:24 238:22	59:25 60:1,18 63:11 73:13		unhold	0.0.10.11.50.15
204:24 238:22	63:11 73:13		upnoiu	9:2 18:14 69:16
		Uniform	242:22	92:21 111:14
294:13		95:18	upper	134:1 159:21
	79:19 88:21	Uniformly	27:25	Vernon
types	98:5 100:6	141:9	upset	157:19
71:23 80:20,24	121:6 140:13	union	33:18 292:2	versa
123:9 138:5	161:21 169:17	70:6 74:24 75:5	Upstate	63:7
169:6	171:10 189:3	unique	166:2	versed
typically	199:17 200:3	95:16	upswing	100:23
56:17 81:18	206:6,25 207:2		117:3	versus
	212:4 222:19	unit 103:20		37:15 146:20
U	233:1,3 239:1		Uricchio	174:11
	240:7 247:22	universe	94:5	
U.S.	253:6 264:5	67:22	USC	vetting 242:4
66:17	265:11 266:10	unlike	66:21 180:21	
ugly	267:10 272:9,	41:21 42:13		vexing
49:13	14,17 274:19	246:2	V	38:2
Uh-huh	276:17 278:7	unparalleled	T 7 I	vice
198:12 263:6	282:19 287:21	117:7	Vaguely	16:16 63:7
ultimate	290:18 291:6	unpublished	125:23	victim
35:22 163:25	294:10	167:7 215:11	valuations	38:16 39:19
291:12	understanding	unqualified	96:13 136:21	victims
	94:21 166:14	248:10	valuators	45:18 105:1
ultimately 82:20 108:3	169:13 207:23	unreasonable	115:13	169:21 179:4
82:20 108:3	209:24 210:2	152:12	varied	victory
	217:9,25 221:21	unsigned	123:15	295:1
unable	238:2 239:23	245:23	varieties	view
175:7 179:5	247:6 254:13	unsubstantiated	183:22	15:3 65:11 90:6
198:17	258:15,17	207:23	varying	109:16 117:24
unbelievable	275:17 277:2	up-to-date	114:9	118:4 130:7
108:13	understands	26:25	vast	131:3 145:5
unbiased	254:5		12:2 42:18	156:19 272:12
118:25	understood	update 68:13,16 158:20	75:20 128:2	295:8
uncomfortable	118:20 189:18		VBS	viewing
59:20	269:5	updated	70:16	64:10
underlying	unethical	68:19 133:6	vein	vindictive
86:2	180:20 198:23	updates	114:7 123:22	52:6
underpaid	unfair	17:18 92:1	verbal	violate
128:20	280:13	110:19	165:17	246:11 262:22
understand	unfamiliar	upheld		264:25 265:7
22:12 24:12	umammär	248:1	verge	276:4 277:6,9
-				210.7211.0,7

violated	volumes	292:6	watch	week-and-a-
220:25 221:8	143:4 170:16	walking	61:15 63:24	half-long
245:18,20,22	volunteer	59:18	114:10 127:5	261:5
248:5 262:3,21	107:18	Walmart	watched	week-to-week
263:12 264:23	vote	171:22 172:14	71:19 84:24,25	232:20
275:22,24	16:14,17,19	173:2,25 174:3,	153:22	weekend
276:1,4,8,10,17	249:4 296:11	10,11 180:10	watching	174:18 270:25
277:3,11,17	voted	Walmarts	73:1 127:18	294:25
278:2,6 279:16	242:1	172:9	136:10 171:2	weekends
283:3 284:5	votes	wanted	water	147:24
285:3,6,7	16:9 170:4	26:13 43:19	235:16	weekly
291:13	vulnerable	51:11 58:15	ways	53:13 76:9
violates	103:18 104:8	72:6 89:6	54:21 76:24	weeks
248:4,6	161:23,24	101:23 107:18	127:14	10:6,7 43:3,25
violating	101.23,24	108:5 118:19	weakest	106:16 147:22
42:20 262:4		129:18 135:14,	136:2	151:2 177:24
280:9 283:5	W	17 141:24 142:5	wealth	209:17 228:19
violation	Wadmalaw	151:9 172:16	96:10	weeks'
278:10 283:16	68:17	174:10 179:14,		234:12
violations	wait	15 183:12 187:9	weapons	
15:3 65:11 90:6	41:12 61:13	191:21 217:1,10	56:18,19	weigh 164:3
109:16 131:4	162:9 233:23	218:5 222:9	wear	
156:20 265:9,21	292:18	225:21 233:16	56:4	weight
283:12 291:8	waited	235:12 244:11	wearing	15:5 65:12 90:8
295:8	169:8 178:7,8	266:3 272:5	118:22 171:14	109:18 131:5 156:21 295:10
visit	276:19	276:22,23	wears	
66:19 281:4	waiting	277:22 289:6,9	13:4	welfare
visitation	22:14 44:25	293:15	Wednesday	94:9 95:4,17
23:16 95:25	61:12 105:17	wanting	119:9 174:20	97:9,12,16
146:23 172:3	170:14 181:1	122:19 210:4	weeds	101:15
174:18,21 231:8	229:2	268:21	210:19	well-
269:18,19,24	waive	war	week	13:15
270:3 271:2,17	111:22	64:4,5	10:24 16:16	Wes
visitations	waived	warded	40:6 59:8 63:17	177:15 199:11
270:23,24	44:11	172:20	66:17 82:7	West
visiting		warrants	94:12 150:25	56:22
58:19,25 113:2	waiver	85:22,25 195:4	163:4 164:9	whichever
vital	44:10	214:12	172:3,18,19	35:3
204:25	walk	Warren	176:20 178:10	white
volume	59:21 125:6,10	67:10	185:6,7,9	176:5
88:10,16 143:10	199:19 279:18	wasted	186:23 206:8,	wholeheartedly
00.10,10 145.10	walked	152:9	11,12 212:9	54:1
	58:24 191:11	1.52.7		

wide	woman	153:15	wrenching	132:16 198:3
141:4	24:4 112:18	worked	47:24	235:8,9 237:23
wife	174:6	19:7 60:18	wrestle	240:16 288:15,
17:6 19:2 54:24	won	61:19 72:19,20	292:17	18
55:8 115:18	28:14 31:7,21	73:11,14 75:25	wrestlers	y'alls
132:9 138:22	81:2 173:4	103:19 146:24	292:14	45:11
215:7	wonderful	152:10 154:10	wrestling	year
Wild	11:20 17:6 19:2	233:5 241:8	292:15	10:12 54:19
56:22	50:9 137:13	workers	Wright	87:9,11 89:13,
wildfires	152:21 166:12	83:3,5,14	150:21 153:24	20 108:16
191:1 195:10	wondering	working	write	128:25 132:14,
willfully	237:20,22	10:5,6 13:14	76:9 237:12	15 134:19,20
248:4	word	33:16 44:9 72:2	246:15,18 267:7	135:15 143:22
William	52:12,15 258:13	103:10 104:4	268:14	156:7 178:4
67:17	259:6	113:3 117:4	writes	185:14 186:12
Williamsburg	words	132:15 139:12	166:1	198:3 205:1
136:23 138:1	25:13 195:18	143:21 145:18	writing	212:20 227:15,
144:7,9 145:1,9	221:14	146:9 147:24	81:5	23 244:23
153:23 155:5,15	work	174:9	written	253:4,6,15
willingness	10:5 11:5 19:14	workings	25:9 74:13 97:5	272:2,4 274:7
58:14 59:13,22	21:7 23:7 25:21	88:22	117:9 137:15	year's
102:14 129:18	26:15 34:21	works	163:18 206:2	200:14
130:5 249:6	51:24 57:19	31:17 134:17	236:9	years
Wilson's	60:14 61:5	182:12	wrong	11:19 12:5,6,8,
19:17	63:21 72:23	world	63:23 64:7	13 20:12 22:1
Winston	73:6 76:4 77:5	9:23 153:13	175:14,21	25:19,20 32:23,
134:20	86:17,23 89:18	worse	176:12 177:20	24 33:23 42:1,
witnessed	95:13 96:23	144:15	180:9 203:23	14 60:20,24
250:16 255:1	101:2,9 106:17	worst	204:3	61:6 63:4 66:10
witnesses	113:5 114:21	143:22 151:13	wrongful	69:25 70:6,7,10,
9:7 18:19 69:21	115:12,24	292:5	138:20	17 71:7,13 74:5,
93:1 111:19	116:21 117:17	worth	wrongly	9,23 76:19 80:17 82:3,5,6
134:6 158:2	123:2 129:2	146:7	200:5	84:20 87:1,22
160:1 210:23	136:15 137:3,	Wow	wrote	93:20 94:9
238:6,10 263:9	21,22 145:12,19	130:18	33:19 52:18	103:10 108:20
279:25	149:5 150:5	wrap	102:11 126:18	112:12 113:16
Wofford	152:2,17 154:22	154:19	180:4 187:14	114:24 122:18
132:11,12,13	162:20 166:17 173:21,23	wrapped		132:10 134:11,
134:11,20 156:6	175:18 193:16	28:8 35:9 62:11	Y	12,15 135:8
woke	288:15	wrapping	L	136:17 137:23
88:3	workaholic	32:2	y'all	141:13,16
	workanone		49:14 61:25	143:22 154:11,
				, , ,
			•	

23 155:3,18	
160:17 164:2	
165:11 169:9,24	
171:23 174:25	
175:16 181:1	
187:18 188:15	
190:4 196:10	
241:8 242:25	
243:22 247:1,3,	
18 248:25	
259:16 276:18	
278:4 281:2	
288:16	
you-all	
91:14 110:1	
young 10:19 46:10,11,	
12,13 50:3 61:2	
80:5,6,7 86:14	
91:10 102:16,	
17,18 108:12	
114:15 125:14,	
15,16 129:10	
142:20,21,22	
153:22 155:1	
191:15	
youths 48:11	
40.11	